


GOVDOC

P-EX 14.10

5/10-11



BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY
GOVERNMENT DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
RECEIVED

JAN 29 2001

environmental
impact
statements

102 monitor

Volume 5, No. 10
November, 1975

CEQ RELEASED DELAWARE RIVER BASIN STUDY

During 300 years of development, the Delaware River Basin has been the focus of a range of environmental issues. These issues, as they apply to this one geographic area, are the subject of CEQ's study The Delaware River Basin: An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change. (page 3)

CEQ RECOMMENDS RECREATIONAL USE OF RESERVOIRS

Recent technological advances can be used to eliminate public health risks from expanded recreation in water supply reservoirs, according to the CEQ report, Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs, A Handbook for Increased Use. The handbook considers the recreational policy of six northeastern states and includes guidelines to help determine which recreational activities are appropriate at specific sites. (page 8)

PETERSON ADVISED CAUTION ON PUBLIC FORESTS

"Within two decades or so, projected demand for forest products will outrun annual harvest, both on privately owned timber land and on the publicly owned lands managed by the Forest Service," said CEQ Chairman Russell W. Peterson in a speech before the American Forestry Association. However, intensive, high-yield management which may be used to forestall the shortage may also have adverse effects on long-term productivity. (page 12)

FREE LIBRARY
of Philadelphia
DEC 11 1975
Government Publications
Department

CONTENTS

<u>The Delaware River Basin: An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change</u>	3
<u>Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs, A Handbook for Increased Use</u>	8
<u>Management or Gamble?</u> Statement by Chairman Peterson	12
State-County Index of EISS filed during October, 1975	25
Agency Index of EISS filed during October, 1975	32
EISS filed with CEQ during October, 1975	37
Cummulative Summary	85
EPA Listings	86
Subscription Information	106



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY • 722 JACKSON PLACE NW • WASHINGTON DC 20006

News Release

FOR RELEASE: Sunday AM's, November 2, 1975

Contact: John R. Fogarty (202) 382-1235
(301) 652-7306

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN IS STUDIED

BY COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

The Council on Environmental Quality today announced publication of The Delaware River Basin: An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change.

"The 87-page report is an analysis of how a broad range of environmental issues impacts on the varied environments of one geographical region," Dr. Russell W. Peterson, Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality said in releasing the report.

"The Delaware River Basin was chosen because it has endured centuries of use by man, because conflicts over limited land and water resources have arisen there in many different contexts, because it is a region of great variety, and because governments and citizens there are beginning to find innovative ways to solve environmental problems," Dr. Peterson said.

He explained that the report describes water resources and water uses in the Delaware River Basin, the successful efforts to improve the area's air quality, environmental problems in the cities, patterns of growth and sprawl in the suburbs, the recreation land boom in the mountains, and emerging conflicts among recreation, oil and gas-related industrialization, and traditional life styles in the Delaware Bay area.

"Efforts are made in the report to interrelate problems of pollution, growth, and land use," Dr. Peterson said. "Although the study will be of special interest to residents of the Delaware River Basin, in many ways the analysis and description of impacts are relevant to readers in other parts of the country."

Dr. Peterson pointed out that the report which "looks at the impact of man on the river from the earliest settlements, and also identifies the tough decisions on environmental issues the region must make in coming years, is particularly timely as this historic region celebrates the bicentennial of the American Revolution."

"This past summer an important event occurred when the Governors of three of the four states in the Delaware River Basin voted against further funding for the Tocks Island Dam -- a controversial project which had thrown into limbo much of the planning for the upper half of the Basin," Dr. Peterson said.

"Now for the first time in many years, coherent and cohesive decisions can be made by the people on important issues of land and water use that were left unresolved while the debate over the dam went on. Hopefully, this report can be useful to their efforts," he said.

Dr. Peterson, who served as Governor of Delaware from 1969 to 1973, said that the study of the Delaware River Basin started before he became CEQ Chairman in December of 1973.

The Delaware River Basin

An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change



Prepared by the Council on Environmental Quality
August 1975

Preface

Most reports and studies by Federal agencies look at how a single issue or a narrow range of issues affects the entire Nation. The reader is often left with the task of applying the information to his own locale as well as with the dilemma of how the subject of the report relates to what else is going on in his community. This report is not like that. Instead it examines one geographic region of the country and shows how a broad range of issues—in this case the full gamut of environmental concerns—is expressed, discussed, and, it is hoped, resolved in light of their conflicts with each other and with other goals and policies at work in the same region. In taking this approach, we hope to provide insight for people everywhere about how environmental issues interrelate with, support, or conflict with the other things that our society is trying to do.

We chose the Delaware River Basin for a number of reasons. First, the region has been at the cutting edge of many of the environmental concerns facing America. Its water and air were among the first to be heavily polluted. It has some of our oldest cities, cities that were completely changed by 19th century industrialization, European immigration, migration of blacks from the South, and the growth of residences and industries in the suburbs. Its exclusive "Main Line" suburbs and its Levittowns were among the first of their kind in America. Its mountain areas are among the most severely impacted by the "recreation boom." And with the energy shortage, it is once again the Delaware River Basin that appears to be a prime candidate for the first of a new generation of superports, offshore oil operations, and associated industrial development.

The second reason is closely related to the first: Serious conflicts over limited land and

water resources, similar to those that many other regions will face in the future, have already begun to hit the area. It is clear, for example, that all 7 million residents of the Delaware Valley cannot have vacation homes in the Poconos without destroying the environment that attracts people there. Likewise, conflicts being experienced along the Delaware Bay among petroleum-related industrial development, recreational development spurred by success in controlling pollution upstream, and the traditional life of farmers, villagers, and oystermen are precursors of the hard choices that many other coastal areas will be making in coming decades.

Another reason for studying the Delaware is that the environment is so varied. It has forested mountains, checkerboard farmlands, massive urban industrial complexes, extensive wetlands, and small fishing villages. The river itself varies from fast mountain stream to rural river to busy polluted waterway to expansive marsh and bay, all within 300 miles.

The final reason is that government and citizens in the Delaware River Basin are looking for solutions and are beginning to find some ways to turn around downward trends. Especially impressive are the scope and variety of new laws and institutions to coordinate and direct the efforts of the 4 States, 27 counties, dozens of cities, and at least 1,000 local governments that make up the area. Although not all the answers have been found, there are ideas and arrangements at work here that could and should be tried elsewhere.

When the Industrial Revolution began in the United States 150 years ago, Americans had a singular vision of their country's future: maximum growth. Today that vision is clouded, for a growing number of Americans are troubled

by the excesses that accompany uncontrolled growth. All around them they find the unanticipated (and unwelcome) consequences of development decisions. Industry was located near a river for easy transportation and a ready water supply, but as a result the river is unfit for fish and people. A major highway bypass cuts through a pastoral valley to avoid the city, but soon a shopping center grows up at the interchange, old barns are razed, and suddenly the beauty of the valley is gone. In each case, something was gained initially, but subsequently much—perhaps too much—was lost.

Our look at the Delaware shows that development goals continue to run headlong into en-

vironmental goals. With change occurring at a bewildering scale and speed, the challenge is to accommodate needed and inevitable development without ruining the environment or degrading the quality of our lives.

The purpose of this report is to point out the kinds of choices that will need to be made. The future of the Delaware River Basin—and of other parts of the country—will be shaped by the decisions that are made over the next few years. Particularly important are decisions about how we will use our land. Those decisions, as this report shows, dictate the other environmental effects. The message here is that time is running out.

Russell W. Peterson


RUSSELL W. PETERSON, *Chairman*

John A. Busterud

JOHN A. BUSTERUD

Beatrice E. Willard

BEATRICE E. WILLARD



Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs

A Handbook for Increased Use

Sponsored by the Council on Environmental Quality

The Issue in Brief

As our urban population grows and development spreads into the countryside, opportunities for outdoor recreation have become both more difficult to find and more urgently needed. Recreation facilities close to population centers are often crowded, and access to more distant natural sites is difficult. People are less able to enjoy the pleasures of natural areas and find the relief from urban pressures which contact with the natural world can provide.

Close to many cities lie beautiful water supply reservoirs, protected over the years by careful watershed management. In most regions of our nation, recreation of all forms is permitted on these reservoirs. Yet in the Northeastern states, where millions of Americans are concentrated, public access to reservoirs and the surrounding lands is generally restricted or prohibited altogether. This handbook is designed to inform citizens of the Northeast of their opportunity to make use of these reservoirs for outdoor recreation.

In the nineteenth century, the Northeastern states pioneered water treatment and supply technology in this country. Standard practice called for rigid restrictions on public access to watershed lands and the water itself. This practice was very successful in protecting public health. No conflict with public recreational needs existed because cities were smaller and nearby rivers, lakes, and streams were less polluted.

Today the situation has changed. Development has spread into many

watersheds in the Northeast. New and exotic substances are finding their way into our water supplies. Water which was recently pure is now known to contain viruses, pesticides, fertilizers, salts, heavy metals, and other substances potentially damaging to health.

Fortunately, drinking water technology is now capable of treating and purifying water at relatively low cost. Standard water supply practice recognizes the need for more extensive treatment of all surface supplies.

These improvements in water treatment technology make most forms of recreation — including water contact activities such as swimming — completely compatible with the production of safe, high quality drinking water. Hence unfulfilled demands for recreation near major urban areas could be met through greater use of these beautiful reservoirs.

Although modern water treatment can eliminate risks to public health from expanded recreation, old traditions stand in the way of increased recreational use of water supply reservoirs. Four of the states considered in this handbook — Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island and New York — have laws and regulations which prohibit any form of body contact recreation in water supply reservoirs. The other two — New Jersey and Pennsylvania — limit the activities available.

The managers of water supply systems have little reason to expand recreational opportunities at the reservoirs they operate. Water managers are

usually isolated from agencies responsible for public recreation. They are often concerned that the cost of increased recreation would be paid out of their budget with no assurance of increased revenues to maintain production of safe drinking water. They anticipate added managerial problems and even criminal liability for accidents. From their point of view, recreation is of no benefit to their primary responsibility and, therefore, they resist change.

Whether to allow recreation on water supply reservoirs and the adjacent land is an old controversy in professional circles. This handbook is not another study of that subject. Rather, it is an educational tool, written in non-technical language, for citizens interested in the recreational uses of water supply reservoirs and the broader issues of water supply as well.

This handbook suggests the need for new policies under which advanced treatment of drinking water becomes standard and recreation on and near reservoirs is encouraged. Properly managed recreation is now one of the most important uses of watershed lands, a use which maximizes public benefits from land which must be specially protected. But such change in the established policies is a political choice. This handbook is designed to assist the public in making that choice.

The choice must be based on knowledge of local conditions. While this handbook presents information and guidelines for planning increased recreation, each reservoir is likely to be unique in some respects. Therefore the

appropriate solution for individual reservoirs must be analyzed with care.

This handbook is divided into three chapters. The first chapter describes the issue in its past and present context. It outlines the history of water supply in the United States with emphasis on the progress of water treatment and describes the present situation in the Northeastern states through case studies. It concludes with a summary of the major concerns which have dominated the controversy.

The remaining chapters present reference material for planning increased recreation. Chapter Two summarizes the relevant laws and regulations of the six most populous states in the Northeast — Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania. These densely populated states have extensive reservoir systems which could provide valuable recreational opportunities.

Chapter Three provides information useful for planning recreation at reservoirs. Different forms of recreation are examined in terms of their suitability and their compatibility. Guidelines are suggested to help determine which activities are appropriate at a specific site. Costs and cost recovery mechanisms are presented, and institutional constraints on recreation are examined.

Appendix I provides a technical description of the capabilities of water treatment technologies to remove contaminants commonly associated with recreation. The recent controversy concerning drinking water quality and chlorination is discussed in that

chapter as well.

Appendix II contains supplementary reference material on reservoir recreation in each of the six states discussed in Chapter Two, including transcripts of the relevant portions of state laws.

A Glossary of terms and an annotated bibliography complete the handbook.

ORDERING INFORMATION

-The Delaware River Basin: An Environmental Assessment
of Three Centuries of Change

Stock No. 4111-00018

Price \$1.55

-Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs: A Handbook for
Increased Use

Stock No. 041-011-00027-1

Price \$2.05

November 15, 1975

NOTICE

Prices shown were in effect on the above date. Government documents' prices are subject to change without prior notice. Therefore, prices in effect when your order is filled may differ from prices on this list. Since it is not feasible to change prices shown in Government documents in print, the price printed in a document may differ from the price in effect when your order is processed.

MAIL ORDER FORM To:

Assistant Public Printer (Superintendent of Documents), Government Printing Office,
Washington, D.C. 20402

FOR USE OF SUPT. DOCS.

-----Enclosed-----
-----To be mailed-----
-----later-----
-----Subscription-----
-----Refund-----
-----Coupon refund-----
-----Postage-----
-----Foreign handling-----

Enclosed find \$..... (check, money order, or Supt. of Documents coupons).

Please send me the publications I have checked.

Please charge this order
to my Deposit Account
No.

Name -----
Street address -----
City and State ----- ZIP Code -----

FOR PROMPT SHIPMENT, PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ADDRESS ON LABEL BELOW, INCLUDING YOUR ZIP CODE

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
ASSISTANT PUBLIC PRINTER
(SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
375
SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE
BOOK

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Name -----
Street address -----
City and State ----- ZIP Code -----



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY • 722 JACKSON PLACE NW • WASHINGTON DC 20006

News Release

Remarks of The Honorable Russell W. Peterson
Chairman, The President's Council on Environmental Quality
American Forestry Association
Statler Hilton Hotel, Washington, D. C.

For Release: 2 P.M., October 7, 1975

Contact: John Fogarty, 202 382-1235

MANAGEMENT OR GAMBLE?

The Need for Caution on Public Forests

It is routine courtesy for every speaker to express his pleasure at being invited to address an audience. Your invitation, however, brought me more than routine pleasure, inasmuch as this year is such an important one in the history of the American Forestry Association. Yours is, I believe, the oldest National conservation organization in the United States -- a forerunner, in a sense, to the public concerns that led to the creation of the Council on Environmental Quality. Hence, I am honored at being permitted to share this 100th birthday celebration with you.

'Way back in 450 B.C., Artaxerxes I, King of Persia, tried to restrict cutting of the legendary cedars of Lebanon. My modest research does not indicate whether Artaxerxes succeeded in this endeavor, but at least it proves that governmental regulation of the forest industry has a long history.

It has also, I was surprised to learn, a somewhat violent one. In 1772, the residents of Weare, New Hampshire, rioted when an officer of the King attempted to confiscate 270 pine logs reserved to provide masts for His Majesty's ships; on that occasion, two armed regiments had to be dispatched to enforce the law. By 1853, we had managed to change our government -- but not our intense feelings about forests. In that year, an official from the Land Office in Washington barely escaped lynching when he tried to repossess some timber that had been cut from government-owned land around Manistee, Michigan. The government's answer, again, was force: armed sailors from the USS Michigan had to be landed to restore order. And earlier this year, following a state court ruling that restricted the cutting of redwood trees, Governor Brown of California was decapitated in effigy.

Decapitation in effigy is, to be sure, a vast improvement over an actual lynching. Nevertheless, as a government official preparing to talk about a resource that has stimulated so much controversy in the past, I must confess to a certain tingling sensation around my neck -- particularly when it is clear that we are building to more confrontation about timber in the near future.

Between 1942 and 1972, the U.S. demand for wood and wood products increased by 65 percent. According to the U.S. Forest Service, that demand will double again by the year 2000. At

present, annual growth of timber in the U.S. exceeds cutting -- but that surplus condition won't last long. Within two decades or so, projected demand for forest products will outrun annual harvest, both on privately owned timber land and on the publicly owned lands managed by the Forest Service.

That day of reckoning is being hastened by the rapid cutting of old-growth timber on privately owned lands in the West. This makes short-term economic sense from a corporate standpoint, no doubt; stockholders in a private lumber corporation might support this rapid cutting.

But as a stockholder in a public lumber trust--the 92 million acres of our National Forests -- the rapid harvest of old-growth trees on private lands gives me considerable anxiety. Inevitably, industry will reach the point when their old-growth stands have been completely cut, and the new stands have yet to achieve maturity. Lumber productivity will fall off sharply, there will be a mounting gap between supply and demand, and industry will have to come after our lands -- the forests that you and I and 213 million other Americans own in joint partnership. Heavy pressure will be placed on Congress and the Administration to increase the allowable cut on Forest Service lands.

Indeed, there are already signs of this mounting pressure. As you know, the Panel on Timber and the Environment recommended,

in its 1973 report to the President, that average cutting on four western forests be boosted 39 percent. Industry sources also urge -- as reflected in the "Green Paper" advertisements currently being sponsored by the American Forest Institute -- that productivity on Federal lands be increased through intensive, high-yield forestry.

On their face, these proposals seem quite plausible. The Forest Service admits that the land under its management produces 50 percent less wood fiber per acre than industry-owned lands. Timber is a commercially valuable natural resource, and in a time of economic slump, it would seem desirable to boost productivity in every way we can. It's relatively easy to place a monetary value on wood and wood products, to total employment figures for the industry, and to point out, in short, the enormous economic value that forestry contributes to the nation. On the other hand, it's extremely difficult to place a dollar-figure on such other forest values as recreation, protection of wildlife habitat, and aesthetics.

Thus, the private timber industry will have several powerful arguments to present to Congress, the Administration, and the public when it urges an increase in allowable cut. Those who support the conservative harvest policies now followed by the Forest Service will undoubtedly be criticized as Utopian visionaries; increasing productivity and harvest on the Forest lands, by contrast, will be seen as the hard-headed, no-nonsense, practical thing to do.

My remarks today will tend toward the Utopian, visionary point of view. What I want to argue, however, is that a conservative attitude toward our use of the public forests is the hard-headed, no-nonsense, practical course to take.

Let me begin by pointing out that there are excellent reasons for the comparatively low productivity of Forest Service lands. The industry owns more productive lands, their stands are younger and more vigorous, and the intensive forestry practices used by industry produce wood faster. These practices include growing stands of trees all of the same age; artificial planting -- generally of one species, thus establishing a monoculture; control of competing vegetation by fire, chemical, and other means; use of chemicals such as pesticides and fertilizers; the breeding of new genetic strains of "super" trees; and proper thinning as the stand matures.

The Forest Service also employs these practices, but generally to a lesser extent. The principal reason is that timber production is only one of the uses mandated for the public forests by law. In 1970, the Public Land Law Review Commission tried to alter this concept of "multiple-use" by recommending that some public lands should be "classified for timber production as the dominant use." Environmentalists protested this recommendation, and the Forest Service has never adopted it.

Yet the conservative, multiple-use policies of the Forest Service could be changed by law. It would be well, therefore, to consider the possible impact that a switch to intensive, high-yield management might have on our public forests.

You know what the common objections to high-yield forestry are. First among them is its tendency to favor monoculture: to promote extensive stands of single species of trees, of the same age. A basic principle of ecology is that diverse ecosystems are much more resistant to attack than ecosystems in which genetic variety has been cut to a minimum. Sometimes nature itself develops nearly pure stands of single tree species without man's intervention -- especially after fires; no matter how the monoculture is created, however, its susceptibility to disaster is heightened.

Modern agriculture depends on monoculture: our farmers grow fields of wheat or of corn or of sugar-beets, rather than simply tolerating whatever smorgasbord of crops nature sees fit to provide. But farmers learned that some hybrid strains, bred to provide man with the greatest amount of food, have gained that productivity at the expense of some self-protection. In 1970, 15 percent of the corn crop was lost when a high-yielding variety widely adopted in the southern states, proved unusually susceptible to leaf blight. The high-yielding varieties of Green Revolution wheat, remarkable as they are, have a high potential for widespread loss, owing to their genetic uniformity.

Silviculture, I realize, is not strictly analogous to the annual culture that characterizes most food-farming, and I do not mean to push a false parallel. The point I wish to make, rather, is this: an agriculture as varied and productive as that of the United States can weather a single year's crop failure. Agronomists can diagnose the difficulty fast, and -- usually -- supply a quick remedy. And consumers can eat cheap potatoes for a season instead of high-priced bread. But if foresters make a mistake with their crop, even the swiftest diagnosis may not repair the damage. If blight or a pest or a defect shows up when a stand of trees is 20 years old, we will be paying for that error for 20 years.

There are some suggestions that high-yield forestry is flirting with that kind of long-term, hard-to-reverse, error. Maximizing growth of the Douglas fir in a single forest, for example, requires suppression of the alder. Yet the alder fixes nitrogen, creating fertilizer with the aid of the sun, and passes it through the soil to the Douglas fir, which cannot nourish itself in this fashion. In addition, the alder -- it is widely believed -- suppresses root-rot, to which the Douglas fir is particularly susceptible when it is 20 or 25 years old. Indications are, in sum, that the alder and the Douglas fir are not entirely competitors, but partners.

Intensive, high-yield forestry need not, I realize, eliminate all other uses of forests. Progressive timber companies manage their own lands for multiple use, proving that high timber-

productivity is not necessarily incompatible with protection of watersheds and wildlife habitat, grazing, and recreational use. Nevertheless, multiple-use of any finite land system ultimately involves trade-offs: at some point, an increase in timber harvest must be purchased at the expense of one or more other values. And some practices necessary to accelerated management will compel tradeoffs that we may not anticipate.

For example, mature or dead trees, and logs, are essential for some forms of forest wildlife. Fully 40 percent of forest bird species nest in cavities in dead trees and logs. Snags in national forests in California are used by 30 species of birds, 20 species of mammals, and thousands of other organisms, many of which are primary food for higher forms of wildlife. If timber production were to dictate the removal of dead trees and snags on a large scale, species of birds and other forms of wildlife that require mature forests might be reduced to relic populations.

In addition, accelerated management frequently calls for the extensive use of herbicides, to control competing plants and thus stimulate the growth of young trees. But the use of herbicides also short-cuts the successional stages of a forest -- the series of modifications that occurs naturally after forest-land has been cleared. Each stage in this natural succession is accompanied by changes in soil condition, by a different set of plants, and by different species of wildlife that feed on the plants. Probably 80 percent of wildlife in eastern and western forests -- including deer -- are successional; they cannot exist in dense forests whose floors have been swept clean of understory by chemicals.

These are some of the impacts that we can anticipate from intensive, high-yield forestry. But if there is a single lesson from ecology that every citizen of the modern world should learn, it is that not all impacts can be anticipated. The earth has its own decision-making process -- its own stubborn, sometimes mysterious and often nasty way of responding to man's hopeful interventions. History gives us a right to take pride in the astounding ability of the human species to manipulate our environment for our own benefit. But ecology warns us to maintain a certain caution about the limits of human expertise, and the brevity of man's experience on this planet. When we talk about intensive, high-yield forestry, we are talking about a quite novel, still experimental intervention in an ecosystem that has been evolving for millions of years. Despite the achievement that high-yield forestry represents, it is well to exercise caution before extending it to the public lands -- for we have paid again and again a price for the misplaced certitude of experts.

Experts in civil engineering built the Aswan Dam; they knew about concrete and irrigation and the number of kilowatts that could be generated by a turbine-blade with a certain pressure from the Nile River behind it. But they didn't know about the water hyacinth and the aquatic snail and the blood-fluke, or what happens when an annual, turbulent flood is suddenly tamed to a quiet, smooth-flowing river. In consequence, millions of Egyptian farmers are infected with a debilitating disease called schistosomiasis. Experts built the St. Lawrence Seaway, which permitted ocean traffic to enter the Great Lakes from Atlantic ports;

they knew about the costs of transportation, the expense of shifting a cargo from a ship to a train to a truck, the problems of designing canal locks that would permit an ocean-going vessel to enter the Lakes. But they didn't know about the sea lamprey, which can swim better than any freighter, and which entered the Lakes along with the ships, destroying a fishing industry. Experts in chemistry developed phosphate detergents that enabled American women to wash washes whiter than white --but they didn't think about the capacity of municipal sewage systems to break down these new bubbles, and so, for a while, our waters were frothing at the mouth with an indigestible threat to our health.

Each of these examples reminds humans that our earth has its own response to our ingenuities, and that the best-laid plans of our experts have oft gone astray. I think the work that has been done in the development of high-yield forestry is admirable -- but I also believe that we have not yet had sufficiently extensive experience with it to adequately appraise all the risks it entails. Prudent risk is the name of the game in free enterprise, and industry is entitled to take those risks on its own lands. But the public lands are a public trust, and we are not entitled to gamble them on a promising but unproven technology.

Does that mean nothing should be done to ease the projected shortage of wood?

No. I think several steps can be taken. The Forest Service itself recognizes that it can improve timber-management on its own lands, without going to monocultures or adopting timber production as the dominant use. In response to the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974, the Forest Service has published a draft of alternate plans for the use and development of these resources out to the year 2020; this program should provide the best basis we have ever had for sound, public forest management. In addition, the Forest Service has begun testing a more liberal cutting policy on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest in Washington State. The crucial element in this decision was a long-term commitment of funds necessary to guarantee an accelerated program of reproduction and intensive timber culture. If this test proves successful, other national forests in the Pacific Northwest are likely to follow suit.

Better resource-use is another possibility, and the Forest Service is working on that. For example, its research arm -- in cooperation with HUD and the American Plywood Association -- has developed a new construction material made of the ground-up particles from the inner part of a log; this process has the potential of doubling the usable wood products derived from a tree. Another Forest Service project, the Sawmill Improvement Program, has documented increases in production of 11 percent through improved sawing and milling techniques.

Finally, we should find out about those legendary four million citizens whose woodlots compose 59 percent of our forested land but account for only 30 percent of the wood fiber. How many of their lots are large enough to merit commercial management? How many of those owners are interested in commercial production? We simply do not know enough about these four million to make sensible proposals regarding timber production on their land -- but that land is undoubtedly the sleeping giant of the timber industry. One private firm, of which I am aware, has initiated a program to help interested private owners make their holdings more productive. In return for its help, the company obtains the right to buy the timber at competitive prices.

Hence there are many ways of increasing timber production without increasing the allowable cut on public lands, or risking them on a high-yield management that concentrates on only one forest resource. We should not allow a projected wood shortage to panic us into reversing conservative policies on the forests that belong to all of us.

The Centennial of the American Forestry Association is in itself a reminder of the long public interest in forests, and an occasion for remembering how difficult it was for us to rescue some part of our national forest inheritance in the days when industry had no interest in replanting the lands it had cleared. But your centennial is also an opportunity to look forward. A century from now, your successors will look back and render a judgment on the quality of the stewardship your policies reflect.

You look back with pride at the work of your own predecessors, who did so much to protect our forests in days when even the Government was wasteful with them. I hope, in the coming conflict over supply and demand, you will continue to support a policy of long-term conservation, and reject the counsels of short-term convenience. We can and should learn to manage our public lands for greater productivity -- but we should not squander them, and we have no right to gamble them, for they are a trust we owe to the future.

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

11-06-75

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Alabama	several Baldwin Jefferson Madison	DRAFT	East Tennessee Natural Gas, Curtailment	51496	10-07-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Algonquin Gas Transmission Co., Curtailment	51504	10-09-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Coke Oven Emissions	51539	10-17-75	DLAB
		DRAFT	New Motorcycle Emissions Standards	51564	10-24-75	EPA
		DRAFT	Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp., Curtailment	51566	10-28-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Co-60 Spark-Gap Irradiators, Licensing Exemption	51573	10-28-75	NRC
		FINAL	Deepwater Port Regulations	51475	10-03-75	DOT
		FINAL	North Country Trail	51497	10-07-75	DOI
		FINAL	LORAN-C Chain, West Coast/Gulf of Alaska	51513	10-14-75	DOT
		FINAL	International Phase of Ocean Drilling	51531	10-16-75	NSF
		FINAL	Mississippi River Transmission Corporation	51540	10-20-75	FPC
		FINAL	Emergency Watershed Protection Program	51572	10-28-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass	51499	10-07-75	FHWA
		DRAFT	Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O & M	51594	10-30-75	COE
Alaska		FINAL	Tombigbee Units 2 and 3	51533	10-17-75	USDA
		FINAL	Chevron Oil Co., Dredging Permit	51560	10-24-75	COE
		FINAL	Birmingham Municipal Airport Improvements	51577	10-28-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Pulaski Pike Area Water System, Huntsville	51486	10-06-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Dalmon Creek Interceptor, Juneau	51465	10-01-75	EPA
		DRAFT	Energy Co. of Alaska Topping Plant, North Pole	51569	10-28-75	EPA
		FINAL	Naval Petroleum Reserve # 4, Zone A	51562	10-24-75	USN
		DRAFT	Apache Units #2,3, Transmission Lines	51467	10-01-75	USDA
		FINAL	Little Black Watersheds	51524	10-15-75	USDA
		DRAFT	South Fourche Watershed	51482	10-06-75	USDA
Arkansas	several Logan Pulaski Scott Sebastian	DRAFT	Upper Petit Jean Watershed	51543	10-20-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Arkansas R., North Little Rock	51514	10-14-75	COE
		DRAFT	Upper Petit Jean Watershed	51543	10-20-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Upper Petit Jean Watershed	51543	10-20-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Apache Units #2,3, Transmission Lines	51467	10-01-75	USDA
California	several Contra Costa Monterey Orange	DRAFT	Alhambra 18-Hole Golf Facility, California	51473	10-02-75	HUD
		FINAL	Sacramento River, Major and Minor Tributaries	51516	10-14-75	COE
		FINAL	Lower Pine Creek Watershed Project	51491	10-06-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Social Security Administration Center	51541	10-20-75	GSA
		FINAL	Removal of Hill 733, Marine Corps Air Station El Toro	51529	10-16-75	GSA

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

11-06-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
California	San Diego San Mateo Santa Barbara	FINAL	Route 15, San Diego	51548	10-21-75	DOT
		FINAL	Mariner's Island Development	51598	10-31-75	COE
		DRAFT	Carpinteria Valley Watershed	51576	10-28-75	USDA
Connecticut	Fairfield	DRAFT	Norwalk River Watershed	51579	10-28-75	USDA
Delaware	New Castle	FINAL	Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance	51589	10-30-75	COE
		FINAL	Wilton Development New Castle County	51519	10-14-75	HUD
District of Co		DRAFT	Bicentennial Transportation Program	51477	10-03-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Government Printing Office Relocation	51538	10-17-75	GSA
		FINAL	Emergency Water Pumping Station, Potomac Estuary	51551	10-22-75	COE
Florida	Duval	DRAFT	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Pearl to Apalachee Bay	51494	10-06-75	COE
		DRAFT	Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O & M	51594	10-30-75	COE
		FINAL	Floating Nuclear Power Plants, Offshore Power System	51498	10-07-75	NRC
Georgia	several Cherokee DeKalb Fulton	DRAFT	Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass	51499	10-07-75	FHWA
		DRAFT	MARTA (Supplement)	51547	10-21-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O & M	51594	10-30-75	COE
		DRAFT	Appalachian Highway in North Georgia	51523	10-14-75	DOT
		DRAFT	South Canton Bypass	51506	10-09-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Brookwood Sta. Area Improvements, I-85, I-75	51570	10-28-75	DOT
		FINAL	Stoneleigh Subdivision	51471	10-02-75	HUD
Hawaii	Honolulu	DRAFT	Brookwood Sta. Area Improvements, I-85, I-75	51570	10-28-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Interchange at Castle Junction	51469	10-01-75	DOT
Idaho	Adams Boise Lemhi Valley	FINAL	Hawaiian Islands Wilderness Area	51468	10-01-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Council Unit, Payette N.F.	51518	10-14-75	USDA
		FINAL	Garden Valley Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51470	10-01-75	USDA
		FINAL	Moose Creek Basin, Salmon N.F.	51487	10-06-75	USDA
		FINAL	Garden Valley Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51470	10-01-75	USDA
		FINAL	Cascade Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51537	10-17-75	USDA

PAGE

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Idaho	Washington		DRAFT Council Unit, Payette N.P.	51518	10-14-75	USDA
Illinois	Lake		FINAL Calumet-Sag Channel, Maintenance Dredging FINAL Waukegan Harbor, Maintenance Dredging	51550 51483	10-22-75 10-06-75	COE COE
Indiana	Clark		DRAFT Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana DRAFT Clark Maritime Centre	51530 51568	10-16-75 10-28-75	DOT COE
Iowa	Johnson Lee Scott		FINAL Added Parking Facilities, VA. Hosp., Iowa City FINAL S.R. 1 FINAL Iowa 2, Donnelson to U.S. 61 FINAL US 67, Scott County, Iowa	51554 51544 51485 51574	10-23-75 10-21-75 10-06-75 10-28-75	VA DOT DOT DOT
Kansas	Johnson Marion Wyandotte		DRAFT Kansas Hwy 177 and Kansas Hwy 18, Manhattan DRAFT I-435 Extension (2nd Supplement) FINAL U.S. 56, Marion County DRAFT I-435 Extension (2nd Supplement)	51507 51561 51571 51561	10-09-75 10-24-75 10-28-75 10-24-75	DOT DOT DOT DOT
Kentucky	Russell		DRAFT Big South Fork National River FINAL Wolf Creek National Fish Hatchery	51522 51536	10-14-75 10-17-75	COE DOI
Maine			FINAL Central Maine Power, Wyman Unit #4	51559	10-24-75	COE
Maryland	Baltimore		FINAL Upton Urban Renewal, Baltimore DRAFT Relocated US 140 and Phase I Rapid Transit(Supplement)	51474 51511	10-02-75 10-10-75	HUD DOT
Massachusetts			DRAFT Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass. DRAFT Boston Demolition Plan DRAFT South Quincy Area Transit Station	41505 51525 51599	10-21-75 10-15-75 10-31-75	HUD HUD DOT

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

11-06-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Michigan	Several Several	DRAFT	Rouge River, Maintenance Dredging	51488	10-06-75	COE
		DRAFT	Detroit River, Maintenance Dredging	51492	10-06-75	COE
		FINAL	Maintenance Dredging, Michigan	51528	10-16-75	COE
		FINAL	Roque River Watershed	51565	10-28-75	USDA
Minnesota	Faribault	FINAL	Interstate Highway 90, Minnesota	51553	10-22-75	DOT
Mississippi	Itawamba Lee Monroe	DRAFT	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Pearl to Apalachee Bay	51494	10-06-75	COE
		FINAL	Mantachie, Roque Fala, and Roque Ecuba Watershed	51588	10-30-75	USDA
		FINAL	Mantachie, Roque Fala, and Roque Ecuba Watershed	51588	10-30-75	USDA
		FINAL	Mantachie, Roque Fala, and Roque Ecuba Watershed	51588	10-30-75	USDA
Missouri	Cole Laclede Pulaski St. Charles St. Louis Texas	FINAL	Little Black Watersheds	51524	10-15-75	USDA
		FINAL	Route 50, Cole County	51542	10-20-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	51480	10-03-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	51480	10-03-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Brown Road, I-70 to I-270	51592	10-30-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Brown Road, I-70 to I-270	51592	10-30-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	51480	10-03-75	DOI
Montana	Lincoln	DRAFT	O'Brien-17 Mile-Cross Mt. Units, Kootenai N.F.	51489	10-06-75	USDA
Nebraska	Lincoln Nemaha	DRAFT	Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection	51502	10-08-75	DOT
		DRAFT	US 83, North Platte South	51597	10-31-75	DOT
		FINAL	U.S. 30 Bypass, North Platte	51578	10-28-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Brownville Bridge West, US 136	51501	10-08-75	DOT
New Jersey	Bergen Morris Passaic Union	FINAL	Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance	51589	10-10-75	COE
		DRAFT	I-287, US202 to NY State Line	51509	10-09-75	DOT
		DRAFT	I-287, US202 to NY State Line	51509	10-09-75	DOT
		DRAFT	I-287, US202 to NY State Line	51509	10-09-75	DOT
		FINAL	Lightening Brook Channel Modification	51495	10-07-75	HUD
New Mexico	McKinley	FINAL	Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project	51521	10-10-75	USDA

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
New Mexico	Otero	FINAL	White Sands National Monument	51535	10-17-75	DOI
New York	Allegany	FINAL	Wellsville Arterial, Allegany County	51585	10-29-75	DOT
	Broome	DRAFT	I-88, Hinmans Corner to Port Crane	51567	10-28-75	DOT
	Rockland	FINAL	Nautashaun Brook Improvement Project	51582	10-29-75	HUD
	Suffolk	FINAL	Jamestown Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2	51508	10-09-75	NPC
	Ulster	FINAL	North-South Arterial	51593	10-30-75	DOT
North Carolina	Davie	DRAFT	Great Alamance Creek Project	51581	10-29-75	HUD
	Mecklenburg	FINAL	Perkins Nuclear Station, Units 1-3, Construction	51563	10-28-75	NPC
		DRAFT	William B. McGuire Sta., Units 1 and 2, Operation	51586	10-29-75	NRC
Ohio	Ottawa	FINAL	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Sta., 2 & 3	51484	10-06-75	NRC
Oklahoma	several	FINAL	Upper Muddy Boggy Creek Watershed	51505	10-09-75	USDA
Oregon	Clatsop	FINAL	Hammond Small-Boat Basin	51587	10-30-75	COE
	Douglas	DRAFT	North Umpqua Canyon	51583	10-29-75	DOI
	Josephine	FINAL	Redwood Service District	51558	10-23-75	EPA
Pennsylvania		FINAL	Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance	51589	10-30-75	COE
Puerto Rico		DRAFT	Residencial Interamericana, Trujillo Alto	51580	10-29-75	HUD
Rhode Island		FINAL	New Shoreham Treatment Facilities	51476	10-03-75	EPA
South Carolina	several	DRAFT	Bad Creek Pumpel Storage Project	51479	10-03-75	FPC
	Cherokee	DRAFT	230kv Transmission Lines, Summer Nuclear Plant	51546	10-21-75	USDA
		FINAL	Cherokee Nuclear Stations, Units 1, 2 and 3	51493	10-06-75	NRC

11-06-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Tennessee	Hawkins	DRAFT	Big South Fork National River	51522	10-14-75	COE
	Marshall	DRAFT	Poor Valley Creek State Park	51552	10-22-75	TVA
	Maury	DRAFT	Water Line Extension, Marshall County	51526	10-15-75	HUD
	Shelby	FINAL	State Route 6, Tennessee	51549	10-21-75	DOT
		FINAL	Northwest County Highway	51515	10-14-75	DOT
Texas	several	DRAFT	Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock	51591	10-30-75	HUD
	Brazoria	FINAL	Williamson Creek Sewer Trunk, Temple	51472	10-02-75	HUD
	Galveston	DRAFT	Brazos River Basin Lakes, O&M	51575	10-28-75	COE
	Harris	FINAL	Freeport Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51090	10-30-75	COE
		FINAL	Galveston Harbor and Channel	51556	10-23-75	COE
		DRAFT	Loop 201, Baytown, Texas (Supplement)	51478	10-03-75	DOT
Utah	Salt Lake	DRAFT	Metallurgy Research Center, Salt Lake City	51512	10-14-75	GSA
Vermont	Carroll	DRAFT	Kancamagus Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F.	51510	10-10-75	USDA
	Grafton	DRAFT	Kancamagus Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F.	51510	10-10-75	USDA
	Rutland	FINAL	U.S. Route 4, Vermont	51490	10-06-75	DOT
Virginia	Brunswick	FINAL	Proposed Back Bay Wilderness Area	51466	10-01-75	DOI
	Chesterfield	FINAL	Federal Building and Parking Facility, Norfolk	51596	10-30-75	GSA
	Hanover	DRAFT	Great Creek Watershed Project	51520	10-14-75	USDA
	Henrico	FINAL	I-295, Richmond	51534	10-17-75	DOT
	James City	FINAL	I-295, Richmond	51534	10-17-75	DOT
	Lunenburg	FINAL	Little Creek Water Supply Reservoir, Newport News	51557	10-23-75	COE
		DRAFT	Great Creek Watershed Project	51520	10-14-75	USDA
Washington	Grant	DRAFT	LORAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement)	51600	10-31-75	DOT
	Skamania	DRAFT	Upper Lewis R. Unit, Gifford-Pinchot N.F.	51517	10-14-75	USDA
West Virginia	Mason	DRAFT	Appalachian Power Co. Power Plant, New Haven	51584	10-29-75	COE
Wisconsin	Grant	DRAFT	USH 61, 151 and STH 35, Mississippi R.to Dickeyville	51545	10-21-75	DOT

PAGE

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

11-06-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE	ORIG AGENCY
Wisconsin	Juneau Portage Sheboygan Waupaca	FINAL	State Trunk Highway 21, Juneau County	51532	10-16-75	DOT
			Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, FAP 38)	51595	10-30-75	DOT
			Sheboygan Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51527	10-16-75	COE
			Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, FAP 38)	51595	10-30-75	DOT
Wyoming	Campbell Converse	FINAL	Bell Ayr South Mine, Amax Coal Co.	51500	10-08-75	DOI
			Morton Ranch Uranium Mining	51481	10-03-75	TVA

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Forest Service				
Rural Electrification Administration	DRAFT	O'Brien-17 Mile-Cross Mt. Units, Kootenai N.P.	51489	10-06-75
		KanCamagus Unit Plan, White Mt. N.P.	51510	10-10-75
Soil Conservation Service		Upper Lewis R. Unit, Gifford-Pinchot N.P.	51517	10-14-75
		Council Unit, Payette N.P.	51518	10-14-75
	FINAL	Garden Valley Planning Unit, Boise N.P.	51470	10-01-75
		Moose Creek Basin, Salmon N.P.	51487	10-06-75
		Cascade Planning Unit, Boise N.P.	51537	10-17-75
	DRAFT	Apache Units #2,3, Transmission Lines	51467	10-01-75
		230kV Transmission Lines, Summer Nuclear Plant	51546	10-21-75
	FINAL	Tombigbee Units 2 and 3	51533	10-17-75
	DRAFT	South Fourche Watershed	51482	10-06-75
		Great Creek Watershed Project	51520	10-14-75
		Upper Petit Jean Watershed	51543	10-20-75
		Carpinteria Valley Watershed	51576	10-28-75
		Norwalk River Watershed	51579	10-28-75
		Lower Pine Creek Watershed Project	51491	10-06-75
	FINAL	Upper Muddy Roddy Creek Watershed	51505	10-09-75
		Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project	51521	10-14-75
		Little Black Watersheds	51524	10-15-75
		Hoque River Watershed	51565	10-28-75
		Emergency Watershed Protection Program	51572	10-28-75
		Mantachie, Hoque Pala, and Boque Ecuba Watershed	51588	10-30-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps				
	DRAFT	Rouge River, Maintenance Dredging	51488	10-06-75
		Petroit River, Maintenance Dredging	51492	10-06-75
		Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Pearl to Apalachee Bay	51494	10-06-75
		Arkansas R., North Little Rock	51514	10-14-75
		Big South Fork National River	51522	10-14-75
		Clark Maritime Centre	51568	10-28-75
		Brazos River Basin Lakes, O&M	51575	10-28-75
		Appalachian Power Co. Power Plant, New Haven	51584	10-29-75
		Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O & M	51594	10-30-75
	FINAL	Freeport Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51483	10-06-75
		Waukegan Harbor, Maintenance Dredging	51516	10-14-75
		Sacramento River, Major and Minor Tributaries	51527	10-16-75
		Sheboygan Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51528	10-16-75
		Maintenance Dredging, Michigan	51550	10-22-75
		Calumet-Sag Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51551	10-22-75
		Emergency Water Pumping Station, Potomac Estuary		

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps	FINAL	Galveston Harbor and Channel	51556	10-23-75
		Little Creek Water Supply Reservoir, Newport News	51557	10-23-75
		Central Maine Power, Wyman Unit #4	51559	10-24-75
		Chevron Oil Co., Dredging Permit	51560	10-24-75
		Hammond Small-Boat Basin	51587	10-30-75
		Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance	51589	10-30-75
		Mariner's Island Development	51598	10-31-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy	FINAL	Naval Petroleum Reserve # 4, Zone A	51562	10-24-75
	DRAFT	Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass.	41595	10-21-75
	FINAL	Residential Interamericana, Trujillo Alto	51580	10-29-75
		Stonewall Subdivision	51471	10-02-75
		Upton Urban Renewal, Baltimore	51474	10-02-75
		Wilton Development New Castle County	51519	10-14-75
	DRAFT	Alhambra 18-Pole Golf Facility, California	51473	10-02-75
		Alaska Pike Area Water System, Huntsville	51486	10-06-75
		Hoston Demolition Plan	51525	10-15-75
	Water Line Extension, Marshall County	51526	10-15-75	
	Great Alamance Creek Project	51501	10-29-75	
FINAL	Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock	51591	10-30-75	
	Williamson Creek Sewer Trunk, Temple	51472	10-02-75	
	Lightening Brook Channel Modification	51495	10-07-75	
	Bantaushaun Brook Improvement Project	51542	10-29-75	
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR Bureau of Land Management Bureau of Outdoor Recreation Bureau of Sports, Fisheries, and Wildlife	DRAFT	North Umpqua Canyon	51583	10-29-75
	DRAFT	Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	51480	10-03-75
	FINAL	North Country Trail	51497	10-07-75
	FINAL	Proposed Back Bay Wilderness Area	51466	10-01-75
		Hawaiian Islands Wilderness Area	51468	10-01-75
		Wolf Creek National Fish Hatchery	51536	10-17-75

Section 104 (b)

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

AGENCY
BUREAU

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR
Geological Survey

National Park Service

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

Federal Highway Administration

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
FINAL	Hell Ayr South Mine, Amax Coal Co.	51500	10-08-75
FINAL	White Sands National Monument	51535	10-17-75
DRAFT	Coke Oven Emissions	51539	10-17-75
DRAFT	Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana	51530	10-16-75
FINAL	Birmingham Municipal Airport Improvements	51577	10-28-75
DRAFT	Interchange at Castle Junction	51469	10-01-75
	Loop 201, Baytown, Texas (Supplement)	51478	10-03-75
	Brownville Bridge West, US 136	51501	10-08-75
	Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection	51502	10-08-75
	South Canton Bypass	51506	10-09-75
	Kansas Hwy 177 and Kansas Hwy 18, Manhattan	51507	10-09-75
	1-287, US202 to NY State Line	51509	10-09-75
	Relocated US 140 and Phase I Rapid Transit (Supple	51511	10-10-75
	Appalachian Highway in North Georgia	51523	10-14-75
	USH 61, 151 and 5TH 35, Mississippi R. to Dickeyvi	51545	10-21-75
	1-435 Extension (2nd Supplement)	51561	10-24-75
	1-48, Hinmans Corner to Port Crane	51567	10-28-75
	Brookwood Sta. Area Improvements, I-85, 1-75	51570	10-28-75
	Brown Road, I-70 to I-270	51592	10-30-75
	US 83, North Platte South	51597	10-31-75
FINAL	Iowa 2, Donnelson to U.S. 61	51485	10-06-75
	U.S. Route 4, Vermont	51490	10-06-75
	Northwest County Highway	51515	10-14-75
	State Trunk Highway 21, Juneau County	51532	10-16-75
	1-295, Richmond	51534	10-17-75
	Route 50, Cole County	51542	10-20-75
	S.R. 1	51544	10-21-75
	Route 15, San Diego	51548	10-21-75
	State Route 6, Tennessee	51549	10-21-75
	Interstate Highway 90, Minnesota	51553	10-22-75
	U.S. 56, Marion County	51571	10-28-75
	US 67, Scott County, Iowa	51574	10-28-75
	U.S. 30 Bypass, North Platte	51578	10-28-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Federal Highway Administration	DRAFT	Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, FAP 38)	51595	10-30-75
	FINAL	Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass	51499	10-07-75
		Wellsville Arterial, Allegany County	51585	10-29-75
		North-South Arterial	51593	10-30-75
	DRAFT	LOHAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement)	51600	10-31-75
	FINAL	Deepwater Port Regulations	51475	10-03-75
		LOHAN-C Chain, West Coast/Gulf of Alaska	51513	10-14-75
	DRAFT	Bicentennial Transportation Program	51477	10-03-75
		MARTA (Supplement)	51547	10-21-75
		South Quincy Area Transit Station	51599	10-31-75
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	DRAFT	Dalmon Creek Interceptor, Juneau	51465	10-01-75
		New Motorcycle Emissions Standards	51564	10-28-75
	FINAL	Energy Co. of Alaska Topping Plant, North Pole	51569	10-28-75
		New Shoreham Treatment Facilities	51476	10-03-75
		Redwood Service District	51558	10-23-75
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION	DRAFT	Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass	51499	10-07-75
	DRAFT	Bad Creek Pumped Storage Project	51479	10-03-75
		East Tennessee Natural Gas, Curtailment	51496	10-07-75
		Algonquin Gas Transmission Co., Curtailment	51504	10-09-75
	FINAL	Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp., Curtailment	51566	10-28-75
	Mississippi River Transmission Corporation	51540	10-20-75	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	DRAFT	Metallurgy Research Center, Salt Lake City	51512	10-14-75
		Government Printing Office Relocation	51538	10-17-75
	FINAL	Social Security Administration Center	51541	10-20-75
		Removal of Hill 733, Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro	51529	10-16-75
		Federal Building and Parking Facility, Norfolk	51596	10-30-75

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

AGENCY
BUREAU

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Highway Administration

U.S.Coast Guard

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY
BUREAU

STATUS STATEMENT TITLE ACCESSION
NUMBER DATE
FILED

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

FINAL International Phase of Ocean Drilling 51531 10-16-75

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DRAFT Co-60 Spark-Gap Irradiators, Licensing Exemption 51573 10-28-75
William B. McGuire Sta., Units 1 and 2, Operation 51586 10-29-75
FINAL Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Sta., 2 & 3 51484 10-06-75
Cherokee Nuclear Stations, Units 1, 2 and 3 51493 10-06-75
Floating Nuclear Power Plants, Offshore Power Syst 51498 10-07-75
Jamesport Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 51508 10-09-75
Perkins Nuclear Station, Units 1-3, Construction 51563 10-28-75

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

DRAFT Morton Ranch Uranium Mining 51481 10-03-75
Poor Valley Creek State Park 51552 10-22-75

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

FINAL Added Parking Facilities, VA. Hosp., Iowa City 51554 10-23-75

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

The following statements were filed with the Council from October 1, 1975 through October 31, 1975. Copies of the statements are available from the issuing agency (see contact at the beginning of the agency listing) and from the Environmental Law Institute 1346 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, (202)452-9600) at cost, \$.10/page.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. Fowden G. Maxwell
Coordinator of Environmental
Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Room 359-A,
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Forest Service

Draft

Date

Council Unit, Payette N.F.

10/14

Idaho

County: Adams Washington

The statement concerns the land use plan for the 138,862 acre Council Planning Unit of the Payette National Forest. The plan sets forth management objectives and priorities, and allocates land to resource uses and activities. Adverse effects will be from temporary stream siltation due to resource utilization, short periods of air pollution caused by planned burning, and minor intrusions into an inventoried roadless area.
(ELR ORDER # 51518)

O'Brien-17 Mile-Cross Mt. Units, Kootenai N.F.

10/06

Montana

County: Lincoln

The action involves the implementation of a revised multiple use management plan for the O'Brien-Seventeen-mile-Cross Mountain Planning Units, Kootenai National Forest. The proposal will cover approximately 146,500 acres of land. The plan calls for continued development activity, management of the wildlife and visual resources, and increased timber production due to more intensive management.
(ELR ORDER # 51489)

Kancamagus Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F.

10/10

Vermont

County: Carroll Grafton

Proposed is the land use plan for the Kancamagus Unit of the White Mountain National Forest. Major points under the proposed action include: strategic water quality monitoring, major deer yard improvement, elimination and minimal expansion of camp and picnic grounds, more varied recreation facilities, use restrictions and carrying capacity standards, and timber sales producing over 4.8 million board feet per year. Adverse impacts of the proposal include sedimentation, stream channel instability,

on-site erosion, decreased local tax revenues, and increased solid waste problems due to anticipated increase in dispersed off-road recreation.
(ELR ORDER # 51510)

Upper Lewis R. Unit, Gifford-Pinchot N.F.

10/14

Washington

County: Skamania

The statement concerns the land use plan for the Upper Lewis River Planning Unit of the Gifford-Pinchot National Forest. The plan allots 2,840 acres within the unit for classification as a Scenic Area, 2,460 acres to wilderness study, 1,150 acres near the north boundary as unroaded area, 4,500 acres for wildlife habitat, 190 acres for recreation developments, and 72,676 acres on which the main activity will be timber harvests. Physical and biological impacts will be caused by additional people on the area, and logging will disturb the biological systems.

(ELR ORDER # 51517)

Final

Date

Garden Valley Planning Unit, Boise N.F.

10/01

Idaho

County: Valley Boise

The statement refers to a land use plan for the 241,600 acre Garden Valley Planning Unit of Boise National Forest. The plan attempts to determine the desired balance of development and protection for each management unit. The most significant adverse impact is on fish and wildlife. Livestock use in and around stream channels will remove needed streambank cover, cause streambanks to cave in, and increase sedimentation. Road construction, timber harvest, grazing, and campground development will have an adverse effect on aesthetics.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA USDA AHP
state and local agencies
organizations and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51470)

Moose Creek Basin, Salmon N.F.

10/06

Idaho

County: Lemhi

The statement concerns the land use plan for the Moose Creek Basin Planning Unit of Salmon National Forest. The Unit contains 74,100 acres, of which 681 acres are privately owned and, in addition, there are 123 acres of unpatented mining claims where surface rights are not held by the United States. The major environmental effects will be due to timber harvests, access road construction, and possible mining activities.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP DOC DOI
state agencies and individuals
(ELF ORDER # 51487)

Cascade Planning Unit, Boise N.F.

10/17

Idaho

County: Valley

The statement concerns the land use plan for the 136,466-acre Cascade Planning Unit of the Boise National Forest. The plan sets forth the allocation of land to various resource uses and activities, establishes objectives, documents management direction, decisions, and necessary coordination between resource uses. Impacts relative to all possible uses or non-use within the unit include effects on vegetation soils, esthetic values, wildlife, water, and recreational values.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA DOT USDA AHP
state and local organizations and
individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51537)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft

Date

Apache Units #2,3,Transmission Lines

10/01

Arizona

County: several

The project involves the request for a loan guarantee from Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., to construct two new generating units (No. 2 and No. 3) at the existing Apache plant site. Each unit will have a net capacity of 175 MW. The project will also consist of 58 miles of 230kV transmission line and 23 miles of 345 kV transmission line. The project will result in the consumption of 1.3 million tons of coal annually and 5,400 gpm of water from deep-wells located on the site. The water consumption will adversely affect nearby wells used for agricultural irrigation.
(ELF ORDER # 51467)

230kV Transmission Lines, Summer Nuclear Plant

10/21

South Carolina

County: several

The statement concerns a loan application by the Central Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., to finance approximately 95 miles of 230kV line from the Summer Nuclear Plant to Newberry and from Varnville to Hilton Head. The project also includes construction of five new substations at Newberry, Varnville, Hilton Head, Batesburg, and Camden plus a 230kV terminal at the existing Blythewood substation. Environmental effects associated with the project

involve introducing negative visual impacts into scenic areas, the removal of tall trees, some soil erosion which may affect nearby waterways, minor limitations on land use, and temporary construction effects.
(ELR ORDER # 51546)

Final

Date

Tombigbee Units 2 and 3

10/17

Alabama

County: several

The statement refers to the request for insured and guaranteed loan funds from REA for \$265,553,000 to construct Alabama Electric Cooperative's addition of two 233MW (gross output) coalfired steam generator units and approximately 157 miles of 230 kV transmission lines and acquire related coal leases. Adverse impacts include soil erosion and visual intrusion from the transmission facilities and effects associated with burning coal.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA COE DOT FEA DOI

state agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51533)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft

Date

South Fourche Watershed

10/06

Arkansas

County: several

Proposed is a project for watershed protection and flood prevention for the City of Perryville and surrounding areas. Six floodwater retarding structures will be constructed and will supply for the area. About 10 miles of upland intermittent streams and 8 miles of upland ephemeral streams will be permanently inundated by the 7 reservoirs.

(ELR ORDER # 51482)

Upper Petit Jean Watershed

10/20

Arkansas

County: Logan Scott Sebastian

Proposed is a project for the purposes of watershed protection, flood prevention, and a municipal and industrial water supply. The project will commit 507 acres of grassland and 535 acres of forest land to 8 floodwater retarding structures and 1 multiple purpose structure. About 13 miles of upland intermittent streams will be permanently inundated by the 9 reservoirs. The project will also require the relocation of 17 families.

(ELR ORDER # 51543)

Carpinteria Valley Watershed

10/28

California

County: Santa Barbara

The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood prevention in the Carpinteria Valley. Construction is already partially completed. The project includes a debris dam and about 10.3 miles of concrete lined channel to connect with existing Santa Monica Creek channel, and concrete channels on Franklin Creek. The construction will require the displacement of 9 families. (ELR ORDER # 51576)

Norwalk River Watershed

10/28

Connecticut

County: Fairfield

The Norwalk River Watershed Project was designed for watershed protection, flood prevention and wetland wildlife habitat improvement. Four impoundment structures and a total of 2.1 miles of flood prevention channel work remained to be constructed. The structural measures will disturb or alter wildlife habitat in the area. The project will also require the displacement of 28 persons. (ELR ORDER # 51579)

Great Creek Watershed Project

10/14

Virginia

County: Brunswick Lunenburg

Proposed is a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, and municipal and industrial water storage in Brunswick and Lunenburg Counties, Virginia. A 57-foot high earth fill dam will be built to store water, trap sediment, and reduce flooding downstream. The project will inundate 212 acres and restrict land use on 430 acres of forest land, cropland, and pastureland. Approximately 4.8 miles of perennial stream and 2.1 miles of intermittent stream will be inundated. (ELP ORDER # 51520)

Final

Date

Emergency Watershed Protection Program

10/28

The statement refers to the Emergency Watershed Protection Program, authorized by Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950. The program authorizes measures to be installed to safeguard lives and property from floods and the products of erosion whenever fire or other natural element or force causes a sudden impairment of a watershed. Frequently used emergency measure include: establishment of vegetative cover, gully control structures, streambank protection, debris and sediment removal, and emergency repair of existing

dams, dikes, and other water control structures. (47 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD FPC DOC EPA HEW DOI USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51572)

Lower Pine Creek Watershed Project

10/06

California

County: Contra Costa

The statement refers to the Lower Pine Creek watershed protection, flood prevention and recreation project in Contra Costa County, California. The proposed project consists of conservation land treatment measures along with two earthfill multiple purpose dams with a common reservoir and basic recreational facilities, and forming a park area. Construction of the project will eliminate livestock grazing area and change the wildlife habitat at the site. There will also be temporary disturbances during construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE HEW DOI DOT EPA 2AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51491)

Rogue River Watershed

10/28

Michigan

County: Several

This project consists of a combination of land treatment, channel work and a pumping station to reduce the problems of flooding and poor drainage in the Rice Lake problem area and land treatment to improve management and erosion problems throughout Rogue River Watershed in west-central Michigan. The following adverse impacts will result: shift of 94 acres of cropland to grassland; sediment and erosion along 11.6 miles of channel work during construction and until stabilize ; and temporary construction noise and disruption of wildlife habitat, and benthos community.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOT EPA AHP
state agencies
(ELF ORDER # 51565)

Mantachie, Bogue Fala, and Bogue Ecuba Watershed

10/30

Mississippi

County: Itawamba Lee Monroe

The statement concerns a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, and recreation for Mantachie, Bogue Fala, and Bogue Ecuba Creeks Watershed. The project will utilize conservation land treatment, 12 floodwater retarding structures, and two multiple purpose structures. Nine hundred eighty three acres will be inundated and another 225 acres of wildlife habitat subject to seasonal flooding. Temporary construction disruption and lowered water quality will result.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51588)

Little Black Watersheds

10/15

Missouri Arkansas
County: several

The statement concerns two interrelated projects for watershed protection, flood prevention, drainage on agricultural land, and recreation for 40,254 acres in Butler, Carter, and Ripley Counties, Missouri, and Clay County, Arkansas. Construction of the 24 floodwater retarding structures and the multiple-purpose reservoir will remove 1,192 acres of forest land, 334 acres of cropland, and 52 acres of pastureland. Proposed channel modification will result in the loss of 205 acres of cropland and 570 acres of forest land and the gain of 718 acres of pastureland. (125 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51524)

Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project

10/14

New Mexico
County: McKinley

The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood prevention for 11,700 acres of the Zuni Pueblo Watershed. About 652 acres of land would be needed for construction of 60' X 7,000' earth dam, spillway, an emergency spillway, and operation of the system. Eleven archeological sites would be committed to mitigation salvage and excavation.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI DOT EPA AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51521)

Upper Muddy Boggy Creek Watershed

10/09

Oklahoma
County: several

The Upper Muddy Boggy Creek Watershed work plan proposes a project for watershed protection and flood prevention. The project measures include accelerated application of land treatment measures for the protection of 94,809 acres of agricultural upland and the installation of 36 floodwater structures for the reduction of floodwater, sediment, and erosion damages on 8,876 acres of floodplain land in Pontotoc, Hughes, Coal, and Pittsburgh Counties. Adverse impacts are the inundation of land, decreased woody habitat, disruption of fish and wildlife, and short term increase in erosion and turbidity. (40 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI DOT EPA
conservation interests
(ELR ORDER # 51505)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy Development
Attn: DAEN-CWR-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft Date
Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O & M 10/30

Alabama Florida Georgia
Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance of the Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers for navigation, flood control, hydropower and recreation. Navigation features consist of channel construction the length of the authorized project. Dredging activities for commercial sand and gravel production are discussed as they relate to the purpose of maintaining the Federal project. Adverse effects include temporary increase in turbidity and suspended solids concentrations near the dredge and disposal areas, loss of wildlife habitation and blocking of upstream migration of fish.
(ELR ORDER # 51594)

Arkansas R., North Little Rock 10/14

Arkansas
County: Pulaski
The statement concerns a project for flood protection for the downtown area of North Little Rock, Arkansas. The selected plan consists of approximately 3.5 miles of excavated channel, 9,000 feet of renovated existing channels, and 9,800 feet of new channel. The project, with a fence erected on both sides of the channel, will require about 70 acres of right-of-way. Adverse impacts of the project include the loss of 1,315 acres of poor quality wildlife habitat, a decline in aesthetics, relocation of businesses and the possible spread of Histoplasma spores as secondary development occurs. (Little Rock District)
(ELR ORDER # 51514)

Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Pearl to Apalachee Bay 10/06

Florida Mississippi
The statement concerns the continued operation and maintenance to authorized dimensions of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway from Pearl River, Louisiana-Mississippi to Apalachee Bay, Florida. Primary adverse effects include loss of benthic community in the dredged material; turbidity, mudflows, and

lower water quality in the area of the cutterhead and open water disposal sites; and displeasing appearance of the fast land disposal sites. (Mobile District)
(ELR ORDER # 51494)

Clark Maritime Centre

10/28

Indiana

County:

Clark

Proposed is the construction and operation of a public port on the Ohio River near Jeffersonville, Indiana, and an associated Industrial Park by the Indiana Port Commission. The port facilities will require about 200 acres of land and will be built on the flood plain of the Ohio River behind Sixmile Island along 3500 feet of riverbank between Ohio R. miles 597 and 598. The Industrial Park will extend approximately 3 miles NW from the port to SF 62. Adverse effects include the alteration of 1020 acres of primarily agricultural and wooded land, displacement of 16 families, and loss of wildlife habitat.
(ELR ORDER # 51568)

Big South Fork National River

10/14

Kentucky

Tennessee

Proposed is the establishment, administration, and maintenance of the Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, Tennessee and Kentucky, as authorized by P.L. 93-251. The project will displace 295 persons and families from 13 active cemeteries, and will require the acquisition of 61 farms, loss of significant timber harvest, loss of coal, gas, and oil production, revenue losses, and disturbance of the area due to increased tourist use. (Nashville District)
(ELR ORDER # 51522)

Pouge River, Maintenance Dredging

10/06

Michigan

The statement concerns the maintenance dredging of 4.5 miles of the Rouge River navigation channel. An estimated 230,500 cubic yards of sediments must be removed annually to maintain the channels. Adverse impacts of the project include increased turbidity, destruction of benthic habitat, water quality degradation in the dredging area, and the disturbance of the disposal site. (Detroit District)
(ELR ORDER # 51488)

Detroit River, Maintenance Dredging

10/06

Michigan

Proposed is the maintenance dredging in the Detroit River connecting channels. Upon completion of the

Pointe Mouillee confined disposal facility, an estimated 672,000 cubic yards must be removed annually to maintain the connecting channels. Adverse impacts of the proposal include alteration of existing habitats due to dredge disposal and destruction of aquatic life in the dredged area. (Detroit District) (ELR ORDER # 51492)

Brazos River Basin Lakes, O&M

10/28

Texas

County: several

This statement reviews the environmental impacts of the operations, maintenance and management programs at 5 completed and operating reservoir projects: Whitney Lake, Waco Lake, Proctor Lake, Stillhouse Hollow Dam and Lake, and Somerville Lake, all in the Brazos River Basin, Texas. These programs include flood control, water conservation, power generation, and management of lands and waters for various forms of recreation. Adverse effects of the programs include the killing of terrestrial wildlife by the impoundment of floodwater, the damaging of grasses and trees by prolonged storage of floodwater, and the placement of pressure on project lands by heavy recreational use. (ELR ORDER # 51575)

Appalachian Power Co. Power Plant, New Haven

10/29

West Virginia

County: Mason

Proposed is the construction and operation of a 1,300,000 kv coal-fired power plant in New Haven, West Virginia. The plant will use cooling water from the Ohio River and will discharge station waste to the river. Adverse impacts of the project include the discharge of waste from ash disposal and station drains, and passage of water through the station system, the use of chemical additives to water used in the station system, and the breakdown of the pollution control system. The project will also require the clearing of forest land and the relocation of Little Broad Run. (Huntington District) (ELR ORDER # 51584)

Final

Date

Chevron Oil Co., Dredging Permit

10/24

Alabama

County: Baldwin

Proposed is the issuance of a permit to Chevron Oil Company for the dredging of a slip in the Mobile River Delta to accomodate an inland drilling operations. Adverse impacts include the destruction of about 5 acres of swamp, increased noise levels in the vicinity, degradation of the aesthetic quality of the area, and the potential effects

of accidental oil spills and blowouts on Mobile Delta and surrounding areas. (Mobile District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD DOT USDA EPA DOC
state and local agencies
and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51560)

Sacramento River, Major and Minor Tributaries

10/14

California

County: several

The project involves the protection of extensive areas in the Sacramento River Basin flood plains from widespread damages and loss of life due to flooding. Loss of wildlife and associated natural riparian values along the streams cannot be avoided at some sites. (Sacramento River)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HEW USDA DOT
state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51516)

Mariner's Island Development

10/31

California

County: San Mateo

The statement refers to the regulatory permit application of the Security Savings and Loan Association for the Mariner's Island Development Project. The plan includes the placing of approximately 1,380,000 cubic yards of imported fill on a 190 acre portion of a 207 acre site at Mariner's Island and construction of a 900,000 sq.ft. shopping center, office buildings, multi-family dwellings, automotive sales center research and development center, and satellite commercial development. Adverse impacts include increase in localized noise and traffic and loss of 190 acres of wildlife habitat. (San Francisco District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW DOI DOT USDA
state and local agencies
and one individual

(ELR ORDER # 51598)

Emergency Water Pumping Station, Potomac Estuary

10/22

District of Co

Proposed is the construction of a 100MGD emergency raw water intake, an access road, and a temporary earthen-fill bridge 1,100 feet upstream from Chain Bridge. The project is intended to assure an adequate supply of raw water during low flows of the Potomac. Adverse impact will include: the commitment of land; encroachment upon the C and O Canal National Historical Park, with subsequent aesthetic and recreational losses; and potential damage

to water quality and life within the Estuary if the intake is used for prolonged periods. (Baltimore District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA
state and local agencies
(ELF ORDER # 51551)

Waukegan Harbor, Maintenance Dredging

10/06

Illinois

County: Lake

The project proposes to resume maintenance dredging and to dispose the unpolluted sediments from the entrance channel at Waukegan Harbor into a deep open water disposal site in Lake Michigan and to dispose the polluted sediments into a confined disposal site located at Kenosha Harbor, Wisconsin. The proposed project would dredge over a 10 year period, 350,000 cu. yds. of sediment. Adverse impacts are disruptions of benthic community, increased turbidity within the harbor and open lake disposal site, and navigation hazards. (Chicago District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HEW HUD DOI DOT EPA USCG
state and regional agencies
(ELF ORDER # 51483)

Calumet-Sag Channel, Maintenance Dredging

10/22

Illinois

The project involves the maintenance dredging of Calumet-Sag Channel and the Little Calumet River, and the disposal of polluted sediments in land disposal areas. The dredging program is intended to maintain the waterway for commercial traffic. Adverse impact includes temporary increases in water turbidity, and the potential pollution of ground water. (Disposal sites may be lined with clay in order to mitigate the latter effects.)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC EPA HEW HUD DOI DOT
state and local agencies,
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51550)

Central Maine Power, Wyman Unit #4

10/24

Maine

Proposed is the addition of a 600 megawatt electric generating unit to the present plant capacity of 224 MW. The plant would consume approximately 200 million gallons of fuel oil per year, increasing emissions to the earth's atmosphere. The project also entails dredging from Casco Bay and raising of Casco Bay seawater used for cooling 25 degrees F. Marine life will also entrained in the cooling system. (Waltham District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOC DOI EPA HEW
local agency
(ELR ORDER # 51559)

Maintenance Dredging, Michigan

10/16

Michigan

County: several

Proposed is the maintenance dredging of 14 unpolluted harbors: Charlevoix, Frankfort, Grand Haven, Holland, Leland, Little Lake, Ludington, Manistee, Muskegon, Pentwater, St. Joseph, Saugatuck, South Haven, and White Lake. The impact will be limited to temporary construction disruption.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOT FPC USDA HUD DOI DOC EPA AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51528)

Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance

10/30

New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware

Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance in the navigable portions of the Delaware River and adjacent waterways including repair of training structures and dredged material disposal on existing and proposed upland and riparian sites. Dredging will reduce water quality and cause temporary turbidity and disposal of dredged materials will destroy vegetation on the disposal site. (Philadelphia District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOC DOI HUD DOT AHP
state and local agencies
(ELF ORDER # 51589)

Hammond Small-Boat Basin

10/30

Oregon

County: Clatsop

Proposed is the repair of the existing breakwater and construction of a rubblemound east breakwater and groin, and dredging and maintenance of an entrance access channel of Hammond Small-Boat Basin. The project will result in disturbance of the aquatic community, turbidity, and elimination of some habitat for small animals at the site of dredge disposal. (Portland District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOT AHP DOI USDA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51587)

Freeport Harbor Maintenance Dredging

10/30

Texas

County: Brazoria

Proposed is the widening and deepening of Freeport Harbor, Texas, by periodic removal of shoal deposits from the harbor. Dredge material will be deposited in leveed land areas and in the Gulf of Mexico. Adverse impacts include removal of bottom dwelling organisms, destruction of vegetation used for fishery resource habitat, high localized turbidity, and objectionable odors from land disposal operations. (Galveston District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA HUD DOC DOI DOT AHP
state and local agencies
and one individual
(ELR ORDEF # 51090)

Galveston Harbor and Channel

10/23

Texas

County: Galveston

The statement refers to the proposed continued maintenance of Galveston Harbor and Channel by periodic removal of shoaled materials. Maintenance will be performed with a hopper dredge or contract pipeline dredge with materials disposed of in a designated area in the Gulf of Mexico. Adverse impacts are loss of benthic community in dredge area, and temporary turbidity.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI AHP DOT HUD HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51556)

Little Creek Water Supply Reservoir, Newport News

10/23

Virginia

County: James City

The statement discusses the city of Newport News proposal to increase the safe yield of their water supply system by damming Little Creek and creating an impoundment which will store water to be pumped from the Chickahomny River at Walker Dam. The Army Corps portion of the project includes: construction of an earthfill dam; construction of a pumping station; dredging from the stream bed at the dam site; and expansion of the pumping station at Walker Dam. Adverse impacts are the alteration of 916 acres to an aquatic habitat, displacement of wildlife habitats, and the conversion of 2.5 miles of stream to a lake. (Norfolk District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DOI EPA DOT AHP USDA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51557)

Sheboygan Harbor Maintenance Dredging

10/16

Wisconsin

County: Sheboygan

Proposed is the maintenance dredging of the Sheboygan Harbor to maintain project depths. Also included in the project is the construction of a diked disposal confinement area in Lake Michigan for disposal of the dredged materials over the next ten year period. Adverse impacts include the disturbance of bottom dwelling organisms, the resuspension of toxic materials, the conversion of 14.8 acres of aquatic environment to a terrestrial environment, and creation of potential health and safety hazards. (Chicago District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI USDA, State and Local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51527)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Peter M. McDavitt
Special Assistant to the Assistant
Secretary of the Navy (Installations
and Logistics)
Washington D.C. 20350
(202) 692-3227

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Final

Date

Naval Petroleum Reserve # 4, Zone A

10/24

Alaska

The statement concerns a 3-year project to locate productive oil and natural gas on the 37,000 square mile Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 4 on the North Slope of Alaska. Adverse impacts include: increases in animal mortality rate, irreparable vegetation damage, extensive permafrost damage, serious alterations in drainage patterns, ecological repercussions to endangered species and ecosystems, and reduction in the wilderness qualities of the area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC HUD USCG EPA
state agencies and conservation groups
(ELR ORDER # 51562)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers
Director, Office of Federal Activities
Room 3630 Waterside Mall
Washington D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0940

Draft

Date

New Motorcycle Emissions Standards

10/28

Proposed are emissions standards for new motorcycles to reduce the levels of CO and HC in the air, 5 percent of which is caused by motorcycle exhaust in some areas. If left uncontrolled, the emissions could climb to over 10% of total emissions of HC and CO in such areas by 1990. Potential disadvantages will be slight increases in nitrogen oxides, possible sulfate emissions from post-1979 motorcycles, and the unknown compatibility of emission controls with future regulations of motorcycle noise.
(ELR ORDER # 51564)

Dalmon Creek Interceptor, Juneau

10/01

Alaska

The statement concerns the awarding of grant funds to the City and Borough of Juneau, Alaska for the construction of an interceptor sewer line to service the area from Vanderbilt Hill to Norway Point. The estimated cost for the 4.5 miles of pipeline is \$2,173,000. Completion of the project would remove present constraints on growth and development in the project area. The project will result in cost to property owners and secondary impacts of erosion of soils and increased sedimentation in the Twin Lake area due to development.
(ELP ORDER # 51465)

Energy Co. of Alaska Topping Plant, North Pole

10/28

Alaska

The statement concerns the issuance of a new source NPDES permit for discharge of process waters from ECA's proposed topping plant into the Tanana River. The proposed plant will be capable of processing up to 25,000 barrels per day of crude oil from the Trans-Alaska Pipeline. The plant will employ 100 persons during construction and 60 persons during operation. Addition of the refinery employees will put a strain upon the already strained housing market of the Fairbanks-North Pole area.
(ELR ORDER # 51569)

Final

Date

Redwood Service District

10/23

Oregon

County: Josephine

The project as originally proposed by the Redwood Sanitary Sewer Service District would involve construction of a sewerage system to initially serve the eastern half of the service district which has been declared an emergency health hazard area by the Josephine County Health Department. An interceptor would be constructed westerly along the Rogue River to a secondary treatment plant at the west end of the Service District. Effluent would be discharged to the Rogue River. A "no action" alternative and an alternative with a different plant location are included.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA USA DOT AHP HUD
state and local agencies
and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51558)

New Shoreham Treatment Facilities

10/03

Rhode Island

Proposed is a municipal collection system and an extended aeration system as the method of wastewater treatment for Block Island. Since the New Harbor Area is not suitable for subsurface sewerage systems, Alternative B, which includes addition of a filtration unit for the final effluent to insure a consistently high quality effluent at the outfall, odor control and noise attenuation, aesthetic screening, and fencing of the outfall, was chosen. Adverse impacts include the limited growth potential due to the implementation of the project, and construction disruption.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA COE DOT USCG HUD HEW
state agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51476)

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Richard F. Hill
Acting Advisor on Environmental Quality
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 386-6084

Draft

Date

East Tennessee Natural Gas, Curtailment

10/07

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the East Tennessee Natural Gas Company System. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structures and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51496)

Algonquin Gas Transmission Co., Curtailment

10/09

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Algonquin Gas Transmission Company. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51504)

Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp., Curtailment

10/28

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Reference is made to the fact that rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51566)

Bad Creek Pumped Storage Project

10/03

South Carolina

Proposed is the licensing of Duke Power Co. for the construction, operation, and maintenance of a pumped

storage project that would include a 318-acre upper reservoir, a 1.25 mile underground power tunnel, a 1,000 MW underground powerhouse complex and a 525kV transmission line. Construction of the project would require the elimination of 2.3 acres of trout stream and 508 acres of land vegetation. No recreational facilities are proposed within the project area.
(ELR ORDER # 51479)

Final

Date

Mississippi River Transmission Corporation

10/20

The statement describes the projected curtailment of natural gas supply for the Mississippi River Transmission Corporation. Adverse effects include decreased air quality due to increased use of other fossil fuels and economic consequences of the deficiency of natural gas.

COMMENTS MADE BY: NRC HEW

state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51540)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director of Environmental Affairs
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4161

Draft

Date

Social Security Administration Center

10/20

California

County: Monterey

The proposed action consists of construction and operation of a Data Operations Center for the Social Security Administration on an 11.71 acre site in Salinas, California. The project will have a negative effect upon air quality due to automobile traffic to and from the facility. Development of the area will also occur unless the general plan for the city is enforced.
(ELR ORDER # 51541)

Government Printing Office Relocation

10/17

District of Co

The action consists of the construction of a new printing plant, documents warehouse and distribution facility, and administrative center for the Government Printing Office (GPO). The new 33 acre site is located at Brentwood Road and T Street in Northeast Washington, D.C. The new plant would provide for the consolidation of GPO functions now operating separately around the Metropolitan area. Impacts of the project include the increase in traffic in the area which is already operating at over peak volume capacity, and the vacating of the existing GPO office buildings.
(ELR ORDER # 51538)

Metallurgy Research Center, Salt Lake City

10/14

Utah

County: Salt Lake

The proposed action is the construction of a new Metallurgy Research Center for the Bureau of Mines, Department of Interior. The Center will replace the current building in Salt Lake City and will provide for over 125 employees. The project will require the commitment of 34 acres of land and will have an impact upon the cultural and historic resources of the area.
(ELR ORDER # 51512)

Final

Date

Removal of Hill 733, Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro 10/16

California

County: Orange

Proposed is the disposal of 2.5 million cu. yds. of fill earth from Hill 733 of U.S. Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro (Santa Ana), California. At present the upper portion of Hill 733 penetrates the approach and ascent flight patterns from the east-west runways at the Air Station. The operation may take from 8.5 to 17 years depending upon the rate of removal. Slight temporary increases of air and noise pollution near the site will result.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW EPA AHP DOT USDA COE
(ELR ORDER # 51529)

Federal Building and Parking Facility, Norfolk

10/30

Virginia

The proposed action consists of the construction of a Federal Building and parking facility for the consolidation of Federal agencies in Norfolk, Virginia. The cost of the project, including site acquisition, relocation, design, construction and inspection will be about 14.5 million. Long-term environmental impacts are primarily those related to the use of limited resources and City services necessary for the operation of the facility.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOC EPA DOI DLAB
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51596)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental
Quality
Room 7258
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft	Date
Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass.	10/21

Massachusetts

The statement refers to the proposed 103.4 acre Murray Industrial Park Urban Renewal Project in Chelsea. The project would provide for commercial, industrial, and residential uses of the area, part of which was destroyed in a fire in October, 1973. Adverse impact will result from construction disruption, and from the close proximity of Logan Airport.
(ELR ORDER # 41595)

Residencial Interamericana, Trujillo Alto	10/29
---	-------

Puerto Rico

The project consists of the development of 1,286 dwelling units on a 128 acre site in Las Cuevas Ward, Trujillo Alto, Puerto Rico. Construction of the units will augment the supply of moderate-income housing in the San Juan area. Temporary construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51580)

Final	Date
Wilton Development New Castle County	10/14

Delaware

County: New Castle

Wilton involves the residential development of a 370 acre tract of land over an approximately ten year period. Development will include construction of 3,000 dwelling units and community centers. Land is being reserved for development of public open space and school areas. Plans also call for development of a commercial area fronting on Route 40. Adverse impacts of the plan include: conversion of agricultural land to urban use, some increase in air pollution and community noise levels, and some traffic congestion.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51519)

Stoneleigh Subdivision	10/02
------------------------	-------

Georgia

County: DeKalb

The statement refers to the proposed development of Stoneleigh Subdivision in DeKalb County, Georgia. The project is a planned unit development encompassing 87.5 acres, with a proposed land use of 612 single-family townhouse units, common areas, green belts, a clubhouse with swimming and wading pools and 4 tennis courts. Adverse impacts are increased demand created on utilities, municipal and community services and facilities, increased surface water run-off, traffic congestion, and increased noise and air pollution.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA EPA HEW DOI DOT
state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51471)

Upton Urban Renewal, Baltimore

10/02

Maryland

The statement concerns an urban renewal project for Upton, a 183 acre area of Baltimore's black community. The project's goal to improve housing will be realized primarily through rehabilitation of existing buildings, although 1,000 new housing units will be constructed. The project will displace families and businesses.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC AHP DOI DOT COE, State and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51474)

Section 104(h)

Draft

Date

Pulaski Pike Area Water System, Huntsville

10/06

Alabama

County: Madison

The statement concerns a water system for the city of Huntsville and rural areas of Monroe County to provide a water supply more dependable than wells currently used. Construction of the project involves trenching for water distribution lines from the existing main in Huntsville to outlying areas. Increased population density along the route is expected.

(ELR ORDER # 51486)

Alhambra 18-Hole Golf Facility, California

10/02

California

Proposed is the extension of the existing 9-hole golf Alhambra golf facility to an 18-hole facility. The project will encompass 43 acres of land and the existing 9-hole course. When completed, the facility will provide a 6,070 yard championship course with a clubhouse, pro shop, restaurant, and parking facilities for approximately 200 automobiles. Construction disrupt-

ion will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51473)

Boston Demolition Plan

10/15

Massachusetts

The action, under the Community Development Block Grant Program is to demolish 900 buildings over two years. The purpose of the program is to remove fire and health hazards from seven areas of Boston: Roxbury, Dorchester, Jamaica Plain, South Boston, Franklin Field, Upham's Corner, and Mattapan. Adverse impacts of the project include traffic congestion at demolition sites, an increase in large-particle dust at demolition sites and an increase in ambient noise levels.
(ELR ORDER # 51525)

Great Alamance Creek Project

10/29

North Carolina

Phase I of the Great Alamance Creek Water Supply Project consists of the construction of a temporary weir and intake on Alamance Creek, a raw water pumping station, and a trunk main connection to distribution system. The project would provide water supply for the City of Burlington, North Carolina. Approximately 5 acres of land will be required for the structural measures.
(ELR ORDER # 51581)

Water Line Extension, Marshall County

10/15

Tennessee

County: Marshall

The project is the construction of a 9.3 mile long water line extension in Marshall County, Tennessee. The service area encompasses approximately 3,945 acres and 250 people. Construction of the line will require the disruption of Springplace Road (F.A.S. 4329) in four places and the crossing of existing fence rows and private driveways.
(ELR ORDER # 51526)

Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock

10/30

Texas

The statement concerns the proposed rehabilitation of a 116.73 acre area around the Mahon School in Lubbock, Texas. The project consists of providing an improved housing supply of 675 units. Of this, 419 units will come from rehabilitation, 155 from redevelopment of 10.32 acres which are currently occupied by 45 structures, and 101 units will be constructed on vacant land. Twenty-five households will be displaced, and construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51591)

Final

Date

Lightening Brook Channel Modification

10/07

New Jersey

County: Union

The statement concerns a plan to provide flood protection, drainage improvement, and land enhancement along portions of the Elizabeth River (Lightening Brook). The project includes the construction of a concrete flume, concrete trapezoidal channel, and retention basin. Temporary construction disruption will result.

COMMENTS MADE BY:

(ELR ORDER # 51495)

Nautaushaun Brook Improvement Project

10/29

New York

County: Rockland

The statement describes a proposed flood alleviation project including channelization of portions of the brook, replacement of structures incapable of withstanding a 100-year retention period flood, the acquisition of land for flood-water retention and right-of-way and easements for purposes of construction. Land use management alternatives are discussed in contrast to engineering solutions. Defoliging of the area and construction disruption will result.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA COE

state and county agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51582)

Williamson Creek Sewer Trunk, Temple

10/02

Texas

Proposed is the installation of a sewer trunk line to serve northeastern Temple, including a presently undeveloped area proposed for residential development. The project will encourage development in northeast Temple. Construction disruption will result.

COMMENTS MADE BY:

state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51472)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 7260
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Land Management

Draft	Date
North Umpqua Canyon	10/29

Oregon

County: Douglas

The statement concerns a multiple-use management plan for 16,000 acres of O&C lands. The plan includes road construction, timber management, and development of recreation facilities. Construction of a multi-purpose road on the south side of the North Umpqua River will adversely affect the fisheries resources, and sustained-yield timber management practices will cause impacts on vegetation and wildlife.

(ELR ORDER # 51583)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Draft	Date
Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	10/03

Missouri

County: Pulaski Texas Laclede

Proposed is the legislative inclusion of a 66 mile segment of the Gasconade River and a 52 mile segment of the Big Piney River in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. The proposal would also acknowledge that two river segments on the lower Gasconade are qualified for inclusion in the National System, but call for management of the two river areas to be accomplished through local initiative. The lower 104-mile stretch of Gasconade River will also be placed under Federal control if local efforts to meet the objectives of P.L. 90-542 are not successful. Commercial, residential, and agricultural land uses would be regulated.

(ELR ORDER # 51480)

Final	Date
North Country Trail	10/07

The statement discusses the recommendation that Congress authorize the concept of the North Country Trail. Such authorization would permit the eventual designation of a hiking trail, approximately 3,246 miles in length origina-

ting at the Appalachian Trail in Vermont, traversing New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Minnesota, and terminating at the proposed Lewis and Clark Trail in North Dakota. The Secretary of the Interior would have the authority to designate some sections of the trail "scenic" and recognize others as "recreational." Recreational use would cause continued disturbance of vegetation and wildlife, and soil compaction along the trail itself.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP COE DOI HUD DOT EPA FPC
state clearing houses
(ELR ORDER # 51497)

Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

Final

Date

Hawaiian Islands Wilderness Area

10/01

Hawaii

County: Honolulu

The statement refers to a legislative proposal that 1,741 acres within the 256,145 acre Hawaiian Islands National Refuge be designated as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. The area includes island groups, reefs, shoals, and adjacent submerged lands of the Leeward Hawaiian Islands.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC EPA

(ELR ORDER # 51468)

Wolf Creek National Fish Hatchery

10/17

Kentucky

County: Russell

Proposed is the construction and operation of a national fish hatchery below Wolf Creek Dam, for the propagation of rainbow trout. Adverse impact will include the discharge of hatchery waste water to the Cumberland River, with resultant organic enrichment of river water.

(37 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE EPA HEW DOI

state agency

(ELR ORDER # 51536)

Proposed Back Bay Wilderness Area

10/01

Virginia

Proposed is the legislative designation of 2,165 acres of Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge in Virginia Beach as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. Any impact from the action would derive from protection against exploitation of the natural resources.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE EPA DOI

(ELR ORDER # 51466)

Geological Survey

Final

Date

Bell Ayr South Mine, Amax Coal Co.

10/08

Wyoming

County: Campbell

Propose is the approval of a strip mining and reclamation plan for Belle Ayr South Mine, Amax Coal Company. The plan proposes expansion of the existing operation from 3.1 million to 15 million tons per year. The land surface, vegetation, and coal bed aquifers will be destroyed in the area mined, and there will be a permanent reduction in productivity on the 3,018 acres.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW FEA DOI ICC EPA
state and local agencies, power
companies and conservation interests
(ELR ORDER # 51500)

National Park Service

Final

Date

White Sands National Monument

10/17

New Mexico

County: Otero

The statement concerns the master plan for the White Sands National Monument for the next five years, which is based on general and specific mandates of Congress and NPS policies. A co-use permit with the Secretary of the Army has allowed missile impact and recovery in the western portion of the monument, thus precluding a wilderness designation. Construction of roads and visitor facilities in the outlying area will change the area from its present natural state.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOD EPA
(ELR ORDER # 51535)

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Draft

Date

Coke Oven Emissions

10/17

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration proposes to regulate employee exposure to respirable particulates above a limit of 0.3 mg/m³. The proposal also provides for protective equipment and clothing, training, medical surveillance, and recordkeeping. The statement indicates no significant impacts on the environment external to the workplace, but lack of hard data prevents OSHA from quantifying the full extent of any type of environmental impact.

(ELR ORDEF # 51539)

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Contact: Dr. Thomas O. Jones
Deputy Assistant to the Director
National and International Programs
Room 703
Washington, D C. 20550
(202) 632-4180

Final	Date
International Phase of Ocean Drilling	10/16

Proposed is the support of the International Phase of Ocean Drilling of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, scheduled to begin late fall of 1975 as part of the National Science Foundation's Ocean Sediment Coring Program. The exploratory drilling would be performed in relatively few sites but to substantially greater depths than before. Areas with known reservoirs of hydrocarbons will be avoided. The possible release of major fluxes of natural fluids is the only event which could have a profound negative environmental impact.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USA DOI
(ELR ORDER # 51531)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. A. Giambusso, Director of Division
of Reactor Licensing
P-722, NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7373

Draft

Date

Co-60 Spark-Gap Irradiators, Licensing Exemption

10/28

The statement concerns a proposed amendment to NRC regulations to exempt from requirements for a license the receipt, possession, use, transfer, export, ownership, or acquisition of spark-gap irradiators that contain cobalt-60 for use in spark-ignited fuel-oil burners. About 6000 microcurie of Co-60 could be distributed into the environment in 6000 discrete sources, each containing no more than 1 microcurie. Estimated external radiation doses to maximally exposed individuals are included.
(ELR ORDER # 51573)

William B. McGuire Sta., Units 1 and 2, Operation

10/29

North Carolina

County: Mecklenburg

The proposed action is the issuance of operating licenses to the Duke Power Company for the startup and operation of the William B. McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Both units will employ pressurized water reactors to produce up to 6822 thermal megawatts and 2360 MW net of electrical power capacity. Cooling condenser water could be heated to as high as 97 degrees F., and loss of fish due to impingement on the water intake screens will occur. Effluent will be discharged into the Catawba River.
(ELR ORDER # 51586)

Final

Date

Floating Nuclear Power Plants, Offshore Power System

10/07

Florida

County: Duval

The statement refers to the issuance of a manufacturing license to Offshore Power Systems an Unincorporated venture of Westinghouse Electric and Westinghouse International Power Systems, Inc. for the startup and operation of a proposed manufacturing facility on Blount Island, Jacksonville. Eight floating nuclear power plants (FNP) will be constructed in a shipyard-like facility. Each plant has a pressurized water reactor of 3425 MWt (net) generating capacity. No nuclear fuel will be handled at the site. A second EIS will be issued to evaluate the generic impacts of siting and operations in the coastal waters of the

Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC HEW HUD DOI USCG EPA FPC
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51498)

Jamesport Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 10/09

New York

County: Suffolk

Proposed is the issuance of permits to the Long Island Lighting Company for the construction of the Jamestown Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located on Long Island Sound. The plant will employ two identical pressurized-water reactors to produce up to 3425 Mwt each. Two steam turbine generators will use this heat to provide 1122 MWe(net) of electrical power capacity from each turbine-generator. A design power level of 3579 Mwt(1169 MWe net) for each unit is anticipated in the future. The waste heat will be rejected by a once-through flow of water obtained from and discharged to the Long Island Sound. The cooling system will kill fish and organisms.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA FDC FEA
state and local agencies
and citizen groups
(ELR ORDER # 51508)

Perkins Nuclear Station, Units 1-3, Construction 10/28

North Carolina

County: Davie

Proposed is the issuance of a construction permit to the Duke Power Company for the construction of the Perkins Nuclear Station Units 1,2, and 3. The units will produce 3817 Mwt and 1280 MWe each. Make-up water for cooling will be drawn from and discharged to the Yadkin River. A total of 2402 acres will be used for the PNS site, displacing 26 families from the site proper and another 16 families from the Carter Creek area. Aquatic organisms entrained in the service water system will be killed due to thermal and mechanical shock, and there is potential for impingement of aquatic organisms at the intake structure as proposed.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOT HEW ERDA EPA FPC DOI
state and private agencies
and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51563)

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Sta., 2 & 3 10/06

Ohio

County: Ottawa

The proposed action is the issuance of construction permits to the Toledo Edison Company for the construction of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3. The station will employ identical pressurized-water reactors to produce 2772 megawatts thermal (Mwt) each. A steam turbine

generator will use this heat to provide about 906 MWe (net) of electric power capacity. A cooling tower will be constructed which will obtain water from and discharge it to Lake Erie. The project will require the change of about 100 acres from agricultural to industrial use.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOC HEW DOI USCG EPA
(ELR ORDER # 51484)

Cherokee Nuclear Stations, Units 1, 2 and 3

10/06

South Carolina

County: Cherokee

The proposed action is the issuance of a construction permit to the Duke Power Company for the construction of the Cherokee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2 and 3. The stations will employ three identical pressurized water reactors to produce up to approximately 3817 MWe each. A steam turbine generator will use this heat to provide 1280 MWe of electrical power capacity per unit. Water for cooling will be obtained from and discharged to the Broad River. A total of 2263 acres will be utilized for the site, displacing about 17 families. The cooling tower blowdown and chemical effluents from the station will increase the dissolved solids concentration in the Broad.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE USDA DOI DOT ERDA EPA FPC DOC HEW
state agencies and the applicant
(ELR ORDER # 51493)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Contact: Dr. Peter Krenkel
Director of Environmental Planning
720 Edney Building
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401
(615) 755-2002

Draft	Date
Poor Valley Creek State Park	10/22

Tennessee

County: Hawkins

The proposed action is to enter into a cooperative agreement between TVA and the State of Tennessee to develop the Poor Valley Creek embayment of Cherokee Reservoir for use as a state park. The project includes the purchase of 435 acres of privately owned land and 1,468 acres easement from TVA, and the construction of a dam across Poor Valley Creek. Approximately 530 acres of land would be permanently inundated and seven families relocated.
(ELR ORDER # 51552)

Morton Ranch Uranium Mining	10/03
-----------------------------	-------

Wyoming

County: Converse

The proposed action is the mining of the uranium deposits at Morton Ranch to provide nuclear fuel for TVA's nuclear electricity generating plants. The environmental impacts of the action will consist of land disturbance, fugitive dust generation, discharge of combustion gases, land erosion, some socioeconomic impacts, depression of groundwater levels, an increase in surface water runoff due to dewatering operations, and increased levels of dissolved minerals in rainwater runoff until overburden is reclaimed.
(ELR ORDER # 51481)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft

Date

Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana

10/16

Indiana

County: Clark

The statement concerns the acquisition of 519 acres of land and a new airport for Clark County. The project will include the construction of a runway, entrance road and vehicle parking, administration building, airplane hangars and aprons, navigation and landing aids, and site improvements. The statement includes a description of construction in four phases, the last of which will end in 1998. Adverse impacts of the project include the loss of 600 acres to other uses, increased noise pollution, relocation of 6 households and increased air pollution.

(ELF ORDER # 51530)

Final

Date

Birmingham Municipal Airport Improvements

10/28

Alabama

County: Jefferson

Proposed is the implementation of 3 improvement projects for the Birmingham Municipal Airport. These projects would involve the strengthening and widening of a connecting taxiway from the North-South Runway to the airplane run-up ramp, the strengthening of airplane parking aprons on each side of the existing Passenger Terminal Building, and site preparation for the extension of the taxiways for the N-S Runway. Adverse effects are limited to increase in noise levels for residents in the North and South approaches to the extended runway.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT HEW EPA HUD DOI
(ELR ORDER # 51577)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft

Date

South Canton Bypass

10/09

Georgia

County: Cherokee

The statement concerns the construction of a 1.1 mile segment of the Canton Bypass from S.R. 20 and S.R. 5 to the intersection with the F-057 segment of the Canton Bypass, short of Scott Mill Road. Building the divided, four-lane roadway will require the displacement of 33 households and five businesses.

(ELR ORDER # 51506)

Appalachian Highway in North Georgia

10/14

Georgia

County: several

The statement provides five alternative routes for the construction of SF 400, the Appalachian Highway, from the Forsyth/Dawson County line to the North Carolina State line. Distances range from 58.7 miles to 72.2 miles long. All alternatives propose the construction of a 4-lane divided facility, and all will require blasting, relocation of families and businesses, and the crossing of streams and rivers within the corridor.

(ELR ORDER # 51523)

Brookwood Sta. Area Improvements, I-85, I-75

10/28

Georgia

County: Fulton DeKalb

Proposed are improvements of Brookwood Interchange (I-75, I-85 Interchange), I-75 extending 0.5 miles NW and I-85 extending 3.1 miles NE of the Brookwood Interchange. Project goals include the reduction of existing congestion at the Brookwood Interchange and improvement of the Facility's capacity such that it can accommodate anticipated traffic volume increases. The proposed action also includes the addition of driving lanes to I-85 and provision of an arterial connector facility connecting Pershing Point and Buford Hwy. Displacement of 8 families and 26 businesses will occur.

(ELR ORDER # 51570)

Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass

10/07

Georgia Alabama

The project consists of construction of the Columbus-Phenix Bypass from the Columbus-Manchester Expressway to US Route 280 (Opelika Road). Total distance of the project ranges from 12.5 to 15.5 miles depending upon the alignment chosen. Relocation of families (9 to 41) and businesses (0 to 5) will result from the project. A 4(f) statement is included concerning Idle Hour Park.

(ELR ORDER # 51499)

Interchange at Castle Junction

10/01

Hawaii

County: Honolulu

The statement concerns the improvement of the intersection of FAP Route 61 and FAP Route 83 in Honolulu. One business and one family may be displaced. The statement includes a 4(f) statement concerning the public 18-hole Pali Golf Course. The War Memorial may also be displaced.

(ELR ORDER # 51469)

Kansas Hwy 177 and Kansas Hwy 18, Manhattan

10/09

Kansas

Proposed is the improvement of an expressway highway from southeast of Manhattan, Kansas north and northwesterly into the city. A new bridge over the Kansas River is included. Depending upon the design alternative selected, 80 to 91 people will be displaced, and forty-eight to seventy-four acres of land will be diverted from other use to highway right-of-way.

(ELR ORDER # 51507)

I-435 Extension (2nd Supplement)

10/24

Kansas

County: Johnson Wyandotte

The statement is the second draft supplement to the final eis. Proposed is the addition of 20.5 miles to Interstate 435 loop around Greater Kansas City, a minimum six-lane limited access facility including interchanges and bridge crossings of Kansas and Missouri Rivers. Adverse impacts of the project include the displacement of families and a church and the conversion of pasture and cultivated land to right-of-way. Air and noise pollution will be increased above ambient level. Wildlife habitat will be destroyed.

(ELR ORDER # 51561)

Relocated US 140 and Phase I Rapid Transit (Supplement) 10/10

Maryland

County: Baltimore

Proposed is the construction of relocated US Route 140 (Northwest Expressway) and Phase I of the Northwest Rapid Transit Line along the same alignment in Baltimore County. The project would run from the Baltimore City Line to Reisterstown. A 4(f) statement is included concerning a number of historic sites.

(ELR ORDER # 51511)

Brown Road, I-70 to I-270

10/30

Missouri

County: St. Charles St. Louis

The proposed highway improvement consists of the construction of a section of Freeway between I-70 and I-270 in Hazelwood. It will provide a six-lane, fully access controlled facility for a length of about 11 miles. Approximately 400 acres, 70% of which is highly productive farmland, will be required for the construction of the project. As many as 34 families will be displaced.
(ELR ORDER # 51592)

Brownville Bridge West, US 136

10/08

Nebraska

County: Nemaha

Proposed is the improvement of approximately 2 miles of US 136 in the vicinity of Brownville, Nebraska. The road will be two lane except in the village of Brownville where it will be four lane. Displacement of families and acquisition of land for right-of-way may be necessary depending upon the alternative chosen.
(ELR ORDER # 51501)

Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection

10/08

Nebraska

Proposed is the improvement of the intersection of Center Street and Mercy Road which also provides access to Ak-Sar-Ben grounds. The type of construction includes a viaduct over the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad tracks and Little Papillion Creek on Mercy Road and Center Street. Sidewalks will be provided for pedestrian traffic. The project will require acquisition of approximately 13 acres and the displacement of between 30 and 45 residences and four to five businesses.
(ELR ORDER # 51502)

US 83, North Platte South

10/31

Nebraska

County: Lincoln

Proposed is the improvement of a 3.3 mile portion of US 83 from two to four lanes. Acquisition of 95 acres of land will be required for the relocation which will be constructed on new alignment west of the existing roadway. Increased traffic will result in an increase in air and noise pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51597)

I-287, US202 to NY State Line

10/09

New Jersey

County: Bergen Morris Passaic

The proposed project is part of an expressway system providing an 87 mile circumference around New York City. The 19-mile 6-lane segment will run from the present terminus of I-287 at US202 in Montville Township to the New York State Line in Mahwah Township. Construction of the facility will result in the displacement of 93 to 224 families and 29 to 57 businesses. A section 4(f) statement is included concerning impacts on parks and recreation lands. (ELR ORDER # 51509)

I-88, Hinmans Corner to Port Crane

10/28

New York

County: Broome

The proposed project is a connection between the SW end of Interstate Route 88 and Interstate Route 81. The length of the main line of this connection is approximately 3 miles for each of the 6 alternates. Environmental effects of the project will fall mainly on residential and commercial properties, possibly as many as 466 in number. Plant and animal life in the area will be somewhat affected. Some increase in air and noise pollution is expected. (ELR ORDER # 51567)

Loop 201, Baytown, Texas (Supplement)

10/03

Texas

County: Harris

Proposed is the construction of Loop 201 from McKinney Road to Ferry Road, a distance of 5.7 miles. The project will consist of a full control of access freeway with three main lanes in each direction, flanked by three lane frontage roads, within a usual 300 foot right of way. An increase in urbanization with related noise and air pollution, is expected adjacent to the corridor as a result of implementing the project. (ELR ORDER # 51478)

USH 61, 151 and STH 35, Mississippi R. to Dickeyville 10/21

Wisconsin

County: Grant

The statement contains alternative improvement proposals for an 8 mile segment of concurrent U.S.H. 61, 151 and S.T.H. 35 between the Mississippi River and Dickeyville, Wisconsin, which will subsequently connect to a new Mississippi River bridge. Any of the alternatives would

result in the displacement of families and businesses and the expansion of urban growth. An additional 140 to 240 acres of land will be required for right-of-way. (ELR ORDER # 51545)

Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, FAP 38)

10/30

Wisconsin

County: Portage Waupaca

Proposed is the construction of a 2-lane, bituminous surfaced roadway beginning at Portage-Waushara County Line and extending northeasterly to the city of Waupaca. The project would necessitate the acquisition of 190 acres of land and 6 homes. Minor changes would be made to the Radley Creek Fishery. A 4(f) statement is included.

COMMENTS MADE BY: (none)

(ELR ORDER # 51595)

Final

Date

Route 15, San Diego

10/21

California

County: San Diego

Proposed is the conversion of 2.2 miles of 4-lane highway to freeway on SR-15 between IR-805 and 0.5 mile south of IR-8 in the City of San Diego. A wide, depressed freeway design has been selected for the project. Negative impacts include the displacement of about 650 apartment units and homes plus 63 commercial units. Part of the area known as "Park de la Cruz" will be required, displacing a YMCA center. A 4(f) statement is included.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USA EPA DOT HEW HUD
state and local agencies
and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51548)

Iowa 2, Donnelson to U.S. 61

10/06

Iowa

County: Lee

The proposed project involves the reconstruction of Iowa 2 in Lee County, Iowa. The 9.1 mile project will cross both Big Sugar Creek and Devils Creek. Adverse impacts are the acquisition of 79 acres for right-of-way, and the displacement of 2 homes and 3 farm buildings.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW USDA DOI EPA
state agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51485)

S.R. 1

10/21

Iowa

County: Johnson

The statement considers the proposed widening of two-lane Iowa 1 to four lanes, construction of a median separation, channelization of the intersection with U.S. 6-U.S. 218, and on interchange with proposed Freeway 518. Project length is 2.2 miles. Two businesses and fourteen families will be displaced; an unspecified amount of land will be committed to the action. Wildlife cover will be lost and ground nesting areas disturbed.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI USA

state, local and private agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51544)

US 67, Scott County, Iowa

10/28

Iowa

County: Scott

The statement refers to the reconstruction of US 67 from Riverdale to I 80. The 5.4 mile project will require the acquisition of 21 acres of additional right-of-way.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW USDA DOI EPA

state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51574)

U.S. 56, Marion County

10/28

Kansas

County: Marion

Proposed is the improvement of approximately 15 miles of U.S. 56, a 2-lane highway in Marion County, Kansas. The project includes right-of-way acquisition, extensive grading, bridge construction and surfacing. Adverse impacts include: the displacement of families and businesses, the destruction of some wildlife habitat, and the temporary noises, air and water pollution due to construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA HUD DOI DOT COE

state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51571)

Interstate Highway 90, Minnesota

10/22

Minnesota

County: Faribault

The statement considers two alternate routes for the construction of a 6-mile section of 4-lane divided I-90 through or around a portion of the Walnut Lake Wildlife Area. The favored alternate which will pass through the Wildlife Area involves constructing a dike and access trail for, and in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. Adverse effects of the action include loss of

agricultural land, hydraulic and wind erosion during construction, loss of wildlife cover, and encroachment on Section 4(f) land from the Walnut Lake Wildlife Area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW USDA USA DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51553)

Route 50, Cole County

10/20

Missouri

County: Cole

The statement refers to the proposed construction of Route 50 in Cole County. The 6 mile project consists of acquiring right-of-way for a 4 lane divided highway including a diamond, a modified diamond and a loop interchange. Adverse impacts are the use of 240 acres of land, and the removal of 11 houses, 1 commercial building, one telephone switching center and 3 sheds.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI USDA EPA HEW DOT USA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51542)

U.S. 30 Bypass, North Platte

10/28

Nebraska

County: Lincoln

Proposed is an improved, controlled access facility which would bypass the central business district of North Platte on the east side with a connection to U.S. 83 on the south at the country road south of I-80 and with a connection to U.S. 30 and U.S. 83 on the north at 12th Street extended. Included in the project will be the improvement to 12th St. from Silbur Avenue east to the intersection with Bypass 1. Approximately 130 acres of additional right-of-way will be required. Crossing of streams and rivers could cause adverse environmental effects.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT CCE USDA HUD EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51578)

Wellsville Arterial, Allegany County

10/29

New York

County: Allegany

The statement refers to the construction of a segment of highway in the Village of Wellsville in Allegany County between the SE junction and the northwest junction of Rtes. 17 and 19. The project is about 1.6 miles in length, and is located along the Genesee River, by-passing the main business section and residential areas. The project would require the acquisition and utilization of land from 2 village parks, Island Park and Tullar Field (a 4(f) statement is included) and would tend to sever the community with the utilization of controlled access right-of-way between at-

grade intersections. The project would have some effect on the Genessee River and the fish within the stream.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW DOI FPC EPA AHP DOT
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51585)

North-South Arterial

10/30

New York

County: Ulster

The statement refers to the construction of 2.48 miles of arterial highway through the City of Kingston and into the Towns of Esopus and Ulster. Adverse impacts include increased air and noise pollution and the displacement of 60 families and 4 businesses.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW DOI FPC EPA AHP DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51593)

Northwest County Highway

10/14

Tennessee

County: Shelby

The proposed project involves the construction of Northwest County Highway between Millington and Arlington in north Shelby County, Tennessee. The project length is approximately 18 miles. Adverse impacts include the displacement of residences and businesses, loss of farmland and wildlife habitat, increased air and noise pollution, and temporary soil erosion and siltation during construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC EPA HUD USDA TVA COE HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51515)

State Route 6, Tennessee

10/21

Tennessee

County: Maury

The statement considers six alternatives for the construction of approximately 15 miles of a four lane divided highway which would serve as a principal connection between the Cities of Mt. Pleasant and Columbia. Adverse effects are the displacement of some residences and businesses as a result of right-of-way acquisition; loss of some natural resources and increases in noise and air pollution.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HUD DOI DOT TVA HEW EPA
state and local agencies
and interest groups
(ELR ORDER # 51549)

U.S. Route 4, Vermont

10/06

Vermont

County: Rutland

Proposed is the construction of approximately 4.3 miles of US Route 4 on new location. The project will be a 4-lane divided, controlled-access facility and involves structures at five locations. Three hundred and nine acres are required for right-of-way. One residence, one vacant house and one wood products business will be displaced; two farm operations will be affected.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD EPA DOC USDA FPC HEW DOI
(ELP ORDER # 51490)

I-295, Richmond

10/17

Virginia

County: Chesterfield Hanover Henrico

Proposed is the construction of a 37.4 mile segment of the circumferential route around Richmond, Virginia. Route 295 has its southern terminus near Proctors Creek on Route 1/301, south of Richmond, and extends to the east and north of Richmond to terminate at an interchange with proposed Route 288 and I-64. The project will require the displacement of 14 businesses and 96 families and will cross the Hoke Brady Road, a part of the Richmond National Battlefield Tour Route and the site of Union communications trenches. A 4(f) statement is included.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI, state and local agencies
(ELP ORDER # 51534)

State Trunk Highway 21, Juneau County

10/16

Wisconsin

County: Juneau

The statement refers to the proposed reconstruction and resurfacing of State Trunk Highway 21 in Juneau County. The project begins at the Juneau-Monroe County line and extends easterly 15.11 miles through the village of Necedah to the Wisconsin River. The westerly 9.56 miles involve reconstruction of the existing roadway and the easterly 5.55 miles involve resurfacing. The project will require acquisition of approximately 90 acres from private individuals and from the U.S. Government.

(26 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOI
state agencies
(ELP ORDER # 51532)

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

Draft

Date

Bicentennial Transportation Program

10/03

District of Co

The statement concerns federal assistance for a two part Bicentennial Transportation Program. The program consists of a fringe parking lot/bus shuttle from RFK Stadium, North Parking Lot of the Pentagon, and Ft. Myer South Post to the Mall; and special radial bus service along 17 existing bus corridors from outlying areas to downtown. The program will be in effect from March to October 1976. Short term pollution in Fringe parking areas will result, and construction of the 4,000 car parking lot at Ft. Myer South Post will cause temporary negative effects.

(ELR ORDER # 51477)

MARTA (Supplement)

10/21

Georgia

The supplement concerns changes in the MARTA system at the Vine City Station, Techwood Station, Tucker-North DeKalb Corridor, Candler Park Station, and East Lake Station. Adverse impacts of the action include the acquisition of land and 310 relocations, the demolition of buildings, the disturbance of the Mason Mills Sports Center and Vine City area, now under consideration for nomination to the National Register. Nine acres of hardwood forest at North Decatur Road and seven acres of forested land west of the SCL Railroad at North Durid Hills would be impacted by these changes.

(ELR ORDER # 51547)

South Quincy Area Transit Station

10/31

Massachusetts

Proposed is the construction of the South Quincy area rapid transit station that would improve accessibility to South Shore residents into the city of Boston. Plans for the station include provisions for feeder bus and local bus access, "kiss and ride" dropoff, and pedestrian access. The project would require the use of 3.6 acres of wetlands out of a total 20.6 acres. The parking garage will cause a visual impact from the residential area.

(ELR ORDER # 51599)

U.S.Coast Guard

Draft Date
LORAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement) 10/31

Washington

County: Grant

The statement is a supplement concerning the Moses Lake project site of the LORAN-C at Moses Lake, Washington. Site activities may increase the sediment load in the Frenchman Hills Wasteway, and normal construction disruption will result.

(ELR ORDEF # 51600)

Final Date
Deepwater Port Regulations 10/03

The statement refers to proposed Federal regulations governing various aspects of the design, construction, and operation of Deepwater Ports, in implementation of the Deepwater Ports Act of 1974. The regulations generally address major design and construction criteria, oil transfer rules, navigation rules, personnel requirements, and equipment and procedures requirements.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC COE FEA EPA HEW HUD ICC DOI DLAB
FPC TREAS states and organizations
(ELR OPDEF # 51475)

LORAN-C Chain, West Coast/Gulf of Alaska 10/14

The proposed action provides for the establishment of a new LORAN-C radio-navigation chain along the US West Coast and in the Gulf of Alaska. Stations will be located in Searchlight and Fallon, Nevada; Middletown, California; Moses Lake, Washington; Ketchikan (Shoal Cove), Tok, Sitkinak, Alaska, and at an as-yet undefined location in southwestern Canada. This eis concerns only the non-Alaska stations. There will be some visual impact at all stations due to the height of the towers; adverse impact may occur in especially scenic areas.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD DOC DOI AHP EPA USCG USDA COE
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51513)

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Contact: (For Medical Facilities)
Mr. Arthur W. Farmer
Assistant Chief, Medical Director for
Administration and Facilities
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20420
(For Housing)
Mr. R.C. Coon
Director, Loan Guarantee Service
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2332

Final

Date

Added Parking Facilities, VA. Hosp., Iowa City

10/23

Iowa

The project will provide a parking structure of three levels for approximately 250 spaces on the existing VA Hospital site, Iowa City, Iowa with structural capacity for a future expansion of an additional 3 levels. There will be demolition of about 24 existing parking spaces, producing a net gain of 476 spaces. Adverse impacts are increased concentration of air pollutants, increased utilization of land and reduction of open space, and general construction disruption.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state, local and private agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51554)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through
10/31/75 (By Agency)

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received</u>	<u>Final 102's on legislation and actions</u>	<u>Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received</u>
Agriculture, Department of	197	574	771
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	1
Civil Aeronautics Board	0	1	1
Commerce, Department of	20	39	59
Defense, Department of	8	7	15
Air Force	11	18	29
Army	3	20	23
Army Corps of Engineers	603	828	1431
Navy	13	32	45
Delaware River Basin Commission	2	8	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	1
Energy Research & Development Admin.	3	2	5
Environmental Protection Agency	22	68	90
Federal Energy Administration	3	1	4
Federal Maritime Commission	2	0	2
Federal Power Commission	100	39	139
General Services Administration	23	87	110
HEW, Department of	5	13	18
HUD, Department of	48	121	169
Interior, Department of	172	304	476
International Boundary and Water Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	1	8	9
Interstate Commerce Commission	9	5	14
Justice, Department of	2	3	5
Labor, Department of	5	2	7
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	9	22	31
National Capital Planning Comm.	5	6	11
National Science Foundation	1	6	7
New England River Basins Commission	2	0	2
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	7	16	23
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	1
State Department	3	5	8
Tennessee Valley Authority	9	24	33
Transportation, Department of	991	2020	3011
Treasury, Department of	4	7	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	3	0	3
U.S. Water Resources Council	6	9	15
Veterans Administration	2	7	9
TOTALS	2342	4453	6795



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER
ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

OFFICE OF THE
ADMINISTRATOR

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of October 1, 1975 and October 31, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.


Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone AC 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.


Rebecca W. Hanmer
Acting Director
Office of Federal Activities

Dated: 14 NOV 1975

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN

OCTOBER 1, 1975 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
LD-AFS-A65121-00:	PROGRAM FOR THE NATION'S RENEWABLE RESOURCES AS REQUIRED BY THE FOREST AND RANGELAND RENEWABLE RESOURCES PLANNING ACT OF 1974	*	A
D-AFS-E65005-NC:	NANTAHALA UNIT, NANTAHALA NATIONAL FOREST, CLAY, NACON, SWAIN, AND GRAHAM COUNTIES, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-AFS-E65006-MS:	DELTA UNIT PLAN, DELTA NATIONAL FOREST, SHARKEY COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI	LO-2	E
D-AFS-G65010-TX:	CONROE UNIT, SAM HOUSTON NATIONAL FOREST, WALKER AND MONTGOMERY COUNTIES, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-AFS-J02003-MT:	OIL AND GAS LEASE APPLICATION EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT, FLATHEAD NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	ER-2	I - 88 88 -
D-AFS-J65023-UT:	LAND USE PLAN, MONTICELLO PLANNING UNIT, MANTI-LASAL NATIONAL FOREST, SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH	LO-2	I
D-AFS-J65024-MT:	MULTIPLE USE PLAN, DICKEY SUNDAY PLANNING UNIT, KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65025-UT:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, DIXIE NATIONAL FOREST, UTAH	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65026-UT:	LONE PEAK WILDERNESS STUDY, UNICWA AND WASATCH NATIONAL FOREST, SALT LAKE AND UTAH COUNTIES, UTAH	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65028-MT:	PETTY MOUNTAIN PLANNING UNIT, LOLO NATIONAL FOREST, MISSOULA COUNTY, MONTANA	LO-1	I
D-AFS-L61039-ID:	EMERALD CREEK PLANNING UNIT, ST. JOE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	LO-2	K

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

IDENTIFYING

NUMBER

TITLE

GENERAL SOURCE FOR
NATURE OF COPIES OF
COMMENTS COMMENTS

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL SOURCE FOR NATURE OF COPIES OF COMMENTS	COMMENTS
D-AFS-I61046-ID:	MULTIPLE USE PLAN, HORSE CREEK ADMINISTRATIVE-RESEARCH PROJECT, NEZPERCE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	LO-1	K
D-SCS-B36006-CT:	FARM BROOK WATERSHED, NEW HAVEN COUNTY, CONNECTICUT	LO-1	B
D-SCS-D36011-VA:	CEDAR RUN WATERSHED, FAUQUIER COUNTY, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-SCS-G36031-AR:	SOUTH FOURCHE WATERSHED, PERRY, YELL, SALINE AND GARLAND COUNTIES, ARKANSAS	LO-2	G
<u>CORPS OF ENGINEERS</u>			
D-COE-B28001-00:	NORTHEASTERN US WATER SUPPLY STUDY AND NORTHFIELD MOUNTAIN WATER SUPPLY	ER-2	F
D-COE-B30001-CT:	NEW LONDON HURRICANE PROTECTION PROJECT, NEW LONDON COUNTY, NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT	LO-2	B
D-COE-E60002-00:	LITTLE RIVER DEVELOPMENT PLAN, CLARK HILL LAKE, GEORGIA AND SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-COE-F32024-MI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNELS, LAKE ST. CLAIR, MICHIGAN	ER-1	F
D-COE-F32025-MI:	GRAND HAVEN HARBOR AND THE GRAND RIVER, MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNELS, MICHIGAN	ER-2	F
D-COE-F32026-MI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNELS, ST. CLAIR RIVER, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F32027-MI:	SAGINAW RIVER AND SAGINAW BAY, MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F32028-OH:	ROCKY RIVER HARBOR, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO	LO-1	F
D-COE-F32029-MI:	ST. MARYS RIVER AND STRAITS OF MACKINAC, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F35014-OH:	DIKED DISPOSAL FACILITY SITE NO. 14, LAKE ERIE, CLEVELAND HARBOR, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-COE-F36026-IN:	LOGAN REMOVAL PROJECT, WABASH RIVER, ADAMS COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-2	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-G36030-LA:	BAYOU DES FAMILLES CORPORATION, FLOOD PROTECTION, JEFFERSON COUNTY, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
D-COE-J35000-ND:	PEMBILIER LAKE AND DAM, PEMBINA RIVER BASIN, CAVALIER AND PEMBIA COUNTIES NORTH DAKOTA	ER-2	I
D-COE-K35005-CA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, FEDERAL NAVIGATION PROJECT, SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION, CALIFORNIA	LO-2	J
D-COE-L39004-AK:	PROPOSED SMALL BOAT HARBOR, NO. 2, WRANGELL, ALASKA	LO-2	K
<u>DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE</u>			
D-NOA-E61014-FL:	KEY LARGO CORAL REEF MARINE SANCTUARY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
RD-NOA-A86092-00:	PERMITS TO COMMERCIAL FISHERMEN ALLOWING THE TAKING OF MARINE MAMMALS IN THE COURSE OF NORMAL COMMERCIAL FISHING OPERATIONS	ER-2	A
<u>ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION</u>			
D-ERD-A00112-00:	US NUCLEAR POWER EXPORT ACTIVITIES	ER-2	A
<u>FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION</u>			
LD-FEA-A09037-00:	ELECTRIC POWER FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION INCENTIVES ACT OF 1975	LO-2	A
<u>FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION</u>			
D-FPC-E05004-AL:	HOLT, BANKHEAD, AND LEWIS SMITH PROJECTS, NO. 2203 and 2165, TUSCALOOSA COUNTY, ALABAMA	ER-2	E
D-FPC-E05005-GA:	ROCKY MOUNTAIN PROJECT NO. 2725, HEATH CREEK, FLOYD COUNTY, GEORGIA	LO-2	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
<u>DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</u>			
D-HUD-C99001-NY:	CONSTRUCTION OF ACCESS ROADS, WATER AND SEWER LINES TO PROPOSED ARCADIA INDUSTRIAL PARK, WAYNE COUNTY, NEW YORK	LO-2	C
D-HUD-D89016-PA:	UNIVERSITY CITY URBAN RENEWAL, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	3	D
D-HUD-E89002-TN:	UNIVERSITY CENTER URBAN RENEWAL AREA I, NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTIES, TENNESSEE	LO-2	E
D-HUD-F85005-IN:	SUNBLEST SUBDIVISION, FISHERS, HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-2	F
D-HUD-F89001-OH:	DEMOLITION PROGRAM, CLEVELAND, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-HUD-H91000-MO:	DANGEROUS BUILDINGS AND DEMOLITION PROGRAM, KANSAS CITY, JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI	3	H
D-HUD-J85000-CO:	HUTCHINSON'S GREEN MOUNTAIN VILLAGE, LAKEWOOD, JEFFERSON COUNTY, COLORADO	EU-2	I
<u>DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR</u>			
D-BIM-A02081-00:	PROPOSED 1975 OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OCS OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE NO. 41, GULF OF MEXICO	LO-2	A
D-BIM-A03052-00:	ALASKA NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	3	A
D-BOR-H61000-00:	PROPOSED OREGON NATIONAL HISTORIC TRAIL, KANSAS, MISSOURI, NEBRASKA, WYOMING, IDAHO AND OREGON	LO-1	H
D-BOR-J61008-00:	LEWIS AND CLARK NATIONAL HISTORIC TRAIL, ILLINOIS, MISSOURI, KANSAS, NEBRASKA, SOUTH DAKOTA, NORTH DAKOTA, MONTANA, IDAHO, OREGON AND WASHINGTON	LO-2	I
D-IBR-G32021-NM:	RIO GRANDE, VALARDE TO CABALLO DAM, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NEW MEXICO	LO-2	G
D-IGS-A02078-CA:	OIL AND GAS DEVELOPMENT PLANS, SANTA BARBARA CHANNEL, OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OCS, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	A
D-NPS-J61007-UT:	PROPOSED ZION MASTER PLAN, ZION NATIONAL PARK, UTAH	LO-1	I

IDENTIFYING

NUMBER

TITLE

GENERAL
NATURE OF
COMMENTSSOURCE FOR
COPIES OF
COMMENTS

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

D-00D-C52001-NY:	LORAN-C CHAIN, TRANSMITTING STATION, SENECA COUNTY, NEW YORK	LO-2	C
D-00D-F50002-00:	OHIO RIVER BRIDGE AND RELOCATED US 22, WEIRTON, WEST VIRGINIA TO STEUBEN-VILLE, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-00D-L34002-AK:	GULF OF ALASKA LORAN-C CHAIN, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-00A-D51003-VA:	NEW KENT COUNTY, AIRPORT, QUINTON, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-FHW-B40012-NH:	NR-101, HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, MILFORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE	LO-1	B
D-FHW-D40022-WV:	I-64, BRAGG TO SAM-BLACK CHURCH, RALEIGH, SUMMERS AND GREENBRIAR COUNTIES, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
D-FHW-D40024-PA:	IR 1061, SECTIONS 6-10, RELOCATED US 220, BLAIR COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
D-FHW-D40025-PA:	IR 1071, SECTION 50, I-70, MCHONGAHELA VALLEY TO ARNOLD CITY, WASHINGTON, FAYETTE, AND WESTMORELAND COUNTIES, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
D-FHW-E40051-NC:	US 321, BLOWING ROCK TO BOONE, WATAUGA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40052-00:	LOUISA-FORT GAY BRIDGE, LAWRENCE COUNTY, KENTUCKY, WAYNE COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40054-AL:	FLORIDA STREET, MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40056-FL:	FL-A-1-A, FROM DANIA TO FT LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40057-GA:	15TH STREET TO GREENE OR TELFAIR STREETS, RICHMOND COUNTY, GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40058-GA:	US 301, SCREVEN COUNTY, GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40059-FL:	FL-21, BLANDING BOULEVARD, CLAY COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-F40035-OH:	DETROIT-ROCKY RIVER BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, LAKEWOOD ROCKY RIVER, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO	LO-2	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-F40036-WI:	ONEIDA STREET BRIDGE AND APPROACHES, OUTAGAMIE COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-2	F
D-FHW-F40037-WI:	WI-14, BROOKLYN-MADISON ROAD, DANE COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-1	F
D-FHW-G40037-LA:	LA-641, US 61 TO I-10, ST. JAMES PARISH, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
D-FHW-H40031-NB:	US 81 AND US 30, COLUMBUS AND VICINITY, PLATTE, POLK AND BUTLER COUNTIES, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-H40032-NB:	90TH STREET, OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-K40024-CA:	FRESNO TRANSPORTATION FACILITY, CA-41 AND CA-180, FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	3	J
<u>TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY</u>			
D-TVA-E09001-00:	POLICIES RELATING TO ELECTRIC POWER RATES, TENNESSEE, ALABAMA, GEORGIA, KENTUCKY, VIRGINIA, MISSISSIPPI, AND NORTH CAROLINA	LO-1	E

*EPA RATED PROGRAM DIRECTIONS I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VIII AS ER-2 AND PROGRAM DIRECTION VII AS EU-2.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU--Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN

OCTOBER 1, 1975 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975

SOURCE FOR
COPIES OF
COMMENTS

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	
ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL			
F-AOC-A09006-DC:	CAPITOL POWER PLANT, WASHINGTON, DC	EPA EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS AS TO THE CAPABILITY OF THE PROJECT'S PROPOSED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNIQUES TO MEET THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA'S PARTICULATE EMISSION STANDARDS. NONETHELESS, STACK EMISSION TESTS TO BE PERFORMED BY EPA TO DETERMINE THE BURDEN OF PARTICULATE POLLUTION ON THE PROPOSED CONTROL DEVICES WILL BE MADE IN AN ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE PARTLY THIS ISSUE.	D
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
F-COE-A32327-NJ:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-COE-A32328-NJ:	NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAYS;		
F-COE-A32329-NJ:	MANASQUAN, BARNEGAT, ABSECON AND		
F-COE-A32494-NJ:	COLD SPRINGS INLETS, NEW JERSEY		
F-COE-A39060-NJ:			
F-COE-A34115-OK:	WAURIKA LAKE, BEAVER CREEK, OKLA- HOMA	EPA HAS EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA'S CONCERNS CENTER AROUND THE IMPACT OF THE PROJECT ON WATER QUALITY AND THE USE OF THE WATER PROVIDED BY THE PROJECT FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY.	G
F-COE-A35102-CA:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF MORRO BAY HARBOR, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
-----------------------	-------	----------------------------	-------------------------------------

F-COE-A35113-WI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, MANITOWOC AND TWO RIVERS HARBORS, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED THE OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON THE EFFLUENT MONITORING PLANS IN REGARD TO PROJECT RELATED IMPACTS.	F
FS-COE-A39052-PA:	TREXLER LAKE, JORDAN CREEK, LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	EPA WITHHELD JUDGEMENT ON THE PROJECT PENDING FURTHER INVESTIGATION BEING CONDUCTED BY THE US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY REGARDING THE POSSIBLE ADVERSE EFFECTS ON GROUNDWATER IN THE LOWER JORDAN CREEK WATERSHED.	D
F-COE-A60084-WI:	MILWAUKEE HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-COE-F32007-OH:	CONNEAUT HARBOR, ASHTABULA COUNTY OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F32018-OH:	NAVIGATION PROJECT, HURON HARBOR, ERIE COUNTY, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F32022-MI:	GREAT LAKES-ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY NAVIGATION SEASON EXTENSION DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS FY 1976, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F36013-IL:	EAST MOLINE, FLOOD PROTECTION SYSTEM, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F36014-MN:	UPPER AND LOWER RED LAKES, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MINNESOTA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F36002-CA:	SACRAMENTO RIVER AND MAJOR AND MINOR TRIBUTARIES, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

F-GSA-K60002-CA:	DISPOSAL OF HILL 733 MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, EL TORO, ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
------------------	---	---	---

GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS

TITLE

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT

F-HUD-C36015-NJ:	LIGHTNING BROOK TO TRIBUTARY, CHAN- NEL MODIFICATION PROJECT, UNION COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-HUD-C36016-NY:	PASCACK BROOK IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED THAT THE VALUE OF THE PASCACK BROOK AS A POTENTIAL FRESH WATER FISHERY MIGHT BE SLIGHTLY IMPAIRED.	C
F-HUD-D85002-DE:	WILTON, NEW CASTLE COUNTY, DELA- WARE	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
F-HUD-D89013-MD:	UPTON URBAN RENEWAL, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

F-IER-K32001-00:	GRANITE REEF AQUEDUCT TRANSMISSION SYSTEM, CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, MOHAVE, YUMA AND MARICOPA COUNTIES, ARIZONA	EPA EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA HAS CONTINUING CONCERNS RELATING TO THE SECONDARY EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND THE PRIMARY EFFECTS ON THE DESERT ECOSYSTEM FROM THE USE OF NATURAL WASHES AND THE ACCESS PROVIDED BY MAINTENANCE ROADS.	J 1 9 8 1
F-NPS-A61287-HI:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, HAWAII VOLCANOES NATIONAL PARK, HAWAII	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-NPS-F61002-00:	LOWER ST. CROIX NATIONAL SCENIC RIVERWAY, MINNESOTA AND WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-SFW-A64004-HI:	HAWAIIAN ISLANDS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WILDERNESS AREA, HONOLULU COUNTY, HAWAII	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS to THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

F-CGD-K52001-00:	LORAN-C CHAIN RADIONAVIGATION SYSTEM, WEST COAST AND GULF OF ALASKA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
FS-FAA-A51269-MI:	ADDENDUM, DEVELOPMENT AT DETROIT METROPOLITAN WAYNE COUNTY AIRPORT, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-A41336-NJ:	I-55 FREEWAY, GLOUCESTER COUNTY, BETWEEN NJ-42 AND NJ-40, NEW JERSEY	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT WETLANDS BE PRESERVED THROUGH USE OF A PILE-SUPPORTED ROAD.	C
F-FHW-A41667-VA:	VA-58, MECKLENBURG COUNTY, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
NE-FHW-A42211-WT:	DURAND-EAU CLAIRE ROAD, WI-85, DUNN AND EAU CLAIRE COUNTIES, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-C40006-NY:	NEWBURGH-BEACON BRIDGE EXPANSION, I-84, HUDSON RIVER CROSSING, ORANGE AND DUTCHESS COUNTIES, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA IS CONCERNED WITH THE NOISE IMPACT, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO THE SELECTION OF NOISE DESCRIPTORS AND THE EVALUATION OF MITIGATIVE MEASURES.	C 1 9 1
F-UMT-A54029-DC:	METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON REGIONAL RAPID RAIL TRANSIT SYSTEM, METRO, WASHINGTON, DC	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA ALSO NOTED CONTINUING INTEREST IN THE PROJECT'S ON-GOING DEVELOPMENT AS IT WILL RELATE TO EPA'S PROGRAMS TO ATTAIN AND MAINTAIN AIR QUALITY IN THE WASHINGTON REGION.	D

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN
OCTOBER 1, 1975 and OCTOBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING
NUMBER

SOURCE OF
REVIEW

TITLE

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

F-AFS-G65003-TX:	LYNDON B. JOHNSON NATIONAL GRASSLANDS, FANNIN COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-AFS-J65012-CO:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR MEDICINE BOW NATIONAL FOREST, COLORADO	I
F-AFS-L61016-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, SOUTH FORK SALMON RIVER PLANNING UNIT, BOISE AND PAYETTE NATIONAL FORESTS, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61022-ID:	MULTIPLE USE PLAN, RAINY DAY PLANNING UNIT, NEZPERCE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO COUNTY, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L60127-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, SHAFER BUTTE PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61028-ID:	MOOSE CREEK BASIN PLANNING UNIT, SALMON NATIONAL FOREST, SALMON, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61029-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, GARDEN VALLEY PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61033-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, CASCADE PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, VALLEY COUNTY, IDAHO	K
F-REA-E07001-AL:	TOMBIGBEE UNIT NUMBERS 2 AND 3, AND RELATED 230 KV TRANSMISSIONS LINES, ALABAMA	E
F-SCS-A36392-MO:	SOUTH FORK BLACKWATER RIVER WATERSHED, MISSOURI	H
F-SCS-D36006-PA:	PINE RUN WATERSHED, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	D
F-SCS-D36009-WV:	NORTH AND SOUTH MILL CREEK SUBWATERSHED GRANT, PENDLETON AND HARDY COUNTIES, WEST VIRGINIA	D

TITLE

F-SCS-G36003-OK:	POTT-SEM-TURKEY WATERSHED, SEMINOLE AND POTTAWATOMIE COUNTIES, OKLAHOMA	G
F-SCS-G36005-OK:	UPPER MUDDY BOGGY CREEK WATERSHED, COAL, HUGHES, PITTSBURG, PONTOTOC COUNTIES OKLAHOMA	G
F-SCS-G36014-NM:	ZUNI PUEBLO WATERSHED PROJECT, MCKINLEY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	G
F-SCS-L36010-OR:	ROCK CREEK WATERSHED WORK PLAN, GILLIAM AND MORROW COUNTIES, OREGON	K
<u>CORPS OF ENGINEERS</u>		
F-COE-A30078-FL:	BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT, DUVAL COUNTY, FLORIDA	E
F-COE-A32489-OK:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM, MCCLELLAN-KERR, ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OKLAHOMA	G
F-COE-A32523-NY:	BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NEW YORK	C
FS-COE-A34081-TX:	AUBREY LAKE, EIM FORK OF THE TRINITY RIVER, TEXAS	G
F-COE-A34130-OK:	BIG PINE LAKE, BIG PINE CREEK, RED RIVER COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	G
F-COE-A35090-AL:	BAYOU LA BATRE MAINTENANCE DREDGING, MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA	E
F-COE-A35098-WA:	SEATTLE HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING PROJECT, WASHINGTON	K
F-COE-A36255-SC:	SCOTTS CREEK PROJECT, NEWBERRY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	E
F-COE-A36330-MO:	LOST AND LITTLE LOST CREEK, LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT, MISSOURI	E
F-COE-A60051-KY:	DISPOSAL OF GOVERNMENT LAND, LAKE BARKLEY, LYON COUNTY, KENTUCKY	E
FS-COE-A61012-KY:	KEHOE LAKE, TYGARTS CREEK, KENTUCKY	E
F-COE-C35007-NY:	MAINTENANCE OF BROWNS CREEK, LONG ISLAND, NAVIGATION PROJECT, NEW YORK	C
F-COE-A34138-AR:	LONG LAKE AREA, HELENA, ARKANSAS	G

IDENTIFYING

NUMBER

TITLE

SOURCE OF

REVIEW

F-COE-E02002-AL:	PERMIT, CHEVRON OIL COMPANY, DREDGING, MOBILE RIVER DELTA, BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA	E
F-COE-E36002-FL:	SOUTH DADE CONVEYANCE CANALS AND EAST COAST BACKPUMPING, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA	E
F-COE-G32009-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, CLEAR CREEK AND CLEAR LAKE, TEXAS	G
F-COE-G32010-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, DOUBLE BAYOU, CHAMBERS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-COE-G34002-TX:	LAKE BROWNWOOD MODIFICATION, TEXAS	G
F-COE-H34002-MO:	CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND RESERVOIR, SALT RIVER, MISSOURI	H
F-COE-L36005-OO:	CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, MANAGEMENT, COLUMBIA RIVER, UMATILLA TO THE DALLES, OREGON AND WASHINGTON	K

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

FS-USA-EL1001-OO:	CONTROL OF BLACKBIRDS ON FORT CAMPBELL, KENTUCKY AND MILAN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, TENNESSEE	E
F-USN-G81004-LA:	NAVAL PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLEX, BELLE CHASSE, PLAQUEMINES COUNTY, LOUISIANA	G

-102-

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

F-FPC-J05000-UT:	AMERICAN FORK CREEK PROJECT NO. 696, UTAH	I
------------------	---	---

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING URBAN DEVELOPMENT

F-HUD-E85004-GA:	STONELEIGH SUBDIVISION, DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA	E
------------------	--	---

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

F-NPS-A61251-AR:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, BUFFALO NATIONAL RIVER, ASKANSAS	G
------------------	--	---

TITLE

F-NPS-A61288-CO: PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, ROCKY MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK, COLORADO

I

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

F-DOT-A40524-OR: US 30, WARREN-SCAPPOOSE UNIT, ST. HELENS AND COLUMBIA COUNTIES, OREGON

K

NF-FHW-A41155-NC: US 64, ROSMAN TO BREVARD, TRANSYLVANIA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA

E

NF-FHW-A41280-FL: FL-794, PALM BEACH COUNTY FLORIDA

E

F-FHW-A42201-FL: OLD SPANISH TRAIL, CHIPLEY STREET TO FL-289, ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

E

F-FHW-A42266-OR: DIVIDE-ANLAUF SECTION, PACIFIC HIGHWAY, I-5, DOUGLAS AND LANE COUNTIES, OREGON

K

F-FHW-E40024-TN: US 51, NORTHWEST COUNTY HIGHWAY, SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

E

F-FHW-H40004-KS: US 54, KINGMAN AND PRATT COUNTIES, KANSAS

H

F-FHW-H40008-IA: IA-2, LEE COUNTY, IOWA

H

F-FHW-L40005-OR: WEST PORTLAND PARK AND RIDE, OREGON

K

F-FHW-L40013-OR: ELK RIVER, US 101, PORT ORFORD SECTION, OREGON COAST HIGHWAY, CURRY COUNTY, OREGON

K

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN OCTOBER 1, 1975 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
-----------------------	-------	----------------------------	-------------------------------------

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

R-FAA-A51907-00:	14 CFR PART 152, PROCESSING AIR- PORT DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS AFFECTING THE ENVIRONMENT	EPA OFFERED SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS PERTAINING TO THE AIR, NOISE, WATER, AND SOLID WASTE IMPLICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED RULES.	A
------------------	---	---	---

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

- | | |
|---|---|
| A. Public Information Reference Unit
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460 | G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI
Environmental Protection Agency
1600 Patterson Street
Dallas, Texas 75201 |
| B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203 | H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108 |
| C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007 | I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203 |
| D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 | J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111 |
| E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV
Environmental Protection Agency
1421 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309 | K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101 |
| F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604 | |

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is \$21.50 annually, with an additional \$5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are \$1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box 1533, Washington, D.C. 20013)

FOR USE OF SUPT. OF DOCS.

Enclosed

To be mailed
later

Subscription

Refund

Coupon Refund

Postage

Date _____, 19____

Name

Street address

City _____

State _____ Zip Code _____

[illegible]

FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE ATTACH ANOTHER SHEET.

TOTAL AMOUNT ENCLOSED.

5

IMPORTANT

Please include your ZIP CODE when filling out the mailing label below.

RETURN AFTER 5 DAYS



POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

Name: _____

Street address

City and State ZIP Code

0

FREE LIBRARY
of Philadelphia

JAN 26 1976

Government Publications
Department

102 monitor

Environmental
Impact
Statements

Volume 5, No. 11
December, 1975

PETERSON ADDRESSES WORLD POPULATION SOCIETY

During World Population Year, 1974, 66 million human beings were added to the total population. Calling population growth "the world's most pressing problem," CEQ Chairman Russell Peterson, before the World Population Society, recommended making "every year a year for world population action." (page 3)

CONTACTS LIST REVISED

CEQ has recently updated its directory of NEPA contacts in Federal agencies. The new list begins on page 23.

FAA, USCG, UMTA, HUD FILE STATEMENTS OF PREPARATION

Pursuant to CEQ Guidelines §1500.6 (e), Federal agencies are required to file reports of actions for which impact statements are in preparation as an "early notice system" for the public. Four agency reports begin on page 58.

CEQ PUBLICATIONS LIST UPDATED

A new list of CEQ publications and information on obtaining copies begins on page 60.

misc
2859

PA Ex 14.10:5/11 AM

CONTENTS

Address by Dr. Peterson	3
Contacts List	23
Statements of Preparation	58
Publications List	60
State-County Index of Statements Filed During November, 1975	69
Agency Index of Statements Filed During November, 1975	75
EISs Filed with CEQ During November, 1975	79
Summary	126
EPA Listings	127
Subscription Information	148

A QUIET SENSE OF URGENCY

Progress Since Bucharest -- and Beyond

Address by Russell W. Peterson, Chairman
Council on Environmental Quality

Before the World Population Society, Mayflower Hotel, Washington, D.C.

November 19, 1975

As many of you will remember, 1974 was designated by the U.N. General Assembly as "World Population Year." Now that the results are in, a cynic might conclude that the "year" was a smashing success -- but in the wrong direction. During those 12 months, we added 66 million more human beings to our number -- more than 180,000 a day, or more than two births per second. Even those who are not cynics, those who are constructively engaged in the global effort to halt population growth, must wonder if last year's sense of urgency had any effect. Only a year after the World Population Conference in Bucharest, it appears that population growth -- the world's most pressing problem -- has become its forgotten crisis.

There are moments when I share this depressing viewpoint. And yet I am not at all sure it is accurate. On the contrary, I believe we are making progress -- not as rapidly as all of us would like, to be sure, but genuine progress nonetheless. I hope

today to bring the doubtful and despairing among us to share my guarded optimism, for a belief in eventual success is essential to motivate the quiet, unrelenting year-in-and-year-out work we must perform for the world.

First, I would like to outline the dimensions of the population crisis as I see it. In 1960, world population reached 3 billion. This year, it reached 4 billion. And at present rates of population increase, it will reach 5 billion by 1985. If your minds work like mine, such figures -- ominous though they sound -- will draw a blank. Most of us are unable to visualize a billion of anything. Hence, I've had to approach the notion of four billion people in a roundabout way, in terms of the demands that many people make upon global resources.

For example, what does such a population -- and such a rate of population increase -- mean for food production?

It would be a statistical nightmare to compute the amounts of everything -- from wheat to fish to vegetables -- required to support four billion people. However, grain offers a useful index to overall food demand: 50% of the world's cultivated land is devoted to the production of grain; and -- either directly, in the form of cereals, or indirectly, as animal products -- grain contributes well over 50% of the energy in the human diet.

In 1971 -- at that time, a record year -- world grain harvest totalled 40.9 billion bushels. Perhaps because he has the same trouble with the word "billion" that I do, Dr. Norman Borlaug -- who won the Nobel Prize for his contribution to "The Green Revolution" -- converted that figure into more graphic terms. According to his calculations, 40.9 billion bushels is the equivalent of a large road or super highway of grain, circling the earth at the equator, with a roadbed 6 feet thick and 55 feet wide. That amount of grain would satisfy the earth's population, at 1971 levels, for one year. It would be totally consumed each year, and thus would have to be replaced each year in its entirety. Moreover, because of population increase, we would have to add to this highway each year another 625 miles just to hold per capita nutrition at the 1971 level -- which itself was inadequate.

Consider the demands that such a highway of grain places on global carrying capacity. About 30 percent of the earth's surface is land. But because so much of that area is swamp, tundra, desert, mountain slope, and so forth, only about one acre in ten is suitable for cultivation. This works out to 65 one-hundredths of an acre per person.

In the U.S., we consider one acre per capita the minimum necessary for a decent standard of living. It is clear that population pressures in other countries, less fortunate than ours, are further subtracting from the fraction of land available to support each person. And in the United States, we continue paving over our agricultural land for highways, parking lots, shopping centers and airports. Between 1960 and 1970, we shifted 2,000 acres every day from rural to urban use.

Consider one other vital constituent of food production; water. It takes 1,500 pounds of water to produce one pound of wheat grain. But higher living standards and industrialization are swallowing larger and larger amounts of our water supply. In the early 1900's, the average U.S. home consumed 10 gallons of water per person per day. By 1973, the American home was using 180 gallons a day. Increased use by industry, agriculture, municipalities, and so forth boosts this further, to a grand total of 1,270 gallons of water per person daily.

Prior to World War II, every geographic region of the earth, with the single exception of Western Europe, exported grain. Latin America exported almost twice as much as North America, and Eastern Europe -- including the Soviet Union -- exported as much as Canada and the U.S. combined.

Today, only North America, Australia, and New Zealand export grain, and North America dominates world grain export by a margin of almost 12-to-1. A number of factors have contributed to make importers of the rest of the world, but population growth is the major factor.

In 1950, for example, North America and Latin America had about the same population; 163 million and 168 million, respectively. But in the intervening 25 years between then and now, while North America's population growth slowed substantially, Latin America's escalated. Several Latin American nations -- including Mexico, Peru, Venezuela, and Brazil -- had growth-rates of 3 percent a year.

One way of viewing the significance of such growth is this: If North America's population had expanded at the same rate, the U.S. and Canada would be struggling today to provide enough grain for their own people. Instead, next year, we will have 94 million metric tons of surplus to export, while Latin America will have to spend precious capital to import 3 million tons.

As far as I know, nobody has a firm, scientifically demonstrable estimate of the maximum human population our globe can support. But we do know that in some regions of the earth -- notably in the Sahel, in Africa -- some of the local carrying capacity has been destroyed for the indefinite

future. We know that in other regions, large numbers of people depend totally on international food aid for survival. And we know that last year, UNICEF -- the United Nations Children's Fund -- declared a state of emergency for 15 million children in the poorest nations. According to various estimates, between one-third and one-half of the world's people are chronically undernourished; more than 10,000 die weekly of shortage.

Even though we have no precise figure for the earth's human carrying capacity, we would surely be justified in concluding that we are approaching the limit. Considering the amount of human suffering that has already been caused by overpopulation in some areas, we might wonder how anyone could contest the necessity for moderating population growth.

And yet, some people do -- for good reasons, for bad reasons, and for cynical reasons. Other people simply cannot work up to any sense of urgency about the problem. This latter attitude, I think, characterizes most Americans. Understanding why some people think as they do about population growth, and understanding why other people -- such as ourselves -- don't think about it much at all, requires a look backward.

A few months ago, a team of anthropologists found the fossilized remains of a human female in Ethiopia. They estimate that she was 18 years old when she died. They estimate that she died three million years ago.

Thus, the human species has been reproducing for at least that long. Yet our global population did not reach a billion until 1830 A.D. Why, if it took us that long to number one billion, have we added a fourth billion since 1960 -- only 15 years?

The reason, as you probably know, is not high birth rates, but low death-rates. In past centuries, the average birth-rate around the world was higher than it is now. But the death-rate of newborn infants and young children was also extremely high. In addition, because of the primitive state of man's medicine, human life expectancy was low. Thus the introduction of modern medicine and public health measures, beginning in the 18th century, set the stage for the "demographic transition" -- a sudden sharp disparity triggered a population explosion.

But in the industrializing nations of Europe and North America, that did not happen. Following a few decades of social adjustment, the birth-rates in those nations began to decline. In the United States, for example -- with the

single exception of a "baby boom" following World War II -- the birth-rate has declined steadily since 1825. At present, annual population growth rates in the developed countries approximate five-tenths of one percent -- about what they were before the Industrial Revolution. A few nations, including East Germany, West Germany, and Luxembourg, have actually achieved zero population growth.

In most developing nations, by contrast, birth-rates have not declined significantly with the reduction in mortality rates brought about by public health improvements. Beginning in Latin America in the 1920's, and in the rest of the world from 1940 to the present, new public health measures -- particularly a massive UN effort to eliminate malaria -- cut death-rates in half ... from 35 per thousand population to about 18 per thousand. Birth-rates, however, remained essentially unchanged. In consequence, population grew rapidly -- from five-tenths of one per cent to the current level of 2.5 to 3.5 percent. Three percent may sound modest -- but a population growing at 3 percent annually doubles every 23 years. The fact that such growth-rates are being maintained in some nations without a major famine is a tribute to their agriculture.

Now, however, it is clear that population-growth in some nations exceeds carrying capacity. Most developing nations retain their predominantly agricultural base; hence, children continue to be valued as workers in agriculture or other labor-intensive occupations. In addition, because most developing nations lack any organized provision for the elderly, children are still regarded as social security -- as a sort of insurance against deprivation in old age.

Thus a destructive cycle is set up. Developing nations find it difficult to generate a capital surplus because everything they produce is consumed by a rapidly growing population. Lacking that capital surplus they cannot purchase the technology -- agricultural as well as industrial -- to speed economic growth. Lacking economic growth, they cannot establish mass-education systems that would develop their human potential, nor have their governments the funds to establish some form of social security. Lacking any assurance of provision for old age, finally, people in the developing nations go on producing children at rates which guarantee continuing hunger, malnutrition, and -- periodically -- starvation.

When I first started working in this field, I believed that little progress in reducing population growth was possible in the developing countries, until their socio-economic well-being had been markedly improved. Thus, I thought, it would be counter-productive to push family planning services in such countries.

Since then, however, the growing acceptance of family planning services in several of the poorer nations has changed my mind. It appears that with some modest socio-economic progress, and some hope for a better future, poor parents can become motivated to exercise their basic right to determine the size of their family and the spacing of their children. Population assistance, as a recent State Department publication puts it, "is not an alternative to development assistance; rather it is a vital form of such assistance."

I have stressed the relation between low birth-rates and economic progress. But I have not at all mentioned a third factor that belongs in any discussion of adjusting population growth to resource capabilities: quality of life. My emphasis on the economics of population control can convey a sense of selfishness - a purely pocketbook perspective of human life that might be stated, "The fewer there are to share the pie, the bigger the piece each of us can have."

Cynical as it may sound, that formulation happens to hold true. But population control goes far beyond ensuring that there is enough food for everyone to eat. Just as the earth has a finite carrying capacity, so too, does the individual family. We may think of its resources as time, money, and the physical, emotional, and mental health of its members. When any of these resources is excessively drawn upon, family life begins to degenerate.

Humans who plan and space the birth of their children have a better chance for happier lives -- not only more comfortable ones, but qualitatively better ones. Fewer children, with a longer interval between births, means more of everything necessary to raise children well, and to sustain a strong love between parents; more money -- for excellent health-care, first-rate education, and family security, as well as for family pleasures; more time for parents to devote to each child -- and to each other; more freedom to select career and personal goals -- rather than being forced to stick with an unpromising situation because a bread-winner cannot afford to leave it. Quality of life, in large part, boils down to a matter of preserving one's ability to plan and shape his future, rather than just letting it happen. At present, most of the world's societies, as well as their families lack that ability.

This is true in a special sense for the 50.5 percent of the human population who are females. No matter what their country, women have always had far less opportunity to develop and express their talents. In very recent years women's liberation movements around the world have started trying to redress this imbalance.

Some males feel threatened by this. I don't; in fact, I wish the movement well for personal as well as professional reasons. As a father, I want the best that life has to offer for my two daughters -- and the "best" includes the chance to choose from many avenues of self-fulfillment, rather than being restricted to the traditional roles of wife and mother. As a male, I think women make more interesting friends and companions -- as well as more interesting wives and mothers -- when they have had a chance to explore their own possibilities. And as a person with a professional concern for population control, I regard improvement in the status of women as essential to the long-range success of family-planning programs.

Improving the status of women is, I recognize, a sensitive matter in many nations -- not just because of male pride, but because of deeply ingrained religious and cultural traditions. It would be arrogant of me to criticize those traditions, but I do hope that all

of you -- particularly my colleagues of the male sex -- will give serious thought to this matter. Both the Soviet Union and China have derived great economic as well as social benefit from the trained intelligence and service of their women. Other nations are beginning to do so -- and in my opinion, nations that do not are wasting a valuable resource for self-development.

Today about 70 percent of the world's population lives in the developing countries. At present rates of growth that proportion will, within 35 years, grow to 82 percent. That rate of expansion, if unchecked, threatens unpredictable danger for us all -- not only incalculable human misery, but international conflagration. The India-Pakistan-Bangladesh conflict had its root in overpopulation. Considering the growing interdependence among the nations of the earth and the proliferation of sophisticated weaponry around the world, no country -- however far-sighted it may be in controlling its own population -- can escape the consequences of the failure of others to moderate population growth.

That assertion, I think, is clear enough. What is not so clear is what all of us must do to help moderate population growth. The answer, I believe, has three parts:

First, for the long term, the developed nations must increase their investment in programs to restrict population growth. These programs must include comprehensive family services, not simply the furnishing of birth-control materials. Unless a family is assured, through the provision of health education and services, that at least two healthy children will survive, they will not voluntarily limit births. A related investment that must be increased is in fertility research. Present methods of contraception are too expensive for massive, widespread adoption by developing countries. We must help bring the price-tag down and -- while Third World nations struggle for a sounder economic footing -- the developed nations will probably have to pay most of the research bill.

Second, for the short term, the developed nations must increase direct and indirect food aid: direct, in the form of foodstuffs for immediate consumption, to mitigate as far as possible the ravages of starvation and malnutrition. Indirect, in the form of fertilizers, seeds, and agricultural technology that the poorest nations cannot afford. Not only does sheer humanity require such action by affluent nations, but so does sheer pragmatism.

Many third world nations -- as evidenced by statements at the Bucharest Conference -- believe that the affluent nations want to retard the population-growth of developing nations out of a selfish interest in maintaining economic dominance. Only through continuing, substantial help can the developed nations convince others that our interest in their economic progress is genuine.

Third, however, the developing nations will have to recognize the humble realities of politics in the developed world. Our leaders can go only so far in requesting their people to support family planning programs in other nations. Continuation of this sort of aid will require, on the part of the developing nations, a governmental commitment to reduction of population growth. Developing nations have every right to charge the United States and some European countries with over-consumption -- and it is clear, from such events as the oil-price increase, that if a sense of justice does not lead us to change our ways, economics will force us to do so. At this point, however, over-consumption by the affluent countries must not be used as a pretext for inaction by the developing countries. Charges and counter-charges may satisfy the spirit -- but they do not fill the stomach. All nations must collaborate to halt population growth.

Some people advance a fourth answer to the population crisis: food technology. There are valid reasons for hoping that we can produce more food than we do now -- as witness, the "Green Revolution."

But if anyone should be an optimist about food technology, it is Dr. Norman Borlaug -- and he is not. "The Green Revolution", he commented recently, "only delayed the world food crisis for another 20 to 30 years. If the world population continues to increase at the same rate, we will destroy the species."

I have been painting a rather gloomy picture. Fortunately, however, there are some glimmers of light to force back the gloom -- some indications that the "forgotten crisis" is not entirely forgotten, and that disaster is not inevitable. The Bucharest Conference did not produce as much concern, or commitment to action, as some of us had hoped for. Perhaps that was inevitable, considering the differences in wealth, ideology, and cultural traditions among the 137 nations represented there. In addition, the rules of the conference required consensus -- which meant, in essence, that no nation could strongly disagree with any part of the world plan of action, even though they might not be enthusiastic about all of it. Hence the world plan was a weaker document than many of us wanted.

However, the fact that the world plan exists at all shows, at least, that we consider population growth deserving of international attention. And there are other signs of real progress. For example, in 1969 the United Nations Fund for Population Activities had a budget of only \$2 million. In 1975, it had a budget of \$60 million, actual operating capital of \$80 million, and next year it's shooting for a budget of \$90 million. Requests for aid in population programs still outrun funds by a 2-to-1 margin.-- but the increase in contributions proves that many developed nations accept family planning programs as important to global stability. I understand that the OPEC nations have agreed to contribute \$25 million to the UN Fund.

Furthermore, following Bucharest 104 developing nations assembled in four regional conferences -- and at those conferences important regional differences showed up. As a group, the 43 developing nations in Africa did not consider rapid population growth a major problem. Those nations, however, contain only 14 percent of the population in the total developing world. The 26 developing nations in Asia, on the other hand, do consider rapid population growth a major problem. More important, they adopted specific targets -- including achievement of zero population growth within

two or three decades. What is most significant about this commitment to action on the part of the Asian nations is that they contain 74 percent of the people in the developing world.

Finally, we have examples of nations which -- by joining family planning services with socio-economic development -- have markedly reduced their rates of population growth in a relatively short period. Taiwan, South Korea, Hong Kong, Singapore, and Costa Rica have all lowered their birth rates through family planning programs. So far a total of 34 developing countries have adopted a reduction in population growth as national policy. Among these 34 is China, the world's most populous nation. In the People's Republic, 35 percent of the couples of child-bearing age now use contraceptives and the annual growth-rate has been reduced to 1.9 percent -- below the world average. In other developing countries, where only 12 percent of the couples practice contraception, annual growth averages 2.6 percent.

The trouble with population control as an international priority is that, while it's all about sex, it has no sex appeal. Americans prefer problems that can be attacked fast and solved fast. We like to hit problems with our doctorates and our dollars today and see them crumple tomorrow. Such impatience, moreover,

is probably not an exclusively American trait. The leaders of any nation prefer programs that produce visible results fast to programs that require constant, unremitting effort and show results slowly.

It's difficult for any government today to squeeze an extra dollar or mark or peso out of its national budget. Modern economics, social and political life is so complicated that government officials -- and their citizenry -- become understandably numb when presented with conflicting priorities, every one of which has a lobby to assert its urgency. The World Population Society has a lot of competition.

But we've got to stick with this problem, tiresome and frustrating as it is. We must not allow the inevitable frustrations to this kind of work slow our efforts.

Even though we do not know the earth's maximum carrying capacity, we must assume now that we have reached it -- for past population growth has built into future growth a momentum beyond our recall. Barring massive famine, nuclear warfare, continent-wide outbreaks of plague, or other such Malthusian "solutions" to excessive population growth, we cannot prevent world population from reaching at least eight billion by the year 2025.

But we can prevent it from growing dangerously beyond that point. We have made progress on "the forgotten crisis," and we will make more -- as long as we do not allow the lack of quick, spectacular successes to sap our morale. Perhaps the great lesson of Bucharest is that the population crisis will not be solved by a crisis mentality; instead, we must proceed with a quiet sense of urgency. And perhaps the great lesson of "World Population Year" is that it did not end in 1974. From now on, we must make every year a year for world population action.

#

CHANNELS OF COMMUNICATION WITH AGENCIES ON NEPA MATTERS *

ACTION

(i) Head of Agency

Michael P. Balzano
Director, Action
806 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20525
(202) 254-3120

U.S. ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

(i) Head of Agency

Fred C. Ikle
Director, ACDA
320 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20451

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Walter Baumann
Assistant General Counsel, ACDA
320 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20451
(202) 632-3530

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

(i) Head of Agency

Earl L. Butz, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Robert Long

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Fowden G. Maxwell
Coordinator
Environmental Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
Department of Agriculture
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3853

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Clarence Brizee
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Agriculture
Room 2409, South Building
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-6055

The following is a revision of the list of channels of communication with agencies on NEPA matters. Please send any changes to the attention of Katherine Penn at the Council.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (Continued)

AGRICULTURE MARKETING SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. Ervin L. Peterson, Administrator

AGRICULTURE RESEARCH SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

T. W. Edminister, Administrator

AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Kenneth E. Frick, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Ray Hunter
Agricultural Stabilization and
Conservation Service
Department of Agriculture
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-6221

ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

F. J. Mulhern, Administrator

COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Roy L. Lovvorn, Administrator

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Frank Elliott, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Judd Hudson
Room 5321 South Bldg.
Community Facilities Division
Department of Agriculture
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-7667

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (Continued)

FEDERAL EXTENSION SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Edwin L. Kirby, Administrator

FOREST SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

John R. McGuire, Chief

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

J. Lamar Beasley
Environmental Coordination
Forest Service
Department of Agriculture
Room 3022, South Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-4708

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

David A. Hamil, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Blaine Stockton
Program Analysis Division
Department of Agriculture
Room 4312, South Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-5918

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Ronello M. Davis, Administrator

(ii) Assistant Administrator with NEPA Responsibility

Richard L. Duesterhaus
Assistant to the Administrator for
Environmental Development
Department of Agriculture
Room 5121, South Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-7706

APPALACHIAN REGIONAL COMMISSION

- (i) Head of Agency
Donald W. Whitehead, Federal Co-Chairman
- (ii) Alternate Federal Co-Chairman
Orville H. Lerch
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Francis Moravitz
Deputy Executive Director
Appalachian Regional Commission
1666 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20235
(202) 967-4985
- (iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact
Harry Teter
Executive Director
Appalachian Regional Commission
1666 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20235
(202) 967-4985

CANAL ZONE GOVERNMENT/PANAMA CANAL COMPANY

- (i) Head of Agency
Maj. Gen. Harold R. Parfitt
- (ii) Director with NEPA Responsibility
Sidney Kaufman
Director, Executive Planning Staff
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Thomas M. Constant
Secretary, Panama Canal Company
Room 312
425 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20026
(202) 382-6453

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

(i) Head of Agency

W. E. Colby, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Michael J. Malanick
Director of Logistics
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505
(202) 351-2551 143-2551

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

(i) Head of Agency

John E. Robson, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Steve Gross, Chief
Routes and Relationships Division
Civil Aeronautics Board
Washington, D.C. 20428
(202) 382-7261

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Tom Heye
General Counsel
Civil Aeronautics Board
Washington, D.C. 20428
(202) 382-7561 128-7561

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

(i) Head of Agency

Elliott Richardson, Secretary Designate

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4335

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert Ellert, Acting General Counsel
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4772

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Wilmer D. Mizell, Assistant Secretary
for Economic Development

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

John Hansel
Special Assistant for the Environment
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4208

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

William S. Clinger
Chief Counsel
Department of Commerce
Room 7001A
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4688

MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Robert J. Blackwell, Administrator

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (Continued)

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. Richard Roberts, Director

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Robert M. White, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. William Aron
Director, Office of Ecology and
Environment Conservation
NOAA - Room 5813
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-5181

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

(i) Head of Agency

Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary Designate

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

George Marienthal
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Environmental Quality)
OASD(HE)
3D171, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301
(202) OX 5-3010 115-3010

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert L. Gilliat
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Defense
Room 3E977, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301
(202) OX 5-3272 115-3272

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (Continued)

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. John L. McLucas, Secretary

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Col. Luis F. Dominguez
Department of the Air Force
Room 5D431, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330
(202) 697-7795 117-9297

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Grant Reynolds
Office of the General Counsel
Department of the Air Force
Room 4D980 (SAFGC) Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330
(202) OX 7-7479 117-7479

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

(i) Head of Agency

Martin R. Hoffman, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary for Civil Works with NEPA Responsibility

Victor V. Veysey

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Col. Charles Sell
Chief Environmental Officer
Department of the Army
Room 1E673, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) OX 4-4269 114-4269

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (Continued)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY (Continued)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison (Continued)

Corps of Engineers

Lt. Col. John R. Hill, Jr.
Assistant Director of Civil Works: Environmental
Programs DAEN-CWZ-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
Washington, D.C. 20314
(202) OX 3-7093 113-7093

Conservation Organization Liaison

Francis X. Kelley
Director, Office of Public Affairs
Attn: DAEN-PAP
Office of the Chief of Engineers
Washington, D.C. 20314
(202) OX 3-7168 113-7168

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Richard V. Kearney
Deputy General Counsel
Department of the Army
Room 2E722, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) OX 7-4807 117-4807

(Corps)

J. Robert Steelman
Office of the Chief of Engineers
Department of the Army
Room 4A169, Forrestal Building
Washington, D.C. 20314
(202) OX 3-7066 113-7066

(JAG)

Col. William B. Carne
Office of the Judge Advocate General
Department of the Army
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) OX 5-0256 115-0256

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (Continued)

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

(i) Head of Agency

J. William Middendorf, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Jack L. Bowers, Assistant Secretary for
Installations and Logistics

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Commander J. B. Groff
Department of the Navy
Room 4B466, Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20350
(202) OX 7-3639 117-3639

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Saul Katz
Assistant to the General Counsel
Office of the General Counsel
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20350
(202) OX 2-7186

DEFENSE SUPPLY AGENCY

(i) Head of Agency

Lt. Gen. W. H. Robinson, Jr.

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Karl Kabeiseman
General Counsel
Defense Supply Agency
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Va. 22314
(703) 274-6156

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

- (i) Head of Agency
Rogers C.B. Morton, Federal Member
- (ii) Assistant Commissioner with NEPA Responsibility
James Wright, Executive Director
Delaware River Basin Commission
P. O. Box 360
Trenton, New Jersey 08603
(609) 883-9500
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Robert L. Mann, Head
Environmental Unit
Delaware River Basin Commission
P. O. Box 360
Trenton, New Jersey 08603
(609) 883-9500

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

- (i) Head of Agency
Robert C. Seamans, Jr.
- (ii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
W. H. Pennington
Office of Environment Assessments
Energy Research and Development Administration
Mail Station E-201
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-4241 119-4241
- (iii) General Counsel NEPA Contact
Steven H. Greenleigh
Office of the General Counsel
Energy Research and Development Administration
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-3121

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(i) Head of Agency

Russell E. Train, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Rebecca W. Hammer, Acting Director
Office of Federal Activities
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 537, Waterside Mall Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0777

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert V. Zener
General Counsel
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 3201H, Waterside Mall Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 755-2511

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK

(i) Head of Agency

(to be named)
President and Chairman
Export-Import Bank of the United States
811 Vermont Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20571

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Warren W. Glick
General Counsel
Export-Import Bank of the United States

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Richard E. Wiley, Chairman

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Upton K. Guthery
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 632-7556

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Frank Zarb, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Kenneth Woodcock
Director, Office of Environmental Programs
Federal Building
12th and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 961-8601

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Tom Morris
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Building
12th and Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 961-8201

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Richard L. Dunham, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. Jack M. Heinemann
Acting Assistant Director for
Environmental Quality
Office of Energy Systems
Federal Power Commission
825 N. Capitol Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 275-4791

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Dexel D. Journey
General Counsel
Federal Power Commission
825 N. Capitol Street, N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 275-4309

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

- (i) Head of Agency
Lewis A. Engman, Chairman
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Mary Foldes
Liaison Officer
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580
(202) 963-4977
- (iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact
Thomas Tucker
Assistant to General Counsel
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C. 20580
(202) 962-3713

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

- (i) Head of Agency
Dwight Ink, Acting Administrator
- (ii) Assistant Administrator with NEPA Responsibility
Nicholas Penuzio
Deputy Administrator for Special Projects
General Services Administration
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-6161 183-6161
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director, Office of
Environmental Affairs
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4161
- (iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact
Donald Young
General Counsel
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4221

GREAT LAKES BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Frederick O. Rouse, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Leonard T. Crook
Planning Director
Great Lakes Basin Commission
P. O. Box 999
Ann Arbor, Michigan
(313) 769-7431

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE

(i) Head of Agency

F. David Mathews, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Dr. Robert Marik, Assistant Secretary
for Administration and Management

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Charles Custard
Director, Office of Environmental Affairs
Department of Health, Education and Welfare
Room 4740 HEW North
Washington, D.C. 20202
(202) 245-7243

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Malcolm Mason
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Health, Education and Welfare
Room 5464 HEW North
Washington, D.C. 20202
(202) 245-7721

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

- (i) Head of Agency
Carla Hills, Secretary
- (ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility
David O. Meeker, Jr., Assistant Secretary
for Community Planning and Development
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental
Quality
Department of Housing and Urban Development
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308
- (iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact
Robert Elliott
General Counsel
Department of Housing and Urban Development
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-7240

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

- (i) Head of Agency
Thomas S. Kleppe, Secretary
- (ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility
Royston C. Hughes, Jr., Assistant Secretary
Program Development and Budget
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Department of the Interior
Room 5311 Interior Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891
- (iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact
Gary Bohlke
Office of the Solicitor
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-6848

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (Continued)

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Donald Paul Hodel, Administrator

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS

(i) Head of Agency

Martin Seneca
Director of Trust Responsibilities
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-7513

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

George Quist
Environmental Quality Specialist
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Department of the Interior
1951 Constitution Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20245
(202) 343-2139

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

(i) Head of Agency

Curt Berklund, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Robert A. Jones
Chief, Division of Environmental
and Planning Coordination
Bureau of Land Management
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-5682

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (Continued)

BUREAU OF MINES

(i) Head of Agency

Thomas V. Falkie, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. Lindsay Norman
Special Assistant for
Environmental Activities
Bureau of Mines
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3941

BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION

(i) Head of Agency

James G. Watt, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Lewis Reid, Jr.
Chief, Office of Environmental Affairs
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-5711

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

(i) Head of Agency

Gilbert G. Stamm, Commissioner

(ii) Assistant Commissioner with NEPA Responsibility

Elwood A. Seaman
Assistant to the Commissioner for Ecology
Bureau of Reclamation
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-4991

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (Continued)

U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Lynn A. Greenwalt, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Tom Bond
Acting Chief, Environmental Coordination Branch
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-6394

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

(i) Head of Agency

V. E. McKelvey, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Herbert Steward
Office of Environmental Conservation
Geological Survey
Reston, Virginia
(703) 860-7493

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Gary Everhardt, Director

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Gerald Patten
Chief of Environmental Quality Division
National Park Service
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-9377

U.S. SECTION
INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY COMMISSION (US-Canada)

(i) Head of Agency

Richard L. Herman, U.S. Commissioner

(iii) Working Level NEPA Contact

Mr. Frances X. Popper
Engineer to the U.S. Section
Room 3810, GAO
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20548
(202) 783-9151

U.S. SECTION
INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION (US-Mexico)

(i) Head of Agency

J. F. Friedkins, U.S. Commissioner
P.O. Box 20003
El Paso, Texas 79978

(iii) Working Level NEPA Contact

T. R. Martin
Special Assistant - U.S. Section IBWC
Department of State - ARA/MEX
Washington, D.C. 20520

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Frank T. Fullerton
Special Legal Assistant IBWC
P.O. Box 20003
El Paso, Texas 79998

U.S. SECTION
INTERNATIONAL JOINT COMMISSION (US-Canada)

(i) Head of Agency

Henry P. Smith, III, Chairman

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Mr. James G. Chandler
Legal Advisor
U.S. Section
International Joint Commission
1717 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 632-9456

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

George M. Stafford, Chairman

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Fritz Kahn
General Counsel
Interstate Commerce Commission
Washington, D.C. 20423
(202) 343-4831

Rich Chais
Interstate Commerce Commission
Washington, D.C. 20423
(202) 343-7966

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

(i) Head of Agency

Edward Levi, Attorney General

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Martin Green
Land and Natural Resources Division
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 739-2708

Elden James
Environmental Coordinator
L.E.A.A.
633 Indiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

(LEAA)
Helen Lessin
Office of the General Counsel
Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
633 Indiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 386-3344

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

(i) Head of Agency

John T. Dunlop, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

John Stueler, Assistant Secretary for
Occupational Safety and Health

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

John Seline
Chief of Office of Evaluation and Research
Department of Labor
Washington, D.C. 20210

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Benjamin W. Mintz
Assistant Solicitor for Occupational
Safety and Health
Department of Labor
Washington, D.C. 20210
(202) 961-3965

MISSOURI RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

John W. Neuberger, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Edgar A. Imhoff
Acting Director of Planning and Technical Services
Missouri River Basin Commission
10050 Regency Circle, Suite 403
Omaha, Nebraska 68114

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

- (i) Head of Agency
Dr. James Fletcher, Administrator
- (ii) Associate Deputy Administrator with NEPA Responsibility
Dunward L. Crow
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Nathaniel Cohen
Director, Office of Policy Analysis
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
400 Maryland Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20546
(202) 755-8433
- (iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact
Gerald Mossinghoff
Assistant General Counsel
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Washington, D.C. 20546
(202) 755-3920

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

- (i) Head of Agency
Charles H. Conrad, Executive Director
- (ii) Assistant Director with NEPA Responsibility
Donald F. Bozarth
Director of Current Planning and Programming
National Capital Planning Commission
Washington, D.C. 20576
(202) 382-2374
- (iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison
Patricia Spillenkothen
Special Assistant for Environmental Affairs
National Capital Planning Commission
Washington, D.C. 20576
(202) 382-1289

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION (Continued)

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Daniel Shear
General Counsel
National Capital Planning Commission
Washington, D.C. 20576
(202) 382-4584

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

(i) Head of Agency

Dr. H. G. Stever, Director

(ii) Assistant Director with NEPA Responsibility

Dr. Robert E. Hughes
Assistant Director for Astronomical, Atmospheric,
Earth and Ocean Sciences
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 632-7300

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Dr. Edward P. Todd
Deputy Assistant Director for Astronomical,
Atmospheric, Earth and Ocean Sciences
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 632-4180

Mr. John P. Giacomini
Special Assistant
Office of the Deputy Assistant Director for
Astronomical, Atmospheric, Earth and Ocean
Sciences
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 632-7360

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Charles F. Brown
General Counsel
National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550
(202) 632-4386

NEW ENGLAND RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Frank R. Gregg, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Robert D. Brown
Staff Director
New England River Basin Commission
55 Court Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 223-6244

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

William A. Anders, Chairman

(ii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Daniel R. Muller
Assistant Director for Environmental Projects
Division of Reactor Licensing
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 443-6965

(iii) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Howard Shapor
Office of General Counsel
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7308

OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

(i) Head of Agency

Alvin J. Arnett, Director

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Arthur J. Reid
Deputy General Counsel
Office of Economic Opportunity
Washington, D.C. 20506
(202) 254-5736

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

(i) Head of Agency

James Lynn, Director

(ii) Assistant Director with NEPA Responsibility

(none)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison for A-95 Procedures

William K. Brussat
Government Organization Branch
Office of Management and Budget
Room 9013 New Executive Office Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20503
(202) 395-3030

Earl Darrah
Environmental Branch
Office of Management and Budget
Room 8214 New Executive Office Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20503
(202) 395-6827

James Tozzi
Environmental Branch
Office of Management and Budget
Room 8222 New Executive Office Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20503
(202) 395-6827

OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Fred E. Morr, Chairman

OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION (Continued)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

C. A. Hays, Planning Director
Ohio River Basin Commission
Suite 208-220
36 East Fourth Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 684-3831

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

(i) Marshall T. Mays
President
Overseas Private Investment Corporation
1129 20th Street, N. W.
Washington, D.C. 20527

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Cecil Hunt
Deputy General Counsel
Overseas Private Investment Corporation
1129 20th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20527

PACIFIC NORTHWEST RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Donel J. Lane, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Ray E. Holmes, Planning Director
Pacific Northwest River Basin Commission
One Columbia River
Vancouver, Washington 98660
(206) 695-3606

POSTAL SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

E. T. Klassen, Postmaster General

(ii) Assistant Postmaster General with NEPA Responsibility

Alfred C. Maveis, Assistant Postmaster General
Real Estate and Buildings Department

POSTAL SERVICE (continued)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Mr. Emerson Smith
Director, Buildings Analysis and Design Office
Real Estate and Buildings Department
U.S. Postal Service
Washington, D.C. 20260
(202) 245-4242

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

James J. Wilson
Associate General Counsel
U.S. Postal Service
Washington, D.C. 20260
(202) 245-4599

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

Roderick Hills, Counsel

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Paul A. Belvin, Attorney
Securities and Exchange Commission
Office of Disclosure Policy
500 North Capitol Street, Room 629
Washington, D.C. 20549
(202) 755-1750

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

(none)

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Steven Klein
Attorney Adviser
Small Business Administration
Washington, D.C. 20416
(202) 382-6316

SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION

(i) Head of Agency

S. Dillon Ripley, Secretary

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Peter G. Powers
General Counsel
Smithsonian Institution
Room 408
Washington, D.C. 20560
(202) 331-5866

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

(i) Head of Agency

Henry A. Kissinger, Secretary

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Lindsey Grant
Director, Office of Environmental Affairs
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520
(202) 632-9278

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Bernard H. Oxman
L/OES - Room 6420
Department of State
Washington, D.C. 20520
(202) 632-7100

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

(i) Head of Agency

Daniel Parker, Administrator

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Henry A. Arnold
Office of Science and Technology
AID
Washington, D.C. 20523
(202) 632-8938

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

(i) Head of Agency

Aubrey J. Wagner, Chairman

(ii) Director with NEPA Responsibility

Dr. Peter A. Krenkel
Director of Environmental Research and
Development
Tennessee Valley Authority
720 Edney Bldg
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401
(614) 755-3161

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

John Rozek
Assistant to General Manager
Tennessee Valley Authority
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Lawrence L. Calvert
Washington Representative
Tennessee Valley Authority
Room 437 Woodward Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20444
(202) 343-4537

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert H. Marquis
General Counsel
Tennessee Valley Authority
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902
(615) 637-0101

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

(i) Head of Agency

William Coleman, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Judith Connor
Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety,
and Consumer Affairs

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (Continued)

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Martin Convisser
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Department of Transportation
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Greg Wolfe
Office of the General Counsel
Department of Transportation
Room 10428
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-0140

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

James Dow, Acting Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Charles Foster
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Federal Aviation Administration
Room 940
Washington, D.C. 20553
(202) 426-8406

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Leonard Ceruzzi
Federal Aviation Administration
Washington, D.C. 20553
(202) 426-3924

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Norbert T. Tiemann, Administrator

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

(ii) Associate Administrator with NEPA Responsibility

Joseph M. O'Connor, Associate Administrator for
Right-of-Way and Environment
Federal Highway Administration
Room 3213, Nassif Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-0100

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Michael Lash
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Federal Highway Administration
Room 3226, Nassif Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-0351

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Edwin J. Reis
Federal Highway Administration
Room 4213, Nassif Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-0791

U.S. COAST GUARD

(i) Head of Agency

Admiral Owen W. Siler, Commandant

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Admiral Sidney A. Wallace
Office of Environmental Protection
U.S. Coast Guard
Room 7311
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-2010

(iv) Chief Counsel NEPA Contact

Rue Helsel
Office of the Chief Counsel
U.S. Coast Guard
Room 8102
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-1553

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Robert Patriccelli, Administrator

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Milton L. Brooks
Director, Program Evaluation Division
Urban Mass Transportation Administration
Room 9313A
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 425-4060

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Joseph A. Blundon
Assistant Chief Counsel
Urban Mass Transportation Administration
Room 9320
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-1906

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY

(i) Head of Agency

William Simon, Secretary

(ii) Assistant Secretary with NEPA Responsibility

Warren F. Brecht, Assistant Secretary for
Administration

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

Anthony V. DiSilvestre
Office of Management and Organization
Department of the Treasury
Room 4406 Main Treasury Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20220
(202) 964-2463

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Mr. Arnold Intrater
Office of General Counsel
Department of Treasury
Room 1409
Washington, D.C. 20220
(202) 964-2327

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

(i) Head of Agency

Donald C. Alexander, Commissioner

(iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Robert Spatz
Office of the Chief Counsel
Internal Revenue Service
Room 3543
Washington, D.C. 20224

UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

(i) Head of Agency

George W. Griebenow, Chairman

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

William C. Walton
Executive Director
Upper Mississippi River Basin Commission
Room 510 Federal Office Bldg.
Fort Snelling
Twin Cities, Minnesota 55111
(612) 725-4690

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

(i) Head of Agency

Richard L. Roudebush, Administrator

(ii) Deputy Administrator with NEPA Responsibility

Fred Rhodes

(iii) Working Level NEPA Liaison

For Housing Programs:
Lyman T. Miller
Assistant Director for Construction and Valuation
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2691

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION (Continued)

For all other programs:
Nicholas Clark, Civil Engineer
Technical Services Division
Engineering Service
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2086

WATER RESOURCES COUNCIL

- (i) Head of Agency

(none)
- (ii) Director with NEPA Responsibility

Warren D. Fairchild, Director
Water Resources Council
2120 L Street, N.W.
8th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 254-6352
- (iv) General Counsel NEPA Contact

Ted Farfaglia
Water Resources Council
2120 L Street, N.W.
Suite 850
Washington, D.C. 20037
(202) 254-6352

NOTICES

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

List of Administrative Actions

The following list, filed with the Council by the Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration and U.S. Coast Guard, indicated those administrative action that FAA and USCG have determined will require the preparation of environmental impact statements under NEPA.

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS IN PREPARATION OR ANTICIPATED (1st QUARTER '76)

Elmira, NY, runway extension.
 Newburgh, NY, runway extension.
 St. Marys, PA, runway extension.
 Erie, PA, runway extension.
 Allentown (ABE), PA, runway extension.
 Clarksburg, WV, runway extension.
 Lewisburg, WV, runway extension.
 New Martinsville, WV, new airport.
 Buckhannon, WV, new airport.
 Summersville, WV, runway extension.
 Ocean City, MD, new runway.
 Chestertown, MD, new airport, general utility.
 Calvert Co., MD, general utility.
 Quantico, VA (previously listed as Clinton, Va.), runway extension, road relocation.
 South Hill, VA, new basic transport airport.
 Franklin, VA, runway extension.
 Roanoke, VA, runway extension.
 Williamsburg, VA, new general utility airport.
 Stafford Co., VA, new basic transport airport.
 Burbank, CA, acquire existing airport.
 La Verne, CA, construct new runway.
 Los Angeles, CA, acquire existing airport and new runway.
 Oakland, CA, runway extension.
 Ontario, CA, new runway.
 Palmdale, CA, new airport.
 Reedley, CA, new airport.
 Santa Ana, CA, airport expansion.
 San Francisco, CA, airport expansion.
 Aurora Municipal, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
 Bloomington Normal, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
 Carbondale Southern, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
 Champaign Willard, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
 Decatur Municipal, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
 Effingham County, IL, land acquisition/ILS runway.
 Havana Municipal, IL, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
 Rockford Greater, IL, 20 year planning grant program.
 Anderson Municipal, IN, cross-wind runway extension.
 Angola Tri-State, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
 Crawfordsville Municipal, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
 Ft. Wayne Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
 French Lick Municipal, IN, runway extension.
 Gary Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
 Lopez, WA, land acquisition, new runway.
 Neah Bay, WA, new airport site.
 Richland, WA, new runway, land acquisition.
 Woodland, WA, new airport site.
 Colville, WA, new airport site.
 Ocean Shores, WA, new airport site.
 Pasco, WA, runway extension, land acquisition.
 Elma, WA, new airport site.
 Everett, WA, new GA runway.
 Vancouver, WA, new public airport.

Baton Rouge, LA, new GA airport.
 Richardson, TX, new GA airport.
 Pochontas, IA, airport layout plan and land acquisition.
 York, NE, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Onawa, IA, airport layout plan.
 Boone, IA, airport layout plan.
 Higginsville, MO, airport layout plan.
 Sikeston, MO, airport development project.
 Harrisonville, MO, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Lamar, MO, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Omaha, NE, airport layout plan.
 North Platte, NE, airport layout plan and airport development.
 Lamoni, IA, airport layout plan.
 Washington, KS, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Salem, MO, airport development.
 Lee's Summit, MO, airport development.
 Blair, NE, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Hillsboro/Marion, KS, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Hoxie, KS, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Marshalltown, IA, airport layout plan and airport development.
 LeMars, IA, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Atwood, KS, master plan and airport layout plan.
 Storm Lake, IA, airport development.
 Pittsburg, KS, airport development.
 Albany, MO, airport development.
 Sac City, IA, airport development.
 Joplin, MO, airport development.
 Eldon, MO, airport development.
 Scott City, KS, airport development.
 Olathe, KS, airport development.
 Yap Airport, Yap District, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, develop airport master plan for Yap Airport.
 Kusaie Island, Truk District, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, develop airport master plan for Kusaie Island.
 Greencastle City, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
 Huntington Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
 Mt. Vernon Municipal, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
 North Vernon Municipal, IN, new airport—20 year planning grant program.
 Shelbyville Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
 Wabash Municipal, IN, 20 year planning grant program.
 Pontiac, Oakland-Pontiac, MI, land acquisition, extend.
 Ironwood County, MI, land acquisition, new runway.
 Hulsdale Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Mt. Pleasant Municipal, MI, land acquisition, new runway.
 Saginaw, MI, land acquisition, extend two runways.
 Escanaba County, MI, land acquisition, extend runway.
 Meronee County, MI, new runway.
 Lansing-Capital City, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Grand Ledge Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Ann Arbor Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Kalamazoo Municipal, MI, extend runway.
 Flint-Bishop, MI, land acquisition, new runway.
 Grand Rapids County, MI, extend runway.
 Coldwater Municipal, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Greenville Municipal, MI, 20 year planning

grant program.
 Saginaw Harry Browne, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Chesaning-St. Charles, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Monroe, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Vassar-Millington, MI, 20 year planning grant program.
 Baudette International, MN, land acquisition, runway extension.
 Madison Dawson-Madison, MN, new runway.
 Tower Municipal, MN, new runway.
 Fergus Falls Municipal, MN, land acquisition.
 Walker Municipal, MN, land acquisition, new runway.
 Jackson Municipal, MN, land acquisition, new runway.
 Akron-Canton Municipal, OH, extend runway.
 Cleveland-Hopkins, OH, new runway, extend runway.
 Cleveland, Cuyahoga, OH, new runway.
 Green Bay Austin Straubel, WI, land acquisition, improve runway.
 Madison County, WI, land acquisition, clear runway approach.
 Antigo County, WI, land acquisition, extend runway.
 Waupaca Municipal, WI, land acquisition, install VASI.
 Rhinelander City, WI, Construct CFR Bldg.
 Neah Bay, WA, establish VOR/DME.
 Salem, OR, establish FM.
 North Bend, OR, runway extensions.
 La Grande, OR, runway extension.
 Hermiston, OR, land acquisition, runway extension.
 Medford, OR, land acquisition.
 Warm Springs, OR, new airport site.
 Portland-Clackamas, OR, new airport site.
 St. Maries, ID, land acquisition.
 Kamlah, ID, runway extension, land acquisition.
 Soda Springs, ID, new runway, land acquisition.
 Babelthuap/Koror Island, Palau, District, Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, develop airport master plan for Babelthuap/Koror Island.
 Agana, Guam, Mariana Islands, develop airport master plan for general aviation airport at Guam.
 Honolulu, HI, develop airport master plan for general aviation airport on Island of Oahu.
 Lihue, Kauai, HI, develop airport master plan for Lihue Airport on Island of Kauai.
 Agana, Guam, Mariana Islands, transfer of section 23 (U.S. Navy) land.
 Anchorage, AK, new runway.
 New Haven, CT, runway extension.
 Bar Harbor, ME, terminal area relocation.
 Runford, ME, new airport.
 Provincetown, MA, runway extension.
 Bridgeport, CT, runway extension.
 Alabaster, AL, extend both ends of runway 15/33.
 Gilbertsville, KY, extend runway.
 Miami, FL (In'l), extend runway 09R.
 Miami, FL, construct replacement facilities for Everglades Jetport.
 Nashville, TN, construct new airport.
 Raleigh-Durham, NC, construct new air carrier runway.
 St. Thomas, VI, construct new runway.
 Ft. Myers, FL, construct new air carrier airport.

FAA OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY RULEMAKING PROJECTS

Civil Helicopter Noise Certification Standards.

Civil Supersonic Aircraft Noise Type Certification Standards.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS (A), DRAFT (D) AND FINAL (F) ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS (EIS) BEING PREPARED BY THE U.S. COAST GUARD

NOTICES

F—Proposed changes to Coast Guard Station, New London, CT.

F—Deepwater Port Regulations (Final to CEQ 3 OCT 75).

F—Ratification of the protocol relating to intervention on the high seas in the cases of marine pollution by substances other than oil.

F—Proposed Coast Guard Station at Provincetown, MA.

D—Proposed Route 22 bridge across the Ohio River between Weirton, West Virginia and Steubenville, OH.

A—Seasonalization of Coast Guard Station, Fisher Island, Suffolk County, NY.

A—Saybrook Breakwater Light automation, Middlesex, CT.

D—Calhoun Street Bridge across the Delaware River between Morrisville, PA and Trenton, NJ.

D—Merrick Road Bridge across Seaford Creek, Minneola, NY.

D—Pemberton Creek Bridge across Pemberton Creek, Oceanport, NJ.

D—Cattus Island Bridge at Barnegat Bay, Ocean County, NJ.

F—Route 18 Highway Bridge across the Raritan River in New Brunswick, NJ.

D—Bridge across Shallotte Creek, Brunswick County, NC.

F—Amendment to existing I-95 James River Bridge, Richmond, VA.

D—Proposed Coast Guard Search and Rescue Station, Cockspur Island, GA.

F—Bridge across Station Creek for access to St. Phillips Island, Beaufort County, SC.

D—Proposed multi-purpose facility at Berwick, LA.

D—Proposed rules for Vessel Traffic System, Berwick Bay, LA.

F—Proposed Vessel Traffic System, Houston/Galveston, TX.

D—Highway Bridge across Calcasieu Lake in Cameron Parish, LA.

D—Highway Bridge across Hog Bayou near Grand Chenier, Cameron Parish, LA.

D—Vehicular/Mass Transit Bridge across the Mississippi River at New Orleans, LA.

D—West Bank Expressway, U.S. Route Highway 90 Business Route, upgrading of an existing segment to freeway standards including a high level bridge over the Harvey Canal. Proposed upgrading would extend from U.S. Highway 90 on the west to the Orleans Parish line on the east, a distance of 9.78 miles New Orleans, LA.

F—Proposed Loran C Station, Seneca Army Depot, NY.

A—New Coast Guard Station, Bay City, MI.

A—New SAR Station, Bayfield, WI.

A—Increase habitability at CG Station, Marblehead, OH.

D—Highway Bridge across the Wisconsin River, Wausau, WI.

F—Highway Bridge across the Wolf River, Fremont, WI.

F—Proposed Loran C Chain, U.S. West Coast/Gulf of Alaska Sites at Fallon and Searchlight, NV; and Middletown, CA (Final to CEQ 10 OCT 75).

D—Point Reyes Housing Sewage Disposal Plant, CA.

F—Proposed replacement of the Dumbarton Bridge across San Francisco Bay, San Mateo and Alameda Counties, CA.

D—Supplement to West Coast/Gulf of Alaska Loran-C Chain, Moses Lake, WA site (Draft to CEQ 31 OCT 75).

F—Gulf of Alaska Loran-C Chain at Tok, Shoal Cove and Narrow Cape, AK.

A—Kamehameha Highway Bridge over Kahaluu Stream, Kahaluu, Oahu, HI.

A—Installation of Generator for Microwave Communications Equipment, Honolulu, HI.

NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS PREPARED AND FILED IN COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS DURING THE THIRD QUARTER OF CY 1975

Legislative proposal "Nautical Rules of the Road Act of 1975".

Amendment to Federal Regulation "Pollution Prevention—Vessel Design and Operations" Prohibited Oil Spaces (33 CFR 155.470).

Acquire three existing houses at Coinjock, NC.

Augmentation of Lower Mississippi River Buoy Tenders.

LPG Contingency Plan, Captain of the Port, Portland, Me.

Unit Development Plan, Coast Guard Base, Terminal Island, CA.

Unit Development Plan, Coast Guard Air Station, San Diego, CA.

Construct an Exchange (Convenience Store) at Coast Guard Richmond Heights Housing Development, Miami, Fla.

NEGATIVE DECLARATIONS PREPARED AND FILED IN COAST GUARD HEADQUARTERS FOR FINAL BRIDGE PERMIT ACTIONS DURING THE THIRD QUARTER OF CY 1975

Project, waterway, and location: Permit No.

Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal, Justice, Ill. 68-75

Clam Thorofare, Atlantic City, NJ 41-75

Bayou Bonfonca, Slidell, La. 96-71

Big Sandy River, Kenova, W. Va. 81-75

McIntosh Slough, Reedsport, Oreg. 83-75

Wolfsnare Creek, Virginia Beach, Va. 76-75

Buffalo Bayou, Houston, Tex. 153-71

Swinomish Channel, LaConner, Wash. 84-75

Otter Creek, Susie, Ky. 102-75

Big Sandy River (Tug Fork), Job City, Ky. 104-75

California Creek, Blaine, Wash. 105-75

Chelan River, Chelan Falls, Wash. 106-75

Perch River, Mobile, Ala. 95-75

Northwest Creek, Craven Co., N.C. 96-75

Biscayne Canal, Dade County, Fla. 97-75

Himmarshee Canal, Broward County, Fla. 60-75

Salt Creek, North Port Charlotte, Fla. 125-74

Willamette River, Wilsonville, Oreg. 38-73

Big Slough (Myakkahatchee Creek), Port Charlotte, Fla. 132-74

Rappahannock River, Port Royal, Va. 92-75

Borrow Canal, Gramercy, La. 87-75

Bethel Creek, Vero Beach, Fla. 57-75

Newhalen River, Ilamna, Alaska 108-75

Missisquoi River, Highgate, Vt. 114-75

Kansas River, Lawrence, Kans. 131-75

Trinity River, Moss Hill, Tex. 121-75

Cochecho River, Dover, N.H. 115-75

Cross Bayou Canal, Pinellas County, Fla. 119-75

Rancocas Creek, Burlington County, N.J. 45-75

Perch Creek (West Fork), Mobile, Ala. 107-75

Millport Slough, Kernville, Oreg. 110-75

Pawtucket Canal, Lowell, Mass. 113-75

Bayou Choupique, Hackberry, La. 100-75

Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Delray Beach, Fla. 103-75

Unnamed Gut of Sinepuxent Bay, Berlin, Md. 93-75

Hayners Creek, Savannah, Ga. 124-74

Listing of DOT/Urban Mass Transportation Administration Actions for Which Environmental Impact Statements Are In Preparation Or Anticipated.

1. Supplemental EIS on changes to MARTA East-West line, Atlanta, Georgia.

2. Final EIS on Fifth and Sixth Avenues Transit Mall, Portland, Oregon.

3. Draft EIS on South Quincy Station Area, Massachusetts.

4. Draft and Final EIS on D.C. Bicentennial Transportation Program, Washington, D.C.

5. Draft EIS on Extension to Shady Grove, Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, Wash., D.C.

Listing of HUD Actions for which Environmental Impact Statements are in preparation or anticipated.

Austin, Texas, Development of Colony Park, Section 1, Phase 4-A, and Colony Park Hills, Section 1-B.

Palm Beach County, Florida, Cannongate Planned Unit Development Project.

GARY L. WIDMAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-28649 Filed 10-23-75;8:45 am]

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 40, NO. 230

—FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 1975

GARY L. WIDMAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-32181 Filed 11-26-75;8:45 am]

December 10, 1975

CEQ STUDIES

Environmental Quality - The First Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1970. [PREX14.1:970, \$3.00]

Ocean Dumping - A National Policy. 1970. [PREX14.2:Oc2, \$1.15]

The President's 1971 Environmental Program. 1971. [PREX14.2:En8, \$3.70]

Toxic Substances. 1971. [PREX14.2:T66, \$0.65]

Environmental Quality - The Second Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1971. [PREX14.1:971, \$4.05]

The President's 1972 Environmental Program. 1972. [PREX14.2:En8/972, \$3.00]

Environmental Quality - The Third Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1972. [PREX14.1:972, \$3.80]

Integrated Pest Management. 1973. [PREX14.2:P43, \$1.10] (PB-214 173, microfiche, \$1.45)

The President's 1973 Environmental Program. 1973. [PREX14.2:En8/973, \$5.30]

The Federal Environmental Monitoring Directory. 1973.
[PREX14.2:En8/3, \$1.20]

Energy and the Environment - Electric Power. 1973. [PREX14.2:En8/4, \$1.00]

Environmental Quality - The Fourth Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1973. [PREX14.1:973, \$3.50]

Environmental Quality - The Fifth Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1974. [PREX14.1:974, \$5.20]

Land Use, Reprinted from the Fifth Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. 1974. [PREX14.1/A:L22, \$1.20]

The Economic Impact of Environmental Programs. 1974. (PB-239 773, \$3.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

MERES and the Evaluation of Energy Alternatives. 1975.
[041-011-00026-2, \$0.65]

The Delaware River Basin - An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change. 1975. [4111-00018]

102 Monitor [issued monthly, annual subscription, \$21.30 beginning November 15, 1974]

Note: The information given in square brackets indicates that the item is available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; that given in parentheses indicates availability from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Va. 22151. Because it is not feasible for the Superintendent of Documents to correct the prices manually in all publications stocked, the prices charged on your order may differ from the prices printed in the publications.

CONTRACT STUDIES

Monitoring the Environment of the Nation. The Mitre Corporation. 1971. (PB-205 989, \$7.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Monitoring the Environment of the Nation - Appendices. The Mitre Corporation. 1971. (PB-205 990, \$17.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

The Quiet Revolution in Land Use Control. Fred Bosselman and David Callies. 1971. [PREX 14.2:L22, \$3.05]

The Quiet Revolution in Land Use Control - Summary Report. Fred Bosselman and David Callies. 1971. [PREX 14.2:L22/Summ., \$1.00]

Predator Control - 1971. Advisory Committee on Predator Control, Institute for Environmental Quality, The University of Michigan. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality and the Department of the Interior. 1971. (PB-210 120, \$7.25; microfiche, \$2.25) Also available from Charles D. Sauls, Chief, Conservation Education, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240

The Economic Impact of Pollution Control - A Summary of Recent Studies. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, Department of Commerce, and Environmental Protection Agency. 1972. [PREX14.2:P76, \$3.85]

The Economic Impacts of Meeting [Automobile] Exhaust Emission Standards, 1971-1980. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc.
Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 200, \$3.25; \$2.25)
Part II. Baseline Forecasts of Economic Performance. (PB-207 201, \$3.75; \$2.25)
Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement. (PB-207 202, \$3.75; \$2.25)
Part IV. Appendix. Presentation of Baseline and Alternative Impact Forecasts of Macroeconomic and Industry Performance. (PB-207 203, \$5.75; \$2.25)

Analysis of Economic Impacts of Environmental Standards on the Bakery Industry. Ernst & Ernst.
Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 169, \$3.25; \$2.25)
Part II. [A descriptive analysis of the bakery products industry detailing industry trends and characteristics relevant to economic impact analysis of environmental standards]. (PB-207 170, \$3.25; \$2.25)
Part III. [A study of the impact of pollution standards and charges on the bakery industry]. (PB-207 171, \$3.75; \$2.25)

The Cement Industry: Economic Impact of Pollution Control Costs. The Boston Consulting Group, Inc.
Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 150, \$3.25; \$2.25)
Volume II. [Industry description, pollution problems, market structure, financial resources, demand, foreign trade, and employment impact]. (PB-207 151, \$7.00; \$2.25)

Possible Impact of Costs of Selected Pollution Control Equipment on the Electric Utility Industry and Certain Power Intensive Consumer Industries. National Economic Research Associates, Inc.
Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 168, \$3.25; \$2.25)
Volume II. [Introduction, structure of the electric utility industry, and the economic impact of pollution abatement upon the industry and upon selected power intensive consumer industries]. (PB-207 167, \$5.25; \$2.25)

Economic Impact of Environmental Controls on the Fruits and Vegetable Canning and Freezing Industries. Agri Division, Dunlap and Associates, Inc.

- Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 140, \$3.25; \$2.25)
- Part II. Industry Structure. (PB-207 141, \$5.75; \$2.25)
- Part III. Impact Analysis. (PB-207 142, \$6.25; \$2.25)
- Part IV. Statistical Supplement. (PB-207 143, \$5.75; \$2.25)

Study of the Economic Impacts of Pollution Control on the Iron Foundry Industry. A.T. Kearney & Company, Inc.

- Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 147, \$3.25; \$2.25)
- Part II. The Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 148, \$5.25; \$2.25)
- Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement upon the Industry. (PB-207 149, \$4.25; \$2.25)

The Leather Industry: A Study of the Impact of Pollution Control Costs. Urban Systems Research & Engineering, Inc.

- Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 152, \$3.75; \$2.25)
- Volume II. Description of the Industry. (PB-207 153, \$6.25; \$2.25)
- Volume III. Impact of Pollution Control Costs on the Tanning Industry. (PB-207 154, \$3.75; \$2.25)

The Effects of Pollution Control on the Nonferrous Metals Industries. Charles River Associates Incorporated.

Aluminum: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 164, \$3.75; \$2.25)

- Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 165, \$5.25; \$2.25)
- Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 166, \$3.75; \$2.25)

Copper: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 161, \$3.75; \$2.25)

- Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 162, \$5.25; \$2.25)
- Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 163, \$3.75; \$2.25)

Lead: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 155, \$3.75; \$2.25)

- Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 156, \$4.75; \$2.25)
- Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 157, \$3.75; \$2.25)

Zinc: Part I. Introduction and Executive Summary. (PB-207 158, \$3.75; \$2.25)

- Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 159, \$4.75; \$2.25)
- Part III. The Economic Impact of Pollution Abatement on the Industry. (PB-207 160, \$3.75; \$2.25)

Economic Impact of Anticipated Paper Industry Pollution-Abatement Costs. Arthur D. Little, Inc.

- Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 144, \$3.25; \$2.25)
- Part II. Industry Structure. (PB-207 145, \$4.25; \$2.25)
- Part III. Economic Analysis. (PB-207 146, \$4.25; \$2.25)

The Impact of Costs Associated with New Environmental Standards upon the Petroleum Refining Industry. Stephen Sobotka & Company.

Part I. Executive Summary. (PB-207 197, \$3.25; \$2.25)

Part II. Structure of the Industry. (PB-207 198, \$4.25; \$2.25)

Part III. The Impact of Environmental Control Costs. (PB-207 199, \$4.25; \$2.25)

A Study of the Economic Impact on the Steel Industry of the Costs of Meeting Federal Air and Water Pollution Abatement Requirements. Booz-Allen Public Administration Services, Inc. Volume I. Executive Summary. (PB-211 917, \$3.25; \$2.25)

Volume II. The Structure of the Steel Industry. (PB-211 918, \$5.25; \$2.25)

Volume III. Economic Analysis. (PB-211 919, \$5.75; \$2.25)

Volumes I, II, III. (PB-211 920, \$12.00)

The Chase Econometrics Macroeconomic and Inter-Industry Forecasting Models. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc. (PB-207 204, \$5.25; \$2.25)

Land Use Indicators of Environmental Quality - An Examination of Existing Federal Data and Future Needs. Earth Satellite Corporation. 1972. (PB-211 399, \$6.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Environmental Indicators for Pesticides. Stanford Research Institute. 1972. (PB-210 666, \$5.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

National Environmental Indices: Air Quality and Outdoor Recreation. The Mitre Corporation. 1972. (PB-210 668, \$8.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Development of a Continuing Program to Provide Indicators and Indices of Wildlife and the Natural Environment. Smithsonian Institution, Ecology Program. 1972. (PB-210 734, \$6.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

National Assessment of Trends in Water Quality. Enviro Control, Inc. 1972. (PB-210 669, \$4.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Possible Effects of Construction and Operation of a Supertanker Terminal on the Marine Environment in the New York Bight. State University of New York. (PB-219 649, \$7.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Environmental Aspects of a Supertanker Port on the Texas Gulf Coast. Texas A&M University. (PB-220 051, \$11.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Resource Recovery: The State of Technology. Midwest Research Institute. 1973. [PREFIX14.2:R31, \$1.35] (PB-214 149, \$4.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Resource Recovery: Catalogue of Processes. Midwest Research Institute. 1973. (PB-214 148, \$5.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

Preliminary Assessments of the Environmental Impact of a Superport on the Southeastern Coastal Area of Louisiana. Louisiana Superport Studies. Louisiana State University. 1973. (COM-73-10544, \$10.00; microfiche, \$2.25)

A Preliminary Assessment of the Environmental Vulnerability of Machias Bay, Maine to Oil Supertankers. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. 1973. (COM-73-10564, \$6.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Quantitative Energy Studies and Models. Decision Sciences Corporation. 1973.

A State of the Art Review, Appendix I. (PB-220 131, \$4.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

Appendices II, III. (PB-220 132, \$5.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Environmental Vulnerability of the Delaware Bay Area to Supertanker Accommodation. University of Delaware. 1973.

Volume I. Summary. (PB-219 801, \$4.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

Volume II. Biology. (PB-219 802, \$10.00; \$2.25)

Volume III. Chemistry, Engineering, Geology and Physical Oceanography. (PB-219 803, \$9.50; \$2.25)

Volume IV. Biology Appendix. (PB-219 804, \$10.50; \$2.25)

Volumes I, II, III, IV. (PB-219 800-SET, \$31.00)

Report on Channel Modifications. Arthur D. Little, Inc. 1973.

Volume I. [PREX14.2:C36/v.1, \$4.45]

Volume II. [PREX14.2:C36/v.2, \$8.15]

Criteria for National Land Use Planning Indicators. Development Sciences Inc. 1973. (PB-220 650, \$3.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

Land Use Change and Environmental Quality in Urban Areas: Some Comparative Studies. Earth Satellite Corporation. 1973. (PB-220 742, \$9.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

The Taking Issue - An Analysis of the Constitutional Limits of Land Use Control. Fred Bosselman, David Callies, and John Banta. 1973. [PREX14.2:T13, \$2.70]

Potential Onshore Effects of Deepwater Oil Terminal-Related Industrial Development. Arthur D. Little, Inc. 1973.

Volume I. Part One. Executive Summary. (PB-224 018, \$3.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Volume II. Part Two. Mid-Atlantic Region. Part Three. Maine. (PB-224 019, \$8.50; \$2.25)

Volume III. Part Four. Gulf Coast Region. (PB-224 020, \$8.50; \$2.25)

Volume IV. Appendices. (PB-224 021, \$8.50; \$2.25)

Volumes I, II, III, IV. (PB-224 017-SET, \$25.00)

Who Bears the Cost of Pollution Control?: The Impact on the Distribution of Income of Financing Federally Required Pollution Control. Public Interest Economics Center. 1973. (PB-226 447, \$5.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

A Study of the Quarterly Demand for Gasoline and Impacts of Alternative Gasoline Taxes, Interim Report. Data Resources, Inc. 1973. (PB-226 122, \$8.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

A Study of the Demand for Gasoline, Final Report. Data Resources, Inc. 1973. (PB-235 254 \$5.00; microfiche, \$2.25)

Total Urban Water Pollution Loads: The Impact of Storm Water. Enviro Control, Inc. 1974. (PB-231 730, \$7.00; microfiche, \$2.25)

Development of Cross-Media Evaluation Methodology. Battelle Columbus Laboratories. 1974. (PB-232 414, \$7.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Evaluation of Municipal Sewage Treatment Alternatives. Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Battelle Memorial Institute. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality and the Environmental Protection Agency. 1974. (PB-233 489, \$11.25; microfiche, \$2.25). Also published by Environmental Protection Agency as Municipal Sewage Treatment: A Comparison of Alternatives.

A Study of Industry Response to Policy Measures Designed to Improve Automobile Fuel Economy. Hittman Associates, Inc. 1974. (PB-234 625, \$7.00; microfiche, \$2.25)

The Effect of Tax and Regulatory Alternatives on Car Sales and Gasoline Consumption. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc. 1974. (PB-234 622 \$5.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

A Study of the Implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act by the United States Navy. Presearch Incorporated. 1974. (PB-233 133, \$9.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Recreational Properties: An Analysis of the Markets for Privately Owned Recreational Lots and Leisure Homes. Richard L. Ragatz Associates, Inc. 1974. (PB-233 148, \$12.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Farmers' Pesticide Use Decisions and Attitudes on Alternate Crop Protection Methods. RvR Consultants. 1974. (PB-234 633, \$7.00; microfiche, \$2.25)

The Costs of Sprawl: Environmental and Economic Costs of Alternative Residential Development Patterns at the Urban Fringe. Real Estate Research Corporation. 1974. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Environmental Protection Agency. Executive Summary [4111-00023, \$0.55]
Detailed Cost Analysis (includes the Executive Summary) [4111-0021, \$2.90]
Literature Review and Bibliography [4111-00022, \$3.25]

Interceptor Sewers and Suburban Sprawl. Urban Systems Research & Engineering, Inc. 1974.
Volume 1: Analysis (PB-236 477, \$7.00; microfiche, \$2.25)
Volume 2: Case Studies (PB-236 871, \$8.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

Environmental Impacts, Efficiency, and Cost of Energy Supply and End Use. Hittman Associates, Inc.
Volume I. 1974. (PB-238 784, \$10.25; microfiche, \$2.25)
Volume II. 1975. (PB-239 159, \$8.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Production, Distribution, Use and Environmental Impact Potential of Selected Pesticides. Midwest Research Institute and RvR Consultants. 1974. (PB-238 795, \$11.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Influence of Environmental Considerations on Agricultural and Industrial Decisions To Locate Outside the Continental United States. The Public Interest Economics Center. 1974. (PB-243 831, \$4.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

The National Environmental Policy Act Process Study: An Evaluation of the Implementation and Administration of the NEPA by the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management. VTN Consolidated, Inc. 1975. (PB-239 672, \$8.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Environmental Impact Center, Inc. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Department of Housing and Urban Development, and the Environmental Protection Agency.
Secondary Effects of Public Investments in Highways and Sewers. 1975. (PB-240 332, \$5.75; microfiche, \$2.25)
Review and Bibliography of Secondary Impacts of Major Investments: Highways, Mass Transit, Interceptor Sewers. 1974. (PB-240 827, \$8.75; microfiche, \$2.25)
Secondary Impacts of Infrastructure Investments in the Denver Region. 1974. (PB-240 333, \$5.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Energy Supply/Demand Alternatives for the Appalachian Region. Resource Planning Associates. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Appalachian Regional Commission, and the National Science Foundation.

Executive Summary (PB-242 944, \$4.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

Full report (PB-244 621, \$15.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Study of Federal Water Quality Monitoring Efficiency. Enviro Control, Inc. 1975. (PB-246 221, \$6.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Study of Federal Water Quality Planning Efficiency. Enviro Control, Inc. 1975. (PB-246 718, \$7.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

The Macroeconomic Impacts of Federal Pollution Control Programs. Chase Econometric Associates, Inc. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality and the Environmental Protection Agency. (PB-240 979, \$4.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Planning for Environmental Indices. National Academy of Sciences. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Department of Commerce, the U.S. Geological Survey, and the Environmental Protection Agency. (PB-240 971, \$4.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Impact of Exports of Certain Raw Materials on the Domestic Economy. The Public Interest Economics Center. 1975. (PB-243 838, \$6.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

A Western Regional Energy Development Study: Primary Environmental Impacts. Radian Corporation. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, the Energy Research and Development Administration, the Department of the Interior, and the National Science Foundation.

Vol. I. (PB-246 264, \$7.00; microfiche, \$2.25)

Vol. II. (PB-246 265, \$17.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Appendices. (PB-246 266, \$7.00; microfiche, \$2.25)

Executive Summary. (PB-246 267, \$4.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

National Environmental Statistical Report. The Mitre Corporation. 1975. (PB-246 233, \$8.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs - A Handbook for Increased Use. Urban Systems Research and Engineering, Inc. 1975. [041-011-00027-1, \$2.05]

Energy Alternatives: A Comparative Analysis. University of Oklahoma Science and Public Policy Program. 1975. Prepared for the Council on Environmental Quality, Energy Research and Development Administration, Environmental Protection Agency, Federal Energy Administration, Federal Power Commission, Department of the Interior, National Science Foundation. [041-011-00025-4, \$7.45] (PB-246 365, \$18.75; microfiche, \$2.25)

OCS Oil and Gas - An Environmental Assessment is available in five volumes from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. It is also available from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Va. 22151. On microfiche, each volume is \$2.25; for the set, PB-239 262, the charge is \$31.00 for paper.

Volume 1, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 1, \$2.90 (PB-239 263, \$5.40)

OCS Oil and Gas - An Environmental Assessment, A Report to the President, Council on Environmental Quality
Issues in the Assessment of Environmental Impacts of Oil and Gas Production on the Outer Continental Shelf, A Critique, National Research Council

Volume 2, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 2, \$3.70 (PB-239 264, \$6.20)

Appendix A Federal Agency Contributors

Appendix B Contracts Issued

Appendix C Members of Governors' Advisory Committee

Appendix D Summary of World Oil and Gas Reserves and Production

Appendix E Oil and Gas Resource Classification and Estimates, Bureau of Mines and U.S. Geological Survey

Appendix F Methodology for Selecting Hypothetical Locations of Possible Oil and Gas Accumulations, U.S. Geological Survey

Appendix G The National Energy Scene, Walter G. Dupree, Jr.

Appendix H A National Energy Conservation Program: The Half and Half Plan

Appendix I Environmental Impacts of Alternatives to Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Production, Hittman Associates, Inc.

Appendix J Some Environmental Considerations in the Petroleum Refining Industry, Radian Corporation

Appendix K Summary of the Public Hearings Held by the Council on Environmental Quality on the Environmental Impacts of Potential Oil and Gas Development of the Atlantic and Gulf of Alaska OCS, September-October 1973

Volume 3, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 3, \$2.65 (PB-239 265, \$5.15)

The Effect of Natural Phenomena on OCS Gas and Oil Development, Tetra Tech, Inc.

Toward Resolving Problems in Outer Continental Shelf Technology, Resources for the Future, Inc.

Volume 4, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 4, \$6.65 (PB-239 266, \$9.65)

Potential Onshore Effects of Oil and Gas Production on the Atlantic and Gulf of Alaska Outer Continental Shelf, Resource Planning Associates, Inc., and David M. Dornbusch & Co.

Volume 5, PREX14.2:C15/2/vol. 5, \$6.50 (PB-239 267, \$9.50)

Potential Biological Effects of Hypothetical Oil Discharges in the Atlantic Coast and Gulf of Alaska, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Simulation of Hypothetical Offshore Petroleum Developments, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Analysis of Oil Spill Statistics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Oil Spill Trajectory Studies for Atlantic Coast and Gulf of Alaska, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

MISCELLANEOUS

An Appraisal of the Problem of the Handling, Transportation, and Disposal of Toxic and Other Hazardous Materials. Booz.Allen & Hamilton. 1970. Prepared for the Toxic and Hazardous Materials Steering Committee. (PB-236 599, \$5.50; microfiche, \$2.25)

Report of the Interagency Working Group on Health and Environmental Effects of Energy Use. 1974. (PB-237 937, \$17.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Transcript of Proceedings, Interagency Task Force on Inadvertent Modification of the Stratosphere. 1975. (PB-240 122, \$7.25; microfiche, \$2.25)

Fluorocarbons and the Environment, Report of Federal Task Force on Inadvertent Modification of the Stratosphere (IMOS). 1975. [038-000-00226-1, \$1.90]

The Role of Ecology in the Federal Government. Report of the Committee on Ecological Research. 1974. [038-000-00202, \$1.50]

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

11-30-75

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Alabama	Tallapoosa	DRAFT	Agricultural Conservation Program	51615	11-05-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Joe Creek Local Protection Project, Tulsa	51645	11-10-75	COE
		DRAFT	Chemical Waste Incinerator Ship Project	51677	11-18-75	DOC
		DRAFT	National Wildlife Refuge System	51680	11-18-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Natural Gas Emergency Standby Act of 1975	51707	11-24-75	FEA
		FINAL	El Paso Natural Gas Co., Curtailment	51629	11-10-75	FPC
		FINAL	Cities Service Pipeline System Curtailment	51672	11-17-75	FPC
		FINAL	Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals	51679	11-18-75	DOC
		FINAL	Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company	51709	11-24-75	FPC
		FINAL	Northern Gulf of Alaska Oil and Gas Leasing	51602	11-19-75	DOI
Alaska		DRAFT	Tallapoosa County Water Supply System	51714	11-24-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Alaska Lumber & Pulp Co., Timber Sale	51618	11-06-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Minnesota Drive Extension, Anchorage	51671	11-17-75	DOT
Arizona	Maricopa	DRAFT	Palo Verde Nuclear Sta., Units 1, 2, & 3 (Suppl.)	51718	11-25-75	NRC
Arkansas	several	FINAL	Herbicide Use, Ozark-St. Francis M.P.	51624	11-06-75	USDA
California	Fresno Marin Mendocino Sonoma Tulare Ventura	FINAL	ORV Recreation, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake	51601	11-03-75	USN
		DRAFT	Reedley Municipal Airport, California	51604	11-03-75	DOT
		FINAL	Petaluma River, Maintenance Dredging	51635	11-10-75	COE
		FINAL	Noyo Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51633	11-10-75	COP
		DRAFT	Port Sonoma Development Project	51723	11-26-75	COE
		FINAL	Petaluma River, Maintenance Dredging	51635	11-10-75	COE
		DRAFT	Reedley Municipal Airport, California	51604	11-03-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Calleguas Creek, Ventura County	51607	11-03-75	COE
Colorado	Arapahoe Gunnison Montezuma	DRAFT	Pier Point Development, Colorado	51603	11-03-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Seven Lakes, A Planned Community	51662	11-13-75	HUD
		FINAL	Marble Winter Sports Site, White River M.P.	51609	11-04-75	USDA
		FINAL	Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Lands Mineral Lease	51698	11-24-75	DOI

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Connecticut	New London Tolland	FINAL	Yantic River Watershed (2)	51659	11-13-75	USDA
		FINAL	Yantic River Watershed (2)	51659	11-13-75	USDA
District of Co		DRAFT	Bicentennial Transportation Program	51477	11-10-75	DOT
Florida	Dade Escambia Lake Marion Palm Beach Putnam Volusia	DRAFT	Panama City Beaches, Erosion & Hurricane Protection	51646	11-10-75	COE
		FINAL	Key Largo Coral Reef Marine Sanctuary	51717	11-25-75	DOC
		DRAFT	Lakes of Acadia Development	51658	11-13-75	HUD
		FINAL	SR 10A, Pensacola	51602	11-03-75	DOT
		FINAL	Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area	51719	11-25-75	DOI
		FINAL	Longleaf Islands, Ocala N.P.	51661	11-13-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Cannongate Development, Palm Beach	51650	11-11-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Le Chalet, Palm Beach Co.	51692	11-20-75	HUD
		FINAL	Longleaf Islands, Ocala N.P.	51661	11-13-75	USDA
		FINAL	Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area	51719	11-25-75	DOI
Georgia	Chatham	FINAL	Widening of DeRenne Avenue, Savannah, Georgia	51668	11-17-75	DOT
Hawaii	Honolulu	DRAFT	Kalaniana'ole Highway (PAP 72) Improvement	51673	11-17-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Peretania-Smith St. Parking Facility, Honolulu	51686	11-20-75	HUD
Idaho	Ada Boise Cassia Elmore Idaho	FINAL	Squaw Creek Planning Unit, Boise N.P.	51632	11-10-75	USDA
		FINAL	Clinical Support Facility, Boise, Idaho	51647	11-11-75	VA
		FINAL	Middle Fork Boise River Unit, Boise N.P.	51643	11-10-75	USDA
		FINAL	Black Pine Planning Unit, Sawtooth N.P.	51619	11-06-75	USDA
		FINAL	Middle Fork Boise River Unit, Boise N.P.	51643	11-10-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Elk City Hwy., Idaho Forest Hwy. 18 (SR 14)	51630	11-10-75	DOT
Illinois	Jackson Madison St. Clair Williamson	FINAL	Federal Office Building, Carbondale, Illinois	51676	11-18-75	GSA
		DRAFT	Federal Bldg. - US Courthouse, E. St. Louis	51610	11-04-75	GSA
		DRAFT	Federal Bldg. - US Courthouse, E. St. Louis	51610	11-04-75	GSA
		FINAL	Marion Unit #4, Illinois	51696	11-21-75	USDA
Indiana	Panchock	FINAL	Mount Comfort Airport	51649	11-11-75	DOT

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

11-30-75

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Indiana	Vanderburgh	DRAFT	Indiana Project 1-164	51614	11-04-75	DOT
Kansas	Coffey Wyandotte	FINAL DRAFT	Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1 Nearman Creek Generating Station	51636 51666	11-10-75 11-17-75	NRC COE
Kentucky		DRAFT	Paintsville Lake	51648	11-17-75	COE
		DRAFT	US 60 Bridge Over Big Sandy River	51724	11-26-75	DOT
Louisiana	Allen Cameron Ivangeline Vermillion	DRAFT FINAL FINAL FINAL DRAFT	New General Aviation Airport, Baton Rouge Upper Bayou Metrique Watershed Proposed Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge Upper Bayou Metrique Watershed Vermillion Lock Replacement, Gulf ICW (2)	51699 51638 51612 51638 51684	11-24-75 11-10-75 11-04-75 11-10-75 11-20-75	DOT USDA DOI USDA COE
Maryland	Baltimore Borchester	DRAFT FINAL DRAFT	Monongahela River Basin Study Port Holabird Disposal, Baltimore Cambridge Harbor Channel and Turning Basin	51695 51669 51693	11-20-75 11-17-75 11-20-75	ORBC GSA COE
Massachusetts	Franklin	FINAL FINAL DRAFT	Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass. Coast Guard Station, Provincetown, Mass. Monague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2	51694 51721 51626	11-20-75 11-26-75 11-06-75	HUD DOT NRC
Michigan	Sever Genesee Mason Schoolcraft	DRAFT DRAFT FINAL FINAL DRAFT	Clinton River Channel, Maintenance Dredging US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North I-475, Genesee County Mason County Airport, Ludington US 2, Manistique River Bridge Relocation	51617 51704 51631 51611 51663	11-06-75 11-24-75 11-10-75 11-04-75 11-13-75	COE DOT DOT DOT DOT
Minnesota	Sever Winona	FINAL FINAL	Minnesota Memorial Hardwood Forest US 61	51720 51655	11-26-75 11-12-75	DOI DOT
Mississippi	Oktibbeha	FINAL	Proposed Noxubee Wilderness Area	51688	11-20-75	DOI

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

11-30-75

STATE COUNTY STATUS STATEMENT TITLE

ACCESSION NUMBER DATE FILED ORIG AGENCY NUMBER

Missouri

DRAFT Fail-to-Barge Coal Transfer Facility, St. Louis
FINAL Dangerous Buildings and Demolition Program, Kansas
DRAFT South Midtown Freeway (Route 71)
DRAFT Area #8 (Elsberry), Flood Protection
DRAFT Area #8 (Elsberry), Flood Protection

51657 11-12-75 COE
51713 11-24-75 HUD
51651 11-11-75 DOT
51702 11-24-75 COE
51702 11-24-75 COE

Montana

FINAL Beqeen Lake Planning Unit, Gallatin N.P.
DRAFT Big Swede-Pipe Units, Kootenai N.P.
DRAFT Lower West Fork Unit, Bitterroot N.P.

51667 11-17-75 USDA
51623 11-06-75 USDA
51656 11-12-75 USDA

Nebraska

DRAFT E. Omaha Relocation and Land Development
DRAFT Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835
FINAL US 77, Dakota County (Supplement)
FINAL Blackwood Creed Watershed
FINAL Blackwood Creed Watershed
FINAL Blackwood Creed Watershed

51689 11-20-75 HUD
51703 11-24-75 PFC
51644 11-10-75 DOT
51653 11-11-75 USDA
51653 11-11-75 USDA
51653 11-11-75 USDA

Nevada

DRAFT Buckeye to Round Hill 120 kV Transmission Line
FINAL Railroad Relocation Demonstration Project

51670 11-17-75 USDA
51674 11-17-75 DOT

New Jersey

DRAFT Pine Brook Flood Control Porject, Manalapan

51705 11-24-75 COE

New York

FINAL Concorde Supersonic Transport
FINAL Cattaraugus Creek Harbor, Flood Control
FINAL Cattaraugus Creek Harbor, Flood Control
DRAFT Prookhaven National Laboratory
FINAL Arcadia Industrial Park, Newark NY

51664 11-14-75 DOT
51711 11-24-75 COE
51711 11-24-75 COE
51726 11-26-75 ERDA
51722 11-26-75 HUD

North Carolina

DRAFT Cape Fear R.: Maintenance Above Wilmington
FINAL Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, N.C.
FINAL Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina
FINAL Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina
DRAFT US 52, Welcome to Lexington, North Carolina
FINAL Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina

51625 11-06-75 COE
51639 11-10-75 COE
51687 11-20-75 DOI
51687 11-20-75 DOI
51681 11-19-75 DOT
51687 11-20-75 DOI

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

11-30-75

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Ohio	Lake Ottawa	DRAFT FINAL	Chagrin River Flood Control and Navigation (3) Davis-Resse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 Operation	51606 51616	11-03-75 11-05-75	COE MRC
Oklahoma	Caddo Muskegee Oklahoma Osage Tulsa	DRAFT DRAFT FINAL FINAL DRAFT	Anadarko Combined Cycle Unit Ft. Howard Paper Co., Pulp and Paper Mill US 77, Shields Boulevard, Oklahoma City Shidler Lake, Salt Creek Arcadia Lake, Deep Fork River, Oklahoma	51652 51621 51708 51660 51620	11-11-75 11-06-75 11-24-75 11-13-75 11-06-75	USDA COE DOT COE COE
Oregon	Paker Clackamas Union Wallowa	DRAFT FINAL FINAL FINAL FINAL	North Bend Municipal Airport Development Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation Timberline Lodge Complex, Mt. Hood N.P. Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation	51675 51622 51613 51622 51622	11-18-75 11-06-75 11-04-75 11-06-75 11-06-75	DOT USDA USDA USDA USDA
Pennsylvania		DRAFT	Monongahela River Basin Study	51695	11-20-75	ORBC
Puerto Rico		DRAFT DRAFT	PR-10, Arecibo-Ponce Coamo Solid Waste Disposal Site	51701 51706	11-24-75 11-24-75	DOT HUD
South Carolina	Leaufort Georgetown Jasper	FINAL DRAFT DRAFT	Upper New River Watershed Project Morrells Inlet Navigation Project Upper New River Watershed Project	51691 51641 51691	11-20-75 11-10-75 11-20-75	USDA COE USDA
Tennessee	Anderson Clay Knox Kearce	DRAFT DRAFT FINAL DRAFT	State Route 61, Oliver Springs Bypass Dale Hollow Lake, Continued O & N 1-640 State Route 61, Oliver Springs Bypass	51715 51700 51710 51715	11-24-75 11-24-75 11-24-75 11-24-75	DOT COF DOT DOT
Texas	several Patterson Prageria	DRAFT DRAFT FINAL DRAFT	Brownsville-Matamoros Railroad Relocation Carl L. Estes Lake, Sabine River Fm Creek Watershed Project Federal Youth Center, Bastrop, Texas Texas State Highway 35, Proposed Relocation	51628 51712 51640 51716 51725	11-10-75 11-24-75 11-10-75 11-24-75 11-26-75	DOT COF USDA GSA DOT

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

11-30-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Texas	Chambers	FINAL	Cedar Bayou Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51634	11-10-75	COE
	Coryell	DRAFT	Fort Hood Land Acquisition	51627	11-07-75	COE
	Dallas	FINAL	The Woods Subdivision, Dallas Co.	51608	11-04-75	HUD
	Harris	DRAFT	Texas State Highway 35, Proposed Relocation	51725	11-26-75	DOT
		FINAL	Cedar Bayou Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51634	11-10-75	COE
	Ft. Worth	FINAL	Loop 335 from I-40 to US 87 and US 287, Potter Co.	51642	11-10-75	DOT
	Tarrant	DRAFT	Metropolitan Highway 50, Fort Worth	51605	11-03-75	DOT
Virginia		DRAFT	Portsmouth Refinery and Terminal	51685	11-20-75	COE
		FINAL	Concorde Supersonic Transport	51664	11-14-75	DOT
Washington	Adams	DRAFT	Lopez Island Airport Improvement	51697	11-24-75	DOT
	Grays Harbor	FINAL	Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello	51678	11-18-75	HUD
		FINAL	Group Harbor and Chehalis River Navigation Project	51603	11-20-75	COE
West Virginia		DRAFT	Monongahela River Basin Study	51695	11-20-75	ORDC
		DRAFT	US 60 Bridge Over Big Sandy River	51724	11-26-75	DOT
	Wood	DRAFT	Parkersburg Urban Renewal	51690	11-20-75	HUD
Wisconsin	La Crosse	FINAL	STH 93, La Crosse Co., Wisconsin	51665	11-17-75	DOT
	Sawyer	FINAL	S.T.R. 77 and 77, Sawyer County	51654	11-12-75	DOT

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Agricultural Stab. and Conserv. Service Forest Service	FINAL	Black Pine Planning Unit, Sawtooth N.P.	51619	11-06-75
	DRAFT	Agricultural Conservation Program	51615	11-05-75
	DRAFT	Alaska Lumber & Pulp Co., Timber Sale	51618	11-06-75
		Big Swede-Pipe Units, Kootenai N.P.	51623	11-06-75
		Lower West Fork Unit, Bitterroot N.P.	51656	11-12-75
		Ruckeye to Round Hill 120 kv Transmission Line	51670	11-17-75
	FINAL	Marble Winter Sports Site, White River N.P.	51609	11-04-75
		Timberline Lodge Complex, Mt. Hood N.P.	51613	11-04-75
		Mallova Valley Unit Resource Allocation	51622	11-06-75
		Herbicide Use, Ozark-St. Francis N.P.	51624	11-06-75
		Squaw Creek Planning Unit, Boise N.P.	51632	11-10-75
		Middle Fork Boise River Unit, Boise N.P.	51643	11-10-75
		Longleaf Islands, Ocala N.P.	51661	11-13-75
		Hebden Lake Planning Unit, Gallatin N.P.	51667	11-17-75
Rural Electrification Administration	DRAFT	Anadarko Combined Cycle Unit	51652	11-11-75
	FINAL	Marion Unit #4, Illinois	51696	11-21-75
Soil Conservation Service	FINAL	Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed	51638	11-10-75
		Plm Creek Watershed Project	51640	11-10-75
		Blackwood Creed Watershed	51653	11-11-75
		Vantic River Watershed (2)	51659	11-13-75
		Upper New River Watershed Project	51691	11-20-75
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Maritime Administration Natl Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.	DRAFT	Chemical Waste Incinerator Ship Project	51677	11-18-75
	FINAL	Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals	51679	11-18-75
		Key Largo Coral Reef Marine Sanctuary	51717	11-25-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps	DRAFT	Chadron River Flood Control and Navigation (3)	51606	11-03-75
		Calleguas Creek, Ventura County	51607	11-03-75
		Clinton River Channel, Maintenance Uredging	51617	11-06-75
		Arcadia Lake, Deep Fork River, Oklahoma	51620	11-06-75
		Pt. Edward Paper Co., Pulp and Paper Mill	51621	11-06-75
		Cape Fear R.: Maintenance Above Wilmington	51625	11-06-75
		Fort Hood Land Acquisition	51627	11-07-75

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

AGENCY
BUREAU

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMY CORPS

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DRAFT	Mutuels Inlet Navigation Project	51641	11-10-75
	Joe Creek Local Protection Project, Tulsa	51645	11-10-75
	Panama City Beaches, Erosion & Hurricane Protection	51646	11-10-75
	Paintsville Lake	51648	11-17-75
	Rail-to-Barge Coal Transfer Facility, St. Louis	51657	11-12-75
	Nearman Creek Generating Station	51666	11-17-75
	Vermilion Lock Replacement, Gulf ICW (2)	51684	11-20-75
	Portsmouth Refinery and Terminal	51685	11-20-75
	Cambridge Harbor Channel and Turning Basin	51693	11-20-75
	Pale Hollow Lake, Continued O & M	51700	11-24-75
	Area #8 (Fishery), Flood Protection	51702	11-24-75
	Pine Brook Flood Control Project, Manalapan	51705	11-24-75
	Carl L. Estes Lake, Sabine River	51712	11-24-75
	Port Sonoma Development Project	51723	11-26-75
FINAL	Noyo Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51633	11-10-75
	Cedar Bayou Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51634	11-10-75
	Petaluma River, Maintenance Dredging	51635	11-10-75
	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, N.C.	51639	11-10-75
	Shidler Lake, Salt Creek	51660	11-13-75
	Group Harbor and Chehalis River Navigation Project	51683	11-20-75
	Cattaraugus Creek Harbor, Flood Control	51711	11-24-75

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

FINAL ORV Recreation, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake 51601 11-03-75

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

DRAFT Fier Point Development, Colorado 51603 11-03-75

Cannongate Development, Palm Beach 51650 11-11-75

Lakes of Acadia Development 51658 11-13-75

Seven Lakes, A Planned Community 51662 11-13-75

Parkersburg Urban Renewal 51690 11-20-75

Le Chalet, Palm Beach Co. 51692 11-20-75

Tallahassee County Water Supply System 51714 11-24-75

FINAL "The Woods" Subdivision, Dallas Co. 51608 11-04-75

Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass. 51694 11-20-75

Section 104 (b)

DRAFT Peretania-Smith St. Parking Facility, Honolulu 51686 11-20-75

E. Omaha Relocation and Land Development 51689 11-20-75

Coamo Solid Waste Disposal Site 51706 11-24-75

FINAL Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello 51678 11-18-75

Dangerous Buildings and Demolition Program, Kansas 51713 11-24-75

Arcadia Industrial Park, Newark NY 51722 11-26-75

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR Bureau of Indian Affairs Bureau of Land Management Bureau of Outdoor Recreation Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife	FINAL	Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Lands Mineral Lease	51698	11-24-75	
	FINAL	Northern Gulf of Alaska Oil and Gas Leasing	51682	11-19-75	
	FINAL DRAFT	Minnesota Memorial Hardwood Forest	51720	11-26-75	
	FINAL	National Wildlife Refuge System	51680	11-18-75	
		Proposed Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge	51612	11-04-75	
		Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina	51687	11-20-75	
		Proposed Nixabee Wilderness Area	51688	11-20-75	
		Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area	51719	11-25-75	
	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Federal Aviation Administration	DRAFT	Reedley Municipal Airport, California	51604	11-03-75
		FINAL	North Bend Municipal Airport Development	51675	11-18-75
Lopez Island Airport Improvement			51697	11-24-75	
New General Aviation Airport, Baton Rouge			51699	11-24-75	
Marion County Airport, Indiana			51611	11-04-75	
Mount Comfort Airport			51649	11-11-75	
		Concorde Supersonic Transport	51664	11-14-75	
Federal Highway Administration		DRAFT	Metropolitan Highway 50, Fort Worth	51605	11-03-75
			Indiana Project 1-164	51614	11-04-75
			Ptownsville-Matamoros Railroad Relocation	51628	11-10-75
		Elk City Hwy., Idaho Forest Hwy. 18 (SR 14)	51630	11-10-75	
		South Midtown Freeway (Route 71)	51651	11-11-75	
		US 2, Manistique River Bridge Relocation	51663	11-13-75	
		Minnesota Drive Extension, Anchorage	51671	11-17-75	
		Kalaniana'ole Highway (PAP 72) Improvement	51673	11-17-75	
		US 52, Welcome to Lexington, North Carolina	51681	11-19-75	
		PR-10, Aieaibo-Ponce	51701	11-24-75	
FINAL	US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North	51704	11-24-75		
	Slate Route 61, Oliver Springs Bypass	51715	11-24-75		
	US 60 Bridge Over Big Sandy River	51724	11-26-75		
	Texas State Highway 35, Proposed Relocation	51725	11-26-75		
	SR 10A, Pensacola	51602	11-03-75		
	SR 475, Genesee County	51631	11-10-75		
	Loop 145 from 1-40 to US R7 and US 287, Potter Co.	51642	11-10-75		
	US 77, Dakota County (Supplement)	51604	11-10-75		
	S.T.H. 21 and 71, Sawyer County	51654	11-12-75		
	US 61	51655	11-12-75		
STH 93, La Crosse Co., Wisconsin	Widening of DeRenne Avenue, Savannah, Georgia	51665	11-17-75		
	Railroad Relocation Demonstration Project	51668	11-17-75		
	US 77, Shields Boulevard, Oklahoma City	51674	11-17-75		
		51708	11-24-75		
		51710	11-24-75		

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
STATEMENT TITLE INDEX -- BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

FROM 11-01-75
TO 11-30-75

AGENCY BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION U.S.Coast Guard	FINAL	Coast Guard Station, Provincetown, Mass.	51721	11-26-75
Urban Mass Transportation Administration	DRAFT	Bicentennial Transportation Program	51477	11-10-75
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.	DRAFT	Brockhaven National Laboratory	51726	11-26-75
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION	DRAFT	Natural Gas Emergency Standby Act of 1975	51707	11-24-75
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION	DRAFT FINAL	Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835 El Paso Natural Gas Co., Curtailment Cities Service Pipeline System Curtailment Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company	51703 51629 51672 51709	11-24-75 11-10-75 11-17-75 11-24-75
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	DRAFT FINAL	Federal Bldg. - US Courthouse, E. St. Louis Fort Polabird Disposal, Baltimore Federal Office Building, Carbondale, Illinois Federal Youth Center, Bastrop, Texas	51610 51669 51676 51716	11-04-75 11-17-75 11-18-75 11-24-75
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	DRAFT FINAL	Monaque Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 Palo Verde Nuclear Sta., Units 1, 2, & 3 (Suppl.) Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 Operatio Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1	51626 51718 51616 51636	11-06-75 11-25-75 11-05-75 11-10-75
OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION	DRAFT	Monongahela River Basin Study	51695	11-20-75
VEHICULAR ADMINISTRATION	FINAL	Clinical Support Facility, Boise, Idaho	51647	11-11-75

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. Fowden G. Maxwell
Coordinator of Environmental
Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Room 359-A,
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Agricultural Stab. and Conserv. Service

Draft	Date
Agricultural Conservation Program	11/05

Under the Agricultural Conservation Program, the Federal Government will be sharing with farmers and ranchers the cost of carrying out approved soil, water, woodland, and wildlife conservation practices on their land, throughout the States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. construction of water impoundment facilities, terraces, and diversions, the establishment of vegetative cover and trees, and the carrying out of streambank protection measures. Some adverse impacts may occur if herbicides are not properly applied or if there is improper application of plant nutrients.
(ELR ORDER # 51615)

Forest Service

Draft	Date
Alaska Lumber & Pulp Co., Timber Sale	11/06

Alaska
The statement concerns the harvesting of approximately 668 million board feet of mature Sitka spruce, western hemlock, and Alaska cedar by the Alaska Lumber and Pulp Company during the operating period 1976-1981. The proposed action will modify 267,400 acres of Tongass National Forest to the degree that it will no longer qualify for consideration for inclusion into the Wilderness Preservation System. Areas where permanent roads, quarries, camps, and log landings are constructed will be removed from timber production for an indefinite time.
(ELR ORDER # 51618)

Big Swede-Pipe Units, Kootenai N.F.	11/06
-------------------------------------	-------

Montana
County: Lincoln
The action involves the implementation of a revised multiple use plan for the 142,135 acre Big Swede-

Pipe Planning Unit of Kootenai National Forest. The plan provides for fewer acres to be allotted to timber production, but an increase in long term yields through more intensive management of these acres allocated to production of wood products. Continued developmental activity will result in soil and vegetative disturbance. Areas which are currently unroaded will be developed and the natural condition of the Forest will be affected.
(ELR ORDER # 51623)

Lower West Fork Unit, Bitterroot N.F.

11/12

Montana

County: Ravalli

The proposed action is the implementation of a revised land use plan for the 197,431-acre Lower West Fork Planning Unit, Bitterroot National Forest. Major impacts generated by management will be in soil, vegetation, wildlife, aesthetics, water and roadless area values due to management activities related to intensive timber management and road construction. Increased air pollution will result from smoke from management activities such as slash burning and site preparation. Timber production will be foregone on 52,573 acres managed as Wilderness or other forms of unroaded area.

(ELR ORDER # 51656)

Huckeye to Round Hill 120 kV Transmission Line

11/17

Nevada

County: Douglas

Proposed is the issuance of a special use permit to the Sierra Pacific Power Company for the construction and operation of a 120 kV power transmission line across portions of the Toiyabe National Forest. Construction and operation of the transmission line will create a change in the visual characteristics of the natural forest landscape. Future options for use of National Forest land adjacent to the right-of-way will be limited.

(ELR ORDER # 51670)

Final

Date

Herbicide Use, Ozark-St. Francis N.F.

11/06

Arkansas

County: several

The statement describes vegetation management and control in the Ozark-St Francis National Forests through the use of herbicides 2,4-D, 2,3,5-T, silvex and picloram. Adverse impacts include decreased aesthetic value due to loss of some foliage and flowering species, noise and smell during spraying, and temporary lowered air and water quality during and after spraying.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE EPA DOI
state agencies, private
groups and individuals
(FLR ORDER # 51624)

Marble Winter Sports Site, White River N.F.

11/04

Colorado

County: Gunnison

The proposal is not to issue a special use permit pursuant to the application of the Marble Ski Area, Inc., to expand their existing facilities onto 600 acres of the White River National Forest within Gunnison County, Colorado. This action would permit local government to reassess current zoning and land ownership.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
state and local agencies,
private organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51609)

Longleaf Islands, Ocala N.F.

11/13

Florida

County: Marion Putnam

Proposed is the implementation of a 10-year management plan for the 18,819 acre Longleaf Island Unit of the Ocala National Forest. The management directive is to maintain the islands in a pleasant and natural condition, and to develop open, park-like space for dispersed recreation. Habitat will be developed to support a "hunnable" population of quail. Impact on soils will be primarily from timber management activities, and trail and campground construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD FFA DOI USN FPC
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51661)

Black Pine Planning Unit, Sawtooth N.F.

11/06

Idaho

County: Cassia

The proposed land use plan for the Black Pine Planning Unit, Sawtooth National Forest, closely parallels present management with these major changes: harvesting of six million board feet of sawtimber, partial development of the 51,566-acre roadless area, prescribed burning on 7,000-10,000 acres to thin juniper trees and increase livestock forage, and provide for an increase in winter forage for deer. Unavoidable adverse effects include reduction of both juniper- and sagebrush-dependent species and increased harvesting of deer during hunting season (due to improved access).

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA DOI HUD HEW USDA
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51619)

Squaw Creek Planning Unit, Boise N.F.

11/10

Idaho

This land use plan for the Squaw Creek Planning Unit, Boise National Forest, sets forth the allocation of specific land areas to a variety of resources uses and activities. Adverse impacts are alteration of existing vegetation, numerous soil disturbing activities and some negative effects on wildlife.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA AHP
state and local agencies
organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51632)

Middle Fork Boise River Unit, Boise N.F.

11/10

Idaho

County: Elmore Boise

The statement concerns the land use plan for the 329,100 acre Middle Fork Boise River Planning Unit of Boise National Forest. Resource uses and activities provided for in the plan will have short and long term effects on vegetation in the unit. Road, trail, and recreation and administrative facility development will remove some area from vegetative production. Grazing, timber harvest, road construction and dispersed recreation activities will also result in short term higher rates of erosion. Most wildlife species will be affected.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC AHP COE GEO
state agencies, organizations
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51642)

Hebgen Lake Planning Unit, Gallatin N.F.

11/17

Montana

The action consists of implementing land use allocations for 118,655 acres of National Forest lands and waters in the Hebgen Lake land use planning unit. The plan provides a reasonable mix of uses related to the land suitability. The mix of uses range from a wilderness resource to a highly developed recreation resource. Timber production will be continued on lower elevations, and three areas totalling about 28,500 acres are set aside for study as potential wilderness areas. Major impacts will be due to timber harvest access road construction and population increases caused by developments on private lands. They will affect soil, water vegetation, wildlife, fisheries and recreation.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOI EPA

state agencies, business interests
organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51667)

Timberline Lodge Complex, Mt. Hood N.F.

11/04

Oregon

County: Clackamas

Proposed is the establishment of long-term management for the Timberline Lodge complex, in order to preserve the Lodge and make it available for public use and enjoyment.

The proposed action focuses on the historic and established use patterns at Timberline Lodge, and includes the construction of a day lodge, a retaining wall and 2 chairlifts. Landscaping and remodeling of the Lodge itself are also included in the project.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA DOT HUD DOI USDA
state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51613)

Wallowa Valley Unit Resource Allocation

11/06

Oregon

County: Wallowa Baker Union

The proposed resource allocation differs from present management of the Wallowa Valley Planning Unit, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest in that while maintaining commodity levels, certain areas are designated for special non-game wildlife needs and recreation value are given special emphasis. Adverse effects include the loss of 35% of timber production and grazing potential, contamination of streams and lakes by cattle and elk, soil disturbance and changes in vegetation due to timber harvesting, and possible adverse impacts on numerous wildlife species.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USN EPA DOI DOC USA
state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51622)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft

Date

Anadarko Combined Cycle Unit

11/11

Oklahoma

County: Caddo

The action relates to the use of a guaranteed loan of 72 million dollars to finance the addition of a 280 megawatt combined cycle unit at the Anadarko Generating Station and increased gas pipeline capacity to supply the unit with natural gas. Operation of the unit will result in the emission of nitrogen and sulfur oxides and a small quantity of particulates. The new unit will cause some social and economic impact on Caddo County during construction and operation and could be a factor in the economic development

of the service area. Water discharges will be made to the Washita River.

(ELR ORDER # 51652)

Final

Date

Marion Unit #4, Illinois

11/21

Illinois

County: Williamson

The action involves the request for guaranteed loan funds by the Southern Illinois Power Co-op for construction of a new 160,000 net kilowatt generating unit at the Marion Station located in Williamson County, Illinois. The proposed addition will burn a mixture of coal and "gob" refuse. Some oxides of sulfur and nitrogen along with particulate matter will be released into the atmosphere. also, during the life of the plant there will be environmental effects resulting from the mining of "gob" refuse and coal.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT DOI EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51696)

Soil Conservation Service

Final

Date

Yantic River Watershed (2)

11/13

Connecticut

County: New London Tolland

This updated statement for the Yantic River Watershed Project proposes conservation land treatment supplemented by two floodwater retarding structures, and one multiple-purpose floodwater retarding and recreation reservoir with associated facilities and about 1.3 miles of channel work. The dams will inundate about 6,500 feet of trout stream, eliminate woodland use and wildlife habitat in the 250 acres to be in the recreational lake, and modify agricultural and wildlife use of the 31 acres to be occupied by dams and spillways. Eleven owner occupied homes and 31 persons will be displaced.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USA NEW DOI DOT EPA PPC
state agency and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51659)

Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed

11/10

Louisiana

County: Evangeline Allen

The project is for watershed protection, flood prevention, drainage, irrigation and recreation in the 214,200-acre Upper Bayou Nezpique Watershed. The floodway, dikes, 8 reservoir embankments and spillways, irrigation pool, sediment pools, borrow areas, and channel rights-of-way will require the loss of 174 agricultural acres and 2182 forested

acres. One family of six persons will be displaced by construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOI DOT AHP COE EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51638)

Blackwood Creed Watershed

11/11

Nebraska

County: Lincoln Hitchcock Red Willow

The statement refers to the Blackwood Creek Watershed and flood prevention project located in Hayes, Hitchcock, Lincoln, and Red Willow Counties, Nebraska. The plan consists of land treatment and 13 floodwater retarding structures. Adverse impacts include: loss of 380 acres of rangeland, and 1,027 acres of agricultural land; and the permanent inundation of 16 miles of ephemeral streams and the periodic inundation of 12.6 miles of ephemeral streams.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA USA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51653)

Upper New River Watershed Project

11/20

South Carolina

County: Beaufort Jasper

This project for watershed protection, flood prevention and drainage in the Upper New River Watershed, Beaufort and Jasper Counties proposes land treatment measures (water management and timber and wildlife habitat improvement) and multiple-purpose flood prevention and drainage channels. Adverse impacts include permanent clearing of trees and shrubs from 150 acres, temporary clearing of 140 acres for soil disposal and noise and dust pollution during construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51691)

Elm Creek Watershed Project

11/10

Texas

County: several

The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood control on 24,600 acres of cropland, 33,500 acres of pastureland, and 5,400 acres of rangeland. The 45 floodwater retarding structures, to be built over a period of 10 years, will require commitment of 2,204 acres. An additional 4,330 acres will be subject to temporary inundation. The project will displace two farms, one family dwelling and the contents of 14 barns, and will result in the average annual loss of \$21,000 in agricultural production on the land needed for structural measures.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51640)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4335

Maritime Administration

Draft

Date

Chemical Waste Incinerator Ship Project

11/18

The statement concerns the consideration of Maritime Administration support for the development of a U.S. flag operated incineration-at-sea capability. As many as four incinerator vessels may eventually be needed nation-wide. Environmental impact of the proposed action would result from the construction/conversion, operation, maintenance, and repair of the vessels to be built under the project. Once the vessels become operational, there will exist a potential hazard to the marine environment from the accidental release of harmful substances, but under observed safety regulations, high-temperature combustion of toxic materials will have a minimal adverse impact.
(ELR ORDER # 51677)

Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.

Final

Date

Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals

11/18

The statement concerns the promulgation of rules and proposed issuance of permits to commercial fishermen allowing the taking of marine mammals in the course of normal commercial fishing operations. The statement indicates that the current, fishery-induced mortality should not result in any rapid, long-term reduction in the affected porpoise stocks.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA STAT EPA
State of California, individuals
and organizations

(ELR ORDER # 51679)

Key Largo Coral Reef Marine Sanctuary

11/25

Florida

Proposed is the establishment of a recreational and esthetic area encompassing corals and associated flora and fauna under Title III of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972. The area will be managed to protect and conserve the coral and coral reef ecosystems, to regulate the uses of the sanctuary, and to provide

opportunity for recreation. Users of the area will be subject to regulation and some activity, such as spear fishing and souvenir collecting, will be precluded.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DJUS ERDA DOT STAT EPA NASA USCG USDA
state and local agencies
and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51717)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy Development
Attn: DAFN-CWR-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft

Date

Joe Creek Local Protection Project, Tulsa Oklahoma 11/10
Proposed is the construction of a local flood protection project in Tulsa, Oklahoma, consisting of about 11,500 feet of channel widening and straightening, and replacement or modification of bridges. Joe Creek and the associated flora and fauna in the area will be changed by construction. The project will require 52 acres of permanent easement and an additional 25 acres of temporary easement during construction. One family will be displaced. (Tulsa District) (FLR ORDER # 51645)

Calleguas Creek, Ventura County 11/03
California
County: Ventura
Proposed in this revised draft is the adoption of a program incorporating construction of two flood control channels, flood plain management, and park and trail development for Calleguas Creek, Ventura Co., Calif. Adverse effects include loss of 12 acres of riparian wildlife habitat and urbanization of 185 acres of open space and agricultural land within the Simi Valley and Moorpark flood plain. (FLR ORDER # 51607)

Port Sonoma Development Project 11/26
California
County: Sonoma
Proposed is the breaching of a levee on the Petaluma River for the purpose of constructing a water oriented recreation-commercial-light industrial complex at Port Sonoma. Adverse effects of the project include: reduction in the number and proportion of live aquatic organisms; possible entrophication in main project channel and basins; increased dissolved solids and surface water pollution; and loss of agricultural land. (San Francisco District) (ELR ORDER # 51723)

Panama City Beaches, Erosion & Hurricane Protection 11/10

Florida

The proposed project for beach erosion control and hurricane protection for the Panama City Beaches, Florida, would include 18.5 miles of beach restoration plus an artificial dune and berm between Philips Inlet and the entrance channel into Panama City Harbor. Dredging would cause temporary increased turbidity in localized waters. The animal life most affected by the project would be the less motile benthic invertebrates. (mobile District)
(ELR ORDER # 51646)

Nearman Creek Generating Station 11/17

Kansas

County: Wyandotte

Proposed is the construction of a 246 Megawatt coal-fired steam-electric generating facility and appurtenant structures on and in the Missouri River between River Miles 377 and 379 in the City of Kansas City, Kansas. The proposed powerplant would convert approximately 725 acres of agricultural land and wildlife habitat to industrial use, and plant operation would result in the release of waste by-products of combustion and a heated discharge into the Missouri River.
(ELR ORDER # 51666)

Paintsville Lake 11/17

Kentucky

The statement is a supplement to a final EIS filed with CEO 19 August 1971. The Paintsville Lake Project is one of three reservoir projects authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1965 for water resources development in the Big Sandy River Basin. The project is multiple-purpose formulated to include flood control, water quality control, redevelopment and general and fish and wildlife recreation. (Huntington District)
(ELR ORDER # 51648)

Vermilion Lock Replacement, Gulf ICW (2) 11/20

Louisiana

County: Vermilion

The EIS is a revision of a draft EIS filed with CEO 30 April 1975. The project provides for construction of an earth-chambered sector-gated replacement lock for the existing Vermilion Lock, Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Louisiana Section. The primary adverse impacts will be the loss of 806 acres of marsh and existing vegetation and wildlife, increased turbidity, and the loss of some benthic organisms. (New Orleans District)
(ELR ORDER # 51684)

Cambridge Harbor Channel and Turning Basin

11/20

Maryland

County: Dorchester

Proposed is the maintenance dredging of the approach channel in the Choptank River and Cambridge Creek at the Port of Cambridge, Maryland. Adverse effects of the project include noise generated during construction and inconvenience to navigation during construction. There is also a slight possibility that the floating pipeline containing the spoil material could break and smother nearby oyster bars.

(ELR ORDER # 51693)

Clinton River Channel, Maintenance Dredging

11/06

Michigan

County: several

Proposed is the continuation of maintenance dredging for the Clinton River, Michigan, Federal Navigation Channel. Increased water turbidity in the area of operation will result, and aquatic life in the dredging areas will be disturbed or destroyed. Disposal of dredged sediments will alter habitats and may otherwise adversely affect organisms at the disposal area. (Detroit District)

(ELR ORDER # 51617)

Rail-to-Barge Coal Transfer Facility, St. Louis

11/12

Missouri

The proposed action includes the construction of a 10-million ton/year coal terminal for transferring western coal from unit trains to river barges for transport to locations along the Ohio and Mississippi Rivers. The terminal will be located on the west bank of the Mississippi River in St. Louis, Mo., and will occupy approximately 45 acres of unused industrially-zoned land. Adverse effects include loss of marginal wildlife habitat, noise generation, aesthetic intrusion, and interference with local traffic patterns due to increased number of trains. (St. Louis District)

(ELR ORDER # 51657)

Area #8 (Elsberry), Flood Protection

11/24

Missouri

County: Lincoln Pike

The recommended flood protection plan for Agricultural Area No. 8 involves the reconstruction of 24.2 miles of levee, replacement of existing pump and gravity drainage structures, and the acquisition of easements (estates) to preserve and enhance the fish and wildlife habitat in the project area. One of the most significant adverse impacts would involve the clearing of 208 acres of timber

and old field wildlife habitat, and the possible conversion of additional timbered land to cropland over time. Other adverse impacts include disruptive effects upon fish in permanent water habitats and the removal of several buildings and the inhabitants thereof. (St. Louis District)
(ELR ORDER # 51702)

Pine Brook Flood Control Project, Manalapan

11/24

New Jersey

County: Monmouth

The recommended plan of flood protection is channel modification consisting of widening and deepening of approximately 6000 feet of the Pine Brook, Manalapan Township, New Jersey. The newly straightened channel would extend from the vicinity of the Pension Road Bridge to 700 feet upstream of Winthrop Drive Bridge. Adverse impacts include removal of vegetation, some loss of wildlife and wildlife habitat and the change of aesthetics to a modified appearance of the stream and land. (New York District)
(ELR ORDER # 51705)

Cape Fear R.: Maintenance Above Wilmington

11/06

North Carolina

County: several

Proposed is the continued maintenance of the existing navigation program from Wilmington to Fayetteville, N.C. Maintenance consists of removal of shoals from the waterway and operation of the 3 locks and dams. Removal of shoals and disposal of dredged material has adverse effects on the water and associated life forms. (Wilmington District)
(ELR ORDER # 51625)

Chagrin River Flood Control and Navigation (3)

11/03

Ohio

County: Lake

The statement is the second revision of a draft filed with CEQ 19 December 1972. Proposed is a plan of improvement for Eastlake, Chagrin River, Ohio which would reduce flood damages within the Chagrin River Basin, provide safer river and harbor navigation, and provide increased recreational fishing opportunity and access at Eastlake. Approximately 6.6 acres of terrestrial vegetation will be destroyed by construction and about 6.4 acres of lake bottom will be lost. (Buffalo District)
(ELR ORDER # 51606)

Arcadia Lake, Deep Fork River, Oklahoma

11/06

Oklahoma

County: Tulsa

Proposed is the construction of a multi-purpose lake

on the Deep Fork River 1 1/2 miles west of Arcadia, Oklahoma, and 6 miles east of Edmond. The project will require the acquisition of 4,200 acres in agricultural lands and 2,800 acres of rural homesite lands. Necessary relocations would include highways, railroads, and utilities in the area, as well as an estimated 50 graves and 45 families. A small independent school district would be adversely affected. (Tulsa District) (ELR ORDER # 51620)

Ft. Howard Paper Co., Pulp and Paper Mill

11/06

Oklahoma

County: Muskogee

Proposed is the construction on and in the Arkansas River, in the area of Muskogee Co., Oklahoma, of a barge loading dock and intake and outlet facilities for a process water system which are directly related to the recycled -deinked pulp and paper mill. Adverse effects include destruction or modification of existing ground cover and significant impact on wildlife habitat. Water intake would adversely affect plankton, fish, fish eggs, and larvae carried into the system. (Tulsa District)

(ELR ORDER # 51621)

Murrells Inlet Navigation Project

11/10

South Carolina

County: Georgetown

The recommended improvements for the Murrells Inlet Navigation Project consist of the following: dredging an entrance channel and deposition basin; constructing north and south jetties; constructing a fish walkway on top of the south jetty; and constructing sand dunes on both sides of the inlet to tie the jetties to the existing dune line. Adverse effects include destruction of some benthic organisms and smothering of invertebrates.

(Charleston District)

(ELR ORDER # 51641)

Dale Hollow Lake, Continued O & M

11/24

Tennessee

County: Clay

Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance of a dam and lake authorized for flood control and hydro-electric power generation, and operation and management of outdoor recreation facilities at Dale Hollow Lake, Obey Lake, Tennessee. Adverse impacts include localized water degradation as a result of overloaded wastewater treatment facilities, disturbance of vegetation, and boat concentrations near heavily used recreation area. Also, spawning is generally adversely affected by control of the lake for flood control. (Nashville District)

(ELR ORDER # 51700)

Fort Hood Land Acquisition

11/07

Texas

County: Coryell

The proposed action is to acquire 59,300 acres of land adjacent to the west boundary of the Fort Hood Military Reservation. The purpose of this action is to provide Fort Hood with adequate land area to permit realistic modern-day battlefield conditions for training.

About 156 families will be relocated, and the town of Pidcoke will be removed and the life-styles of families who will be relocated outside the area will be disrupted. (Fort Worth District)

(ELR ORDER # 51627)

Carl L. Estes Lake, Sabine River

11/24

Texas

County: several

Proposed is the construction and operation of a multiple-purpose reservoir project on the Sabine River, Sabine River Basin, Texas, which will fulfill needs for flood control, water supply, fish and wildlife, and recreation. The project will require acquisition and subsequent change in land use of approximately 68,700 acres of land. Adverse impacts include: inundation of land; loss of wildlife habitat; negative effects upon 91 archeological resources; and relocation of families, cemeteries, roads and utility lines. (Fort Worth District)

(ELR ORDER # 51712)

Portsmouth Refinery and Terminal

11/20

Virginia

The Hampton Roads Energy Company proposes to construct a refinery and marine terminal for the handling and production of petroleum products. The complex would produce daily a combined total of more than 7 million gallons of gasoline, jet fuel, fuel oil, butane, propane and other related products. Adverse impacts include loss of vegetation, animal habitat, trees, cropland on the site and possible indirect impacts on small nearby creeks. A total of 470 acres will be acquired for the project.

(Norfolk District)

(ELR ORDER # 51685)

Final

Date

Noyo Harbor Maintenance Dredging

11/10

California

County: Mendocino

The statement refers to the continued maintenance dredging of the entrance channel and the harbor channel at approximately one to two-year intervals. Maintenance consists of dredging approximately 45,000 cubic yards of material annually. Spoil will be deposited at a land disposal site, where 5 acres of grassland will be covered. Marine biota will be adversely affected. (San Francisco District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOT HEW EPA
state and local agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51633)

Petaluma River, Maintenance Dredging

11/10

California

County: Sonoma Marin

The project provides for maintenance dredging of 1,100,000 cu.yds. of sediment from the Petaluma River Navigation Channel. Approximately 440,000 cu. yds. of material will be hydraulically dredged from the upper river channel and deposited on land and 660,000 cu. yds. to be clamshell dredged from the channel in San Pablo Bay and deposited at an authorized water disposal site. Adverse effects include release of sediments and of absorbed chemicals into the water, transitory increase in turbidity during dredging and water disposal, and loss in potential for wetlands development. (San Francisco District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW DOI USDA DOT AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51635)

Cattaraugus Creek Harbor, Flood Control

11/24

New York

County: Chautauqua Erie

The statement refers to the proposed plan for navigation, flood control and related purposes in Cattaraugus Creek Harbor at Chautauqua and Erie Counties, NY. The plan calls for: breakwaters in southern Lake Erie; a bern extending from the inner end of the north breakwater northerly to high ground; an entrance channel from deep water in the lake to the inner channel; an inner channel from the entrance channel upstream approximately 2,900 feet; and, two levees on the left bank, aggregating about 770 feet in length. Adverse impacts include: temporary noise and dust during construction; permanent loss of 13 acres of bottom habitat; and, land use changes caused by shoreline development.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USCG USDA DOI DOC EPA HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51711)

Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, N.C.

11/10

North Carolina

County: several

The statement concerns the removal of shoals from 308 miles

of intracoastal waterway from the North Carolina-Virginia State Line to Little River, South Carolina during the coming 50-year project life. Dredging will cause temporary turbidity and water pollution, and disposal of dredged material will have adverse effects on disposal areas.

(Wilmington District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA HUD DOI DOT HEW DOC
state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51639)

Shidler Lake, Salt Creek

11/13

Oklahoma

County: Osage

The statement refers to the Shidler Lake damsite to be located at river mile 39.2 on Salt Creek in Osage County near Shidler, Oklahoma. Project purposes are flood control, water supply, recreation, and fish and wildlife. The project consists of an earth-fill dam, an uncontrolled saddle spillway, a gated flood control outlet works with water supply and low flow facilities, access roads, and project buildings. Adverse impacts are the inundation of 8.8 miles of stream that will result in a loss of wildlife habitat, and the degradation of ten archeological sites due to shore-erosion, land use change, and inundation.

(Tulsa District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT USDA AHP
state and local agencies
and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51660)

Cedar Bayou Channel, Maintenance Dredging

11/10

Texas

County: Chambers Harris

The project involves the continuation of maintenance of the existing Federal navigation project in Chambers and Harris Counties by periodic removal of shoaled materials. The authorized project consists of a 5.7 mile long shallow-draft channel from the Houston Ship Channel to mile 3.0 above the mouth of Cedar Bayou. Dredged materials will be placed in the open waters of Galveston Bay and in leveed land disposal areas. Adverse impacts include increased turbidity, degradation of water quality, loss of marine habitat, and possible objectionable odors as disposal sites become emergent. (Galveston District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOI AHP DOT
state and local agencies
private company and one individual

(ELR ORDER # 51634)

Group Harbor and Chehalis River Navigation Project

11/20

Washington

County: Grays Harbor

The statement refers to the ongoing and proposed maintenance activities comprising the Grays Harbor and Chehalis River Navigation Project, including maintenance dredging for Harbor navigation channels and turning basins and the construction, rehabilitation, and maintenance of the North Jetty, the South Jetty, erosion protection works at Point Chehalis, and breakwaters at Westhaven Cove. Adverse impacts are the loss of 125 acres of tidelands annually due to deposition of dredged materials, the removal of organisms in the channel trough, and short-term negative effects associated with the rehabilitation of the North Jetty.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI FPA HUL USDA DOT
state and local agencies
and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51683)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Peter M. McDavitt
Special Assistant to the Assistant
Secretary of the Navy (Installations
and Logistics)
Washington D.C. 20350
(202) 692-3227

Final

Date

ORV Recreation, Naval Weapons Center, China Lake

11/03

California

The statement refers to the establishment of an off-road vehicle recreation area at the Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California. Three sites are under consideration for this purpose and would require, respectively, 920, 620, or 180 acres of land. Higher noise levels during the daylight hours will result, as well as slightly higher dust levels in the recreation area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI AHP
state and local agencies,
private organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51601)

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.

Contact: Mr. W. Ferbert Pennington
Office of Assistant Administrator
E-201, ERDA
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-4241

Draft

Date

Brookhaven National Laboratory

11/26

New York

County: Suffolk

Proposed is the continued operation of Brookhaven National Laboratory in Upton, Brookhaven Town, New York. Twenty acres of the 5265-acre site may not be suitable for future uses because of contamination. Research and development activities of the laboratory require the use of 200 million kWh of electricity and 7 million gallons of fossil fuels annually. Radioactive contamination risk is considered small.

(ELR ORDER # 51726)

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Robert Stern
Director, Office of Environmental Impact
Federal Energy Administration
New Post Office Building Room 7119
12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20461
(202) 961-8621

Draft

Date

Natural Gas Emergency Standby Act of 1975

11/24

The statement concerns the enactment of the Natural Gas Emergency Standby Act of 1975. The intent of the Act is to prevent or ameliorate the economic and social impacts of impending natural gas curtailments. The principal environmental impact would be adverse changes in air quality due to switching from natural gas to alternative fuels that would produce greater air pollutant emissions. The term of the legislation is from its date of enactment through June 30, 1977.

(ELR ORDER # 51707)

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Richard F. Hill
Acting Advisor on Environmental Quality
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 386-6084

Draft

Date

Gerald Gentleman Station, Project #1835

11/24

Nebraska

The statement concerns approval of a license amendment application of the Nebraska Public Power District to revise the project boundary and to permit the use of 720 acres of project lands and waters in conjunction with operation of the Gerald Gentleman Station. The coal fired station would produce a total of 1,300 MW when operated at maximum capacity. Construction would include 13,700 feet of canals, 7,750 feet of dikes, 11,500 feet of reservoir intake channels, a mixing basin, reservoir pumping facilities, and associated water control structures, some of which is already constructed. Adverse impacts include increased air pollution and raised water temperatures.
(ELR ORDER # 51703)

Final

Date

El Paso Natural Gas Co., Curtailment

11/10

The action consists of FPC's analysis of one permanent curtailment plan for the El Paso Natural Gas Pipeline system. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases and the increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Alternatives considered in the statement are unregulated curtailment and new sources of gas supplies. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.

COMMENTS MADE BY:

state and regional agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51629)

Cities Service Pipeline System Curtailment

11/17

The statement consists of FPC's analysis of one permanent curtailment plan for the Cities Service Pipeline System. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are: the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed gas, the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. The statement also includes two alternatives: unregulated curtailment and new sources of gas supplies. Reference is made to the fact that the rate structure and deregula-

tion are not included as alternatives for curtailment.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW

state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51672)

Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company

11/24

The statement analyzes three permanent natural gas curtailment plans for the Panhandle Eastern Pipeline System. Adverse effects include those occurring from increased use of coal and fuel oil and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates.

COMMENTS MADE BY:

state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51709)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director of Environmental Affairs
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4161

Draft

Date

Federal Bldg. - US Courthouse, E. St. Louis

11/04

Illinois

County: Madison St. Clair

The proposed action is the construction of a Federal Building - US Courthouse and Parking Facility in East St. Louis, Illinois. The building will accomodate approximately 125 employees when fully staffed. Construction disruption will result.

(ELR ORDER # 51610)

Final

Date

Federal Office Building, Carbondale, Illinois

11/18

Illinois

County: Jackson

The proposed action is the construction of a Federal Office Building with parking to accomodate approximately 85 vehicles. The building will contain approximately 20,000 square feet of office space for 108 employees. The adverse impacts include the possibility of a small, temporary overload on sewage facilities if the city's sewage system improvements are not completed as scheduled, and temporary construction disruption and incinvenience.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOI HUD DOT EPA
state and local agencies
and two individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51676)

Fort Holabird Disposal, Baltimore

11/17

Maryland

County: Baltimore

The action consists of the disposal of 226.85 acres of Fort Holabird, Baltimore City as follows: approximately 179.20 acres through negotiated sale with the City of Baltimore, approximately 37 acres by assignment to the Bureau of Outdoor recreation for conveyance to the City of Baltimore for park and recreation purposes, approximately 4 acres by assignment to EOR for conveyance to Baltimore County, and approximately 6.65 acres through Sealed Bid Sale. Adverse effects to the environment would result from increase in noise, emission pollutants due to increased traffic, in-

crease in sewage, water, and other utilities including solid waste disposal.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
and individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51669)

Federal Youth Center, Bastrop, Texas

11/24

Texas

County: Bastrop

The project consists of construction of 145,000 square feet of space for a Federal Youth Center to be operated by the Bureau of Prisons near the city of Bastrop, Texas. Adverse impacts include minor increases in traffic volume and noise at the site, slight degradation of air quality due to the increased traffic, and temporary construction disruption. (167 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DJUS HEW COE DOI EPA USDA HUD
state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51716)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental
Quality
Room 7258
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft

Date

Tallapoosa County Water Supply System

11/24

Alabama

County: Tallapoosa

The action consists of analysis of a proposed water system for the Towns of Daviston and New Site, Alabama, funded under the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974. The project will cause minor temporary erosion and siltation during construction.

(ELR ORDER # 51714)

Pier Point Development, Colorado

11/03

Colorado

County: Arapahoe

Proposed is the approval of FHA mortgage insurance for the construction of Pier Point, a Planned Development, in Aurora, Colorado. The complex would contain 834 units of multifamily and single family attached housing. Construction of Pier Point would result in significant disruption of the existing plant and animal communities on the site, an increase in the load on the Aurora and Denver area infrastructure, and an increase in automobile generated pollutants.

(FLR ORDER # 51603)

Seven Lakes, A Planned Community

11/13

Colorado

County: Arapahoe

The statement concern the development of Seven Lakes, a Planned Community Zone District in the City of Aurora, Colorado. The applicant, Alton Associates, will sell developed lots on 623.1 acres to builders for construction of single and multifamily homes. Adverse impacts of the project include extensive modifications of the Unnamed Creek channel and a possible raising of the water table, a severe impact on the existing prairie vegetation and wildlife, a severe impact on the adjacent Plains Conservation Center and the present deer migration pathways, an increase in the load on the metropolitan region infrastructure, and an increase in automobile generated pollutants.

(ELR ORDER # 51662)

Cannongate Development, Palm Beach

11/11

Florida

County: Palm Beach

The statement concerns the provision of mortgage insurance under Title X for the Cannongate Planned Unit Development. The 112.18 acre development is located 4 miles Southwest of downtown West Palm Beach, and will include 673 residential units of quadraplex and town house types, common green areas, and recreational facilities. Adverse impacts include the additional demand created on utilities, municipal and community services and facilities, increased surface water run-off, traffic congestion, and noise and air pollution. (ELR ORDER # 51650)

Lakes of Acadia Development

11/13

Florida

County: Dade

The statement concerns an FBA loan guarantee for the construction of Lakes of Acadia, a 160 acre development. The project will include 578 townhouse units and 362 duplexes, recreational facilities, a school site and commercial area. The project will eliminate the country atmosphere of the area and will increase the continuing urban sprawl to the west and northwest and the demand for potable water, sewage and solid waste disposal. (ELR ORDER # 51658)

Le Chalet, Palm Beach Co.

11/20

Florida

County: Palm Beach

The statement concerns the proposed construction of Le Chalet Planned Unit Development in Palm Beach County, Florida. The master plan of the eastern half contains 702.7 acres, plus an offsite commercial area of 19 acres. Construction will consist of 1990 single family detached lots, an 18 hole golf course, two commercial areas, park, governmental complex, school, and scattered lakes throughout, in nine phases of development. Construction disruption and increased traffic will result. (ELR ORDER # 51692)

Parkersburg Urban Renewal

11/20

West Virginia

County: Wood

The statement concerns an urban renewal project in the central city of Parkersburg, West Virginia. Since acquisition is 90% complete and demolition is 75% complete, only the remaining activities, especially the removal of two local landmarks, the Wood County Courthouse and Jail, are addressed. The plan provides for an efficient ve-

hicular circulation system, a compatible mix of govern-
mental uses and a hotel. Should the Wood County Courthouse
and Jail remain, extensive project replanning will be
required. Moreover, financial feasibility is likely to
jeopardize project completion.
(ELR ORDER # 51690)

Final

Date

Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass.

11/20

Massachusetts

The statement refers to the proposed 103.4 acre Murray In-
dustrial Park Urban Renewal Project in Chelsea. The project
would provide for commercial, industrial, and residential
uses of the area, part of which was destroyed in a fire in
October, 1973. Adverse impact will result from construction
disruption, and from the close proximity of Logan Airport.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA USDA DOI EPA HEW DOT

state agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51694)

"The Woods" Subdivision, Dallas Co.

11/04

Texas

County: Dallas

The statement concerns HUD administrative approval of the
construction of a predominantly residential subdivision,
"The Woods" by Fox and Jacobs, Inc. as an "FHA" processed
housing development. The project will convert 1,800 acres
of agricultural land in Southwest Dallas County to a
community containing 5,400 dwelling units. The development
will spur suburban growth. The proposed development
would create greater demand for fossil fuels and
would permanently remove agricultural land from
potential production.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AEP USDA COE DOI EPA DOT DOC
state agencies, individuals,
and private organizations

(ELR ORDER # 51608)

Section 104(h)

Draft

Date

Beretania-Smith St. Parking Facility, Honolulu

11/20

Hawaii

County: Honolulu

The Beretania-Smith Project is planned as a mixed use
residential-commercial-parking development. The project
will replace the existing municipal parking lot with a
five-level parking structure containing 1,100 parking
stalls. Two separate residential towers containing 348
dwelling units and a recreation area are proposed for the

sixth level of the structure. Commercial areas will be provided on the street level. The adverse environmental effects include an increase in traffic in the area. There will be some impact on existing school and recreational facilities.

(ELR ORDER # 51686)

E. Omaha Relocation and Land Development

11/20

Nebraska

Proposed is the relocation of East Omaha residents so that the site may be developed into a more compatible land use, i.e., commercial and industrial. Phase I of the project will involve the acquisition of 77.76 acres of principally residential property, relocation of all residents and demolition of the vacated structures. Phase II consists of converting the vacant City owned property into marketable commercial and industrial sites. The relocation of present residents is the primary adverse impact.

(ELR ORDER # 51689)

Coamo Solid Waste Disposal Site

11/24

Puerto Rico

The project consists of the acquisition of 12 acres of land for expanding the solid waste disposal facilities located in Barrio Rio Jueyes. The life expectancy of the 20 acre site is 5 years. The primary adverse effect of the sanitary landfill will be the leachates and gasses generated from solid waste decomposition.

(ELR ORDER # 51706)

Final

Date

Dangerous Buildings and Demolition Program, Kansas

11/24

Missouri

The statement concerns a program to demolish dangerous buildings in Kansas City. Adverse impacts include: traffic congestion, increase in ambient noise level, increase in soot generation, loss of cover vegetation, erosion, sedimentation and siltation, and compaction and settling.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW EPA

state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51713)

Arcadia Industrial Park, Newark NY

11/26

New York

County: Wayne

The statement concerns the allocation of Community Development Block Grant funds for the construction of a road access and sewer and water lines up to the property line

of the proposed Arcadia Industrial Park. The park is located north of the Barge Canal in the western sector of the Village of Newark. Construction of Edgett Street will require the placement of fill north of the Barge Canal. Increased noise levels, truck traffic, and commuter traffic will be inevitable.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EIA DOC DOI
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDEP # 51722)

Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello

11/18

Washington

County: Adams

The proposed action is the drainage of a seepage lake (Rodeo Lake) for the purpose of eliminating flooding of septic tanks and surface water conditions on residential suburban area adjacent to Othello City. The project will result in loss of the seepage lake, loss of fish population and reduction of wildlife population.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDEP # 51678)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Eruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 7260
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Final	Date
Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Lands Mineral Lease	11/24

Colorado

County: Montezuma

The statement concerns the approval of a lease of Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Lands to Mobil Oil Corporation for the purpose of exploration and possible mining of uranium and related minerals. The plan consists of a four-year exploration phase, a four-year development phase and approximately twelve years of mining and processing. The biological community and aesthetics of the area will be noticeably and adversely impacted. (73 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW EPA FEA
state and local agencies
and one tribe

(ELR ORDER # 51698)

Bureau of Land Management

Final	Date
Northern Gulf of Alaska Oil and Gas Leasing	11/19

Alaska

The statement concerns a proposed oil and gas lease sale of 1.8 million acres of OCS lands located in the northern Gulf of Alaska. Adverse environmental impacts include accidental and/or chronic oil spills, pipeline and onshore facility construction, offshore terminal/platform construction and the dumping of drill cuttings.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA DOC DOT USCG EPA HUD ERDA
state agencies, industry
and environmental groups

(ELR ORDER # 51682)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Final	Date
Minnesota Memorial Hardwood Forest	11/26

Minnesota

County: several

Proposed is the acquisition by the State of Minnesota of 200,00 acres, through the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Purchase will be over a thirty year period. There will be some development for recreation, parking lots, and access roads. Some families will have to be relocated due to the action.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA DOT PPC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51720)

Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

Draft

Date

National Wildlife Refuge System

11/18

The statement examines continued operation of the National Wildlife Refuge System approximately at the present level of activity. Annual funding requirements are estimated at \$22 million, to continue the preservation of selected physical resources, key fish and wildlife habitats, and overall environmental quality in representative wildlife ecosystems. Such activities as timber cutting, diking, vegetative manipulation, and facility construction will result in temporary adverse effects.

(ELR ORDER # 51680)

Final

Date

Proposed Lake Woodruff Wilderness Area

11/25

Florida

County: Volusia Lake

Proposed is the legislative designation of 958 acres of island habitat in the Lake Woodruff National Wildlife Refuge as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. Wilderness designation would remove some future management options.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51719)

Proposed Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge

11/04

Louisiana

County: Cameron

Proposed is the legislative designation of 2,854 acres of the Lacassine Wildlife Refuge as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. The major impact of the proposal would be the removal of some future management options.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOD DOI
one state agency
(ELR ORDER # 51612)

Proposed Noxubee Wilderness Area

11/20

Mississippi

County: Oktibbeha

Proposed is the legislative designation of 1,200 acres of the Noxubee National Wildlife Refuge as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. The option to develop and intensively manage for maximum wildlife production would be foregone.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC USDA DOI
state and local agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51688)

Proposed Wilderness Area, North Carolina

11/20

North Carolina

County: Dare Carteret Hyde

The statement refers to the proposed legislative designation of several new wilderness areas. Included would be 590 acres of Mattamuskeet National Wildlife Refuge, 9,000 acres of Swanquarter Refuge, 180 acres of Pea Island Refuge, and 180 acres of Cedar Island Refuge. As a result of the action, several management options would be precluded.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USA USDA DOT DOC DOD DOI
state agency
(FLR ORDER # 51687)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. A. Giambusso, Director of Division
of Reactor Licensing
P-722, NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7373

Draft

Date

Palo Verde Nuclear Sta., Units 1, 2, & 3 (Suppl.) 11/25

Arizona

County: Maricopa

Proposed is the issuance of construction permits to the Arizona Public Service Corporation for the construction of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. The station will produce up to 3817 MWt and 1270 MWe (net) of electric power capacity for each unit. The exhaust steam will be cooled by mechanical-draft cooling towers with makeup water obtained from piped sewage effluent from Phoenix. The construction will require the clearing of up to 2500 acres of land and adverse aesthetic and social impacts. The supplement covers modifications resulting from participation of Southern California Edison in the project.

(ELR ORDER # 51718)

Monague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2

11/06

Massachusetts

County: Franklin

The proposed action is the issuance of construction permits to the Northeast Nuclear Energy Company for the construction of the Montague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located on the Connecticut River in the Town of Montague. The plant will employ two boiling-water reactors to produce up to 3579 megawatts thermal each and 1150 MWe each. A design power level of 3759 MWt and 1220 MWe for each unit is anticipated. Construction will require the disturbance of 200 acres of the 1900 acre site. Cooling water will be drawn from and discharged to the Connecticut R. Approximately 118 miles of transmission lines will be constructed.

(ELR ORDER # 51626)

Final

Date

Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit 1

11/10

Kansas

County: Coffey

Proposed is the issuance of a construction permit to Kansas Gas and Electric Co. and Kansas City Power and Light Co. for the construction of the Wolf Creek Generating

Station. The station will produce up to approximately 3425 Mwt and 1150 MWe, and a design power level of 3579 Mwt is anticipated and included in the statement. Water for cooling will be taken from John Redmond Reservoir and discharged into Wolf Creek. Construction will disturb about 200 of the total 10,500 acre site, and will disturb approximately 50 households, of which 25 would be displaced. Approximately 180 miles of transmission lines will be constructed.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AMP USDA COE DOC HEW HUD DOI ERDA EPA
state and local agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51636)

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1 Operation 11/05

Ohio

County: Ottawa

The proposed action is the issuance of an operating license to the Toledo Edison Company and the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company for the startup and operation of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit 1. The station will have a capacity to produce 2772 Mwt and 906 MWe. The cooling tower blowdown and service water which the station discharges to Lake Erie, via a submerged jet, will be heated as much as 20 degrees above ambient. Approximately 3345 curies per year of gaseous radioactive wastes may be discharged into the atmosphere.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC ERDA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state agencies, local groups
and individuals

(ELP ORDER # 51616)

OHIO RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

Draft

Date

Monongahela River Basin Study

11/20

Maryland Pennsylvania West Virginia

The statement concerns the recommendation of a plan for implementation of one major reservoir, one upstream watershed project, flood zoning and insurance at 13 locations, four local protection projects, 104 municipal wastewater treatment facilities, 130 mile drainage abatement areas, and the replacement of one navigation lock and one lock and dam. Adverse impacts of the plan include the inundation of 4,376 acres of open and green space, disruption of 55 miles of existing natural stream channel by four projects, and the possible loss of 2,650 acres of coal resources and associated jobs.

(ELR ORDER # 51695)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft	Date
Reedley Municipal Airport, California	11/03

California

County: Fresno Tulare

The proposed action includes the establishment of a new municipal airport on a site now partially occupied by the Great Western Airport. It is proposed to develop a Basic Utility Airport - Stage I, primarily intended to serve as a low-activity, rural facility for small piston aircraft. Adverse impacts include the decrease of existing vegetation on the project site. The recommended site also has the disadvantage of being adjacent to the Great Western Elementary School.

(FLR ORDER # 51604)

New General Aviation Airport, Baton Rouge	11/24
---	-------

Louisiana

Proposed is the request for federal funds under the Airport Development Aid Program for the development of a new general aviation airport to replace the recently closed Downtown Airport, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The proposed development would include: acquisition of 530 acres of land; construction of a new runway, taxiway, and parking apron; and construction of access roads, vehicle parking area, hangars and office space. Adverse impacts include the displacement of people and residences.

(ELR ORDER # 51699)

North Bend Municipal Airport Development	11/18
--	-------

Oregon

The proposed action involves the development of the North Bend Municipal Airport, North Bend, Oregon. Development items include: a runway extension of 1,987 feet on the Runway 4 end, a 200-foot runway safety area and an approach lighting system beyond the extended Runway 4 end, a fill of approximately 45.7 acres of the mudflat southwest of the existing Runway 4 end for the runway extension and runway safety area, and a runway extension of 700 feet on the Runway 31 end. Adverse effects are loss of benthic flora and fauna, reduced area for recreation, and reduced productivity of the estuary as a whole.

(FLR ORDER # 51675)

Lopez Island Airport Improvement

11/24

Washington

The proposed action is to grant funds to improve the physical and operational characteristics of the existing Lopez Island Airport, Lopez Island, Washington. Initial stage of development will include acquisition of options and easements on 47 acres of land. Major development items include a new replacement runway parallel to and 150' to the west of the existing runway. Adverse impacts include the clearing and topping of 41 acres of wooded area, and subsequent diminishment of habitat and cover.

(ELR ORDER # 51697)

Final

Date

Mount Comfort Airport

11/11

Indiana

County: Hancock

The proposed project involves the acquisition of 1100 acres of land, the construction and marking of a 3200' x 60' temporary runway and apron, the construction of earthworks and drainages for future runways, and related work. Construction of the facility will necessitate the relocation of six families. Increases in noise and air pollution will occur.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EIA DOI DOT COE AHP USDA

state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51649)

Mason County Airport, Ludington

11/04

Michigan

County: Mason

The statement refers to the proposed development of the Mason County Airport in Ludington. The project consists of land acquisition for runway extension and clear zone, surfacing of a runway and taxiway, installation of a two-box visual approach slope indicator (VASI) on each end of Runway 7-25, and construction and installation of lighting on a northeasterly extension of Runway 7-25. Adverse impacts are road closures, loss of wildlife habitat and increases in air and noise pollution.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USPS USDA HUD EPA DOI DOT COE AHP

state and local agencies

and private individuals

(ELR ORDER # 51611)

Concorde Supersonic Transport

11/14

New York Virginia

The proposed action is an amendment of British Airways and Air France operations specifications to permit these

carriers to conduct limited commercial service with the Concorde, a civil supersonic transport aircraft, to John F. Kennedy International Airport in New York and Dulles International Airport in Virginia. The proposal would permit both British Airways and Air France to conduct two flights daily to JFK and one flight daily to Dulles commencing in early 1976. Increases in noise and emission levels would result from introduction of Concorde service.

COMMENTS MADE BY: CEQ EPA DOT DOC USDA DOD DLAP OMB HEW
HUD DOI concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 51664)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft

Date

Minnesota Drive Extension, Anchorage

11/17

Alaska

Proposed is the construction of a 4-lane controlled-access roadway within the Greater Anchorage Area Borough, beginning at the junction of Minnesota Drive and International Airport Road and continuing a total distance of 4.9 miles. A moderate level of air and noise pollution will result, and there will be loss of natural vegetation and wildlife habitat. A maximum of 31 families and three businesses will be displaced. Because the highway would cross the Campbell Creek Greenbelt, a 4f statement is included.

(ELR ORDER # 51671)

Kalaniana'ole Highway (FAP 72) Improvement

11/17

Hawaii

County: Honolulu

The proposed action is approval of one of four recommended alternate improvements to upgrade the transportation service capabilities of existing FAP 72, in the City and County of Honolulu, Ashu, Hawaii. These improvements include: two alternative configurations of highway lanes incorporating an at grade, exclusive and reversible busway lane; with or without a waterborne marine bus system. Eighteen to 37 residences and 70 to 136 persons will be displaced. Project implementation would cause permanent increase in noise levels.

(ELR ORDER # 51673)

Elk City Hwy., Idaho Forest Hwy. 18 (SR 14)

11/10

Idaho

County: Idaho

The proposed action is to reconstruct 19.3 miles of the 49.5 mile Flk City Highway within the Nez Perce National Forest, thus providing a 2-lane paved road adequate for heavy traffic. Approximately 82 acres of land would be permanently committed to pavement and shoulders, and another 200 acres cleared for side slopes and ditches would be exposed until revegetation takes place. Two residences and one business will be displaced.
(ELR ORDER # 51630)

Indiana Project 1-164

11/04

Indiana

County: Vanderburgh

Proposed is the construction of Interstate Highway 164 Spur near Evansville from Interstate Highway 64 on the north to a point approximately 14.3 miles south in the vicinity of SR 62 on the west on Newburgh Road on the east, Vanderburgh County, Indiana. Some destruction of wildlife and alteration of wildlife patterns will result, and the taking of cropland and pastureland for right-of-way is unavoidable. There will be some displacement of businesses and families. Eight alternatives to the proposed plan are being considered.

(ELR ORDER # 51614)

US 2, Manistique River Bridge Relocation

11/13

Michigan

County: Schoolcraft

This project proposes to construct a new US 2 Manistique River bridge, on new location, within the City of Manistique, Michigan. Relocated US 2 bridge approaches would be .3 to 3.1 miles in length depending on the alternative chosen, of free access design, and would have from two to five lanes. Adverse impacts include the possible displacement of families and businesses, loss of wildlife habitat, and the removal of a major portion of any vegetation within the project right-of-way.

(ELR ORDER # 51663)

US-131, 19 Mile Road to US-131 North

11/24

Michigan

County: several

Proposed is the construction of a segment of US-131, which would begin at 19 Mile Road, north of the City of Big Rapids in Mecosta County and would terminate at LeRoy Road, north of the Village of Ashton in Osceola County, Michigan. The length of the segment is approximately 20 miles. Adverse impacts include: disruption of existing aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, displacement of 30 residences and 2 businesses, and the acquisition of between 500 and 600 acres of agricultural land.

(ELR ORDER # 51704)

Draft

Date

South Midtown Freeway (Route 71)

11/11

Missouri

County: Jackson

The proposed 10.2 mile highway improvement consists of an 8-lane freeway, constructed on a new location with limited access right-of-way from the Interchange of Route I-35-I-70 in the downtown loop to 95th Street, Jackson County, in Kansas City, Missouri. The occupants of 1650 separate living units and 17 churches will be displaced. Thirteen school districts and nine fire districts will be affected by the improvement. A 4(f) statement is included.

(FLR ORDER # 51651)

US 52, Welcome to Lexington, North Carolina

11/19

North Carolina

County: Davidson

Proposed is the reconstruction on new alignment of US 52 from just north of Welcome southward to Lexington, North Carolina. The project is the remaining segment of an over-all plan to upgrade US 52 to a 4-lane, controlled access highway between Interstate 40 in Winston Salem and Interstate 85 in Lexington. The major adverse environmental impacts would be the relocation of 25 to 50 homes and 1 to 5 businesses. The project would also result in the conversion of existing farm and woodlands to highway right-of-way and some degree of noise pollution.

(ELR ORDER # 51681)

PR-10, Arecibo-Ponce

11/24

Puerto Rico

Proposed is the improvement of PR-10 in Arecibo-Ponce, Puerto Rico. The improved 2-4 land route would cover a distance of 51-60 kilometers, depending upon the alternative chosen, and would extend from DeDiego Expressway in Arecibo to East-West Expressway in Ponce. Adverse effects include: displacement of residences, farms, and commercial structures; commitment of natural and economic resources; and long-term increase in noise levels.

(FLR ORDER # 51701)

State Route 61, Oliver Springs Bypass

11/24

Tennessee

County: Anderson Roane

This statement is the revision of a draft EIS sent to CEQ on June 15, 1971. The proposed project is a relocation of SR 61 around the northern portion of Oliver Springs, Tennessee. This project traverses a distance of approximately 2.2 miles starting on the southwest side of Oliver

Springs and extending to existing SR 61, southeast of Oliver Springs. Adverse impacts include the displacement of residences and businesses, increase in noise and air pollution, and unavoidable soil erosion and temporary siltation of water courses during construction.
(ELR ORDER # 51715)

Metropolitan Highway 50, Fort Worth

11/03

Texas

County: Tarrant

The proposed action is the Metropolitan Highway 50 Special Urban High Density Traffic Project, a limited access Freeway Spur in the City of Fort Worth with three lanes in each direction and a length of approximately 1.3 miles. Negative effects include the displacement of 73 families and 18 businesses.
(ELR ORDER # 51605)

Brownsville-Matamoros Railroad Relocation

11/10

Texas

Proposed is a railroad relocation demonstration project in Brownsville, Texas and Matamoros, Mexico. The project seeks to eliminate existing railroad/community conflicts and to improve the transportation network of the area through rail system improvements. The number of people and businesses displaced would depend upon the alternative chosen. The project would also result in increased noise and pollution in the area of construction and considerable financial expenditure.
(ELR ORDER # 51628)

Texas State Highway 35, Proposed Relocation

11/26

Texas

County: Harris Brazoria

Proposed is the relocation of Texas State Highway 35 from Alameda-Genoa Road near proposed South Beltway 8 in Harris County to existing State Highway 35 (Alvin Loop) at Spur 409 in Brazoria County and is a continuation of the development of the proposed facility from Interstate Highway 45 at Calhoun Road to the City of Alvin. Two alternate routes are being considered for the project, both routes necessitating displacement of families and businesses. The selection of either route will have a major impact on the land use and development patterns of the area involved.
(ELR ORDER # 51725)

US 60 Bridge Over Big Sandy River

11/26

West Virginia Kentucky

The proposed highway improvement is the replacement of the US 60 Bridge crossing the Big Sandy River between

Catlettsburg, Kentucky and Kenova, West Virginia, and constructing necessary approaches. The only adverse, permanent effect is the relocation of residences and businesses in Catlettsburg and Kenova. Alternativ locations 2 and 3 would require right-of-way acquisition from Dream-land Park. A 4(f) statement is included.
(ELR ORDER # 51724)

Final

Date

SR 10A, Pensacola (Old Spanish Trail)

11/03

Florida

County: Escambia

Proposed is the new construction of a 5-lane curb and gutter municipal section along an alignment in Pensacola for approximately 4.3 miles. The general alignment of this proposal flows through a system of unpaved roads known as the Old Spanish Trail, and will require about 55 acres of land. Adverse impacts include water pollution within nearby Escambia Bay, removal of land from a natural state and promotion of development, and the expansion of urbanism in the Pensacola area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HPW DOI EPA DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51602)

Widening of DeRenne Avenue, Savannah, Georgia

11/17

Georgia

County: Chatham

This project consists of widening from two to four or five lanes of approximately two miles of DeRenne Avenue between Abercorn Street and Skidaway Road in Savannah. Adverse impacts include increased noise levels, increased carbon monoxide levels, loss of the buffer zone of trees along DeRenne Avenue, and erosion and siltation during construction.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI HEW
local commissions
(ELR ORDER # 51668)

I-475, Genesee County

11/10

Michigan

County: Genesee

The statement refers to the proposed construction of I-475 in the city of Flint and Genesee County, and for reconstruction of Pierson Road between Dart Highway and west of Selby Road. Adverse impacts include disruption of established urban land use and transportation patterns, and displacement of 25 families and 2 businesses. A 4(f) determination is included for Dayton Park, Kearsley Park,

St. John Street Park, West Boulevard Drive Park, and the Flint River Dam Area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI COE USDA EPA DOC DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51631)

US 61

11/12

Minnesota

County: Winona

The proposed project is the upgrade of 1.81 miles (1.0 mile on a new location) of U.S. 61 from a two-lane highway to a four lane expressway. Adverse impacts include the following: acquisition of buildings and displacement of twenty-two families; a 1200 foot channel change; exposure of a 1600 foot long rock face with potential for erosion and undesirable aesthetics; loss of about four acres of wooded watershed area and wildlife habitat.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI COE USDA EPA DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51655)

US 77, Dakota County (Supplement)

11/10

Nebraska

County: Dakota

The statement is a supplement to a final eis filed with CEQ 1 February 1973. The plan includes design revisions that will eliminate the separations and interchanges between the US 77 crossing and the full cloverleaf I-129 interchange. A reduction in right-of-way, embankment material required for fills, borrow required, and project cost will occur as a result of the revisions. The project will displace 3 houses and one farmstead.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT USAF COF USDA HUD EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51644)

Railroad Relocation Demonstration Project

11/17

Nevada

County: Elko

Proposed is the relocation and consolidation of 5.4 miles of main line Southern Pacific and Western Pacific track from the downtown area of Elko, Nevada. This demonstration railway-road grade crossing elimination project will require approximately 46 acres of land, displacing 115 living units and 13 commercial units.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC USA USDA DOT HEW AHP
state and local agencies
and private groups
(ELR ORDER # 51674)

US 77, Shields Boulevard, Oklahoma City

11/24

Oklahoma

County: Oklahoma

Proposed is the development of the Shields Boulevard extension, a primary arterial, beginning at S.E. 25th Street and extending 1.6 miles northerly to S.W. 3rd Street in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. Adverse impacts include the displacement of 13 families and 15 businesses.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HUD COE HEW DOT

state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51708)

I-640

11/24

Tennessee

County: Knox

The project involves the construction of a six-lane divided highway built on a new location around the western portion of Knoxville between I-40 and I-75. The length of the project is approximately 2.8 miles. The primary adverse environmental effects are the displacement of 22 families and 4 businesses, and the introduction of a source of noise and air pollution into areas relatively free from these sources of pollution. (43 pages)

COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI ARC USDA DOT TVA HEW EPA AHP

state and local agencies

and officials

(ELR ORDER # 51710)

Loop 335 from I-40 to US 87 and US 287, Potter Co.

11/10

Texas

County: Potter

The proposed project is the construction in Potter County of that portion of Loop Highway 335, a multi-lane road, around Amarillo from I-40 east of Amarillo, north and west to US 87 and 287. Adverse environmental impacts include the utilization of land and natural and human resources to to construct the roadway, the displacement of 6 businesses and 40 families, and an increase in urbanization, noise and air pollution levels along the route.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT HEW DOC USDA DOI EPA

state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51642)

S.T.H. 27 and 77, Sawyer County

11/12

Wisconsin

County: Sawyer

The statement discusses the improvement of STH 27-77 in northwestern Sawyer County. The proposed project is a relocation of the highway around the city of Hayward business district which will require about 2.2 miles of new highway construction. Adverse impacts are the acquisi-

tion of land for right-of-way, displacement of 1 family, and increased air and noise pollution.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA
state agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51654)

STH 93, La Crosse Co., Wisconsin

11/17

Wisconsin

County: La Crosse

Proposed is the construction of 10.5 miles of four-lane divided freeway on a new location in La Crosse County, Wisconsin. The facility begins at Interstate Hwy. 90 at the present State Trunk Hwy. 157 interchange and proceeds northerly, bypassing the City of Onalaska and the Village of Holmen. Project implementation would require the acquisition of 475 acres and the displacement of two families.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD HEW USDA EPA, state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51665)

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

Draft

Date

Bicentennial Transportation Program

11/10

District of Co

The statement concerns federal assistance for a two part Bicentennial Transportation Program. The program consists of a fringe parking lot/bus shuttle from RFK Stadium, North Parking Lot of the Pentagon, and Ft. Myer South Post to the Mall; and special radial bus service along 17 existing bus corridors from outlying areas to downtown. The program will be in effect from March to October 1976. Short term pollution in Fringe parking areas will result, and construction of the 4,000 car parking lot at Ft. Myer South Post will cause temporary negative effects.

(ELR ORDER # 51477)

U.S.Coast Guard

Final

Date

Coast Guard Station, Provincetown, Mass.

11/26

Massachusetts

The proposed action provides for construction of a new Coast Guard Station in Provincetown, Massachusetts to meet search and rescue and other operational commitments in the Outer Cape Cod area. Construction disruption will result.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC USDA EPA DOI
state and local agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51721)

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Contact: (For Medical Facilities)
Mr. Arthur W. Farmer
Assistant Chief, Medical Director for
Administration and Facilities
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20420
(For Housing)
Mr. R.C. Coon
Director, Loan Guarantee Service
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2332

Final

Date

Clinical Support Facility, Boise, Idaho

11/11

Idaho

County: Ada

Proposed is the construction of a new clinical support facility, and the installation of seismic corrections at various buildings at the VA Hospital in Boise. There will be some construction disruptions.

COMMENTS MADE BY: AEP USDA USA FEW HUD DOI EPA GSA
state and local agencies

(FLR ORDER # 51647)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through

11/31/75 (By Agency)

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received</u>	<u>Final 102's on legislation and actions</u>	<u>Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received</u>
Agriculture, Department of	188	589	777
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	1
Civil Aeronautics Board	0	1	1
Commerce, Department of	19	41	60
Defense, Department of	8	7	15
Air Force	11	18	29
Army	3	20	23
Army Corps of Engineers	617	835	1452
Navy	12	33	45
Delaware River Basin Commission	2	8	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	1
Energy Research & Development Admin.	4	2	6
Environmental Protection Agency	22	68	90
Federal Energy Administration	4	1	5
Federal Maritime Commission	2	0	2
Federal Power Commission	98	42	140
General Services Administration	21	90	111
HEW, Department of	5	13	18
HUD, Department of	53	126	179
Interior, Department of	166	311	477
International Boundary and Water Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	1	8	9
Interstate Commerce Commission	9	5	14
Justice, Department of	2	3	5
Labor, Department of	5	2	7
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	9	22	31
National Capital Planning Comm.	5	8	13
National Science Foundation	1	6	7
New England River Basins Commission	2	0	2
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	7	18	25
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	1
Ohio River Basin Commission	1	0	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	1
State Department	3	5	8
Tennessee Valley Authority	9	24	33
Transportation, Department of	995	2035	3030
Treasury, Department of	4	7	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	3	0	3
U.S. Water Resources Council	6	9	15
Veterans Administration	1	8	9
TOTALS	2346	4514	6860



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER
ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

OFFICE OF THE
ADMINISTRATOR

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendices during the period of November 1, 1975 and November 30, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2902, Waterside Mall SE, Washington, DC 20460, telephone AC 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Rebecca W. Hammer

Rebecca W. Hammer
Acting Director
Office of Federal Activities

ated: DEC 5 1975

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN

NOVEMBER 1, 1975 AND NOVEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS		SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
D-AFS-J65027-MT:	WEST KOOTENAI PLANNING UNIT, KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST, LINCOLN COUNTY, MONTANA	ER-2		I
D-AFS-J65029-00:	WEST SLOPE OF THE TETONS, LAND USE PLAN, TARGHEE NATIONAL FOREST, TETON AND FREEMONT, COUNTIES, IDAHO AND WYOMING	ER-2		I
D-AFS-J65030-MT:	O'BRIEN-SEVENTEENMILE-CROSS MOUNTAIN MULTIPLE USE PLAN, KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST, LINCOLN COUNTY, MONTANA	LO-2		I
D-AFS-K40029-AZ:	SWIFT TRAIL FOREST HIGHWAY 34, AZ-34, CORONADO NATIONAL FOREST, GRAHAM COUNTY, ARIZONA	ER-2		J
D-AFS-L61041-OR:	LAND USE PLAN AND TIMBER MANAGEMENT OF THE WILLAMETTE NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON	3		K
D-AFS-L61045-ID:	WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS, ST. JOE RIVER, ST. JOE NATIONAL FOREST, ST. MARIES, IDAHO	LO-1		K
D-AFS-L61049-WA:	EAST DEER CREEK PLANNING UNIT AND LAND USE PLAN, COLVILLE NATIONAL FOREST, WASHINGTON	ER-1		K
D-AFS-L65014-00:	VEGETATION MANAGEMENT WITH HERBICIDES, NORTHWEST PACIFIC REGION, OREGON, WASHINGTON, AND CALIFORNIA	LO-1		K
D-DOA-E82004-00:	TRAIL BOLL WEEVIL ERADICATION PROGRAM, VIRGINIA, NORTH CAROLINA AND SOUTH CAROLINA	ER-2		E
D-SCS-C36018-NY:	FLINT CREEK WATERSHED, ONTARIO, STEUBEN, AND YATES COUNTIES, NEW YORK	ER-2		C
D-SCS-E36030-MS:	DEER CREEK WATERSHED, BOLIVAR AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI	LO-2		E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-SCS-E36031-SC:	SOUTH TYGER RIVER WATERSHED, GREENVILLE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-SCS-F36030-IN:	LOST RIVER WATERSHED, DUBOIS, LAWRENCE, MARTIN, ORANGE AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES, INDIANA	ER-2	F
D-SCS-K34002-AZ:	BUCKHORN-MESA WATERSHED, MARICOPA AND PINAL COUNTIES, ARIZONA	ER-2	J
<u>CORPS OF ENGINEERS</u>			
D-COE-E35013-SC:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING CHARLESTON HARBOR, ASHLEY RIVER, DREDGING OF COOPER RIVER, CHARLESTON AND BERKELEY COUNTIES, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E35014-SC:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF ATLANTIC INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, LITTLE RIVER TO PORT ROYAL SOUND, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E35015-00:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, PEARL RIVER TO APALACHEE BAY, LOUISIANA, MISSISSIPPI, AND FLORIDA	ER-2	E
D-COE-E61015-00:	BIG SOUTH FORK NATIONAL RIVER AND RECREATION AREA, TENNESSEE AND KENTUCKY	LO-2	E
D-COE-F32030-OH:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, VERMILLION HARBOR, ERIE COUNTY, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-COE-F36027-MN:	FLOOD CONTROL ROSEAU RIVER, ROSEAU AND KITTSOON COUNTIES, MINNESOTA	ER-2	F
D-COE-F36028-MN:	DULUTH STORMWATER FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, DULUTH, MINNESOTA	LO-2	F
D-COE-F36029-MN:	BASSETT CREEK WATERSHED, HENNEPIN COUNTY, MINNESOTA	ER-2	F
D-COE-G32022-LA:	MISSISSIPPI RIVER, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, BATON ROUGE TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
D-COE-G34016-TX:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, WHITNEY LAKE, WACO LAKE, PROCTOR LAKE, STILLHOUSE HOLLOW DAM AND LAKE, AND SOMERVILLE LAKE, BRAZOS RIVER BASIN, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-COE-G36032-AR:	ARKANSAS RIVER IN THE VICINITY OF NORTH LITTLE ROCK, PULASKI COUNTY, ARKANSAS	LO-1	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-G39002-00:	RED RIVER CHLORIDE CONTROL WATER QUALITY STUDY, RED RIVER, TEXAS AND OKLAHOMA	LO-2	G
D-COE-H36020-KS:	GREAT BEND LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, GREAT BEND, KANSAS	ER-2	H
D-COE-K32005-CA:	FISHERMAN'S WHARF AREA, SAN FRANCISCO HARBOR, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
D-COE-K34002-CA:	SAN LEANDRO MARINA, ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	LO-1	J
D-COE-K36012-AZ:	NEW RIVER AND PHOENIX CITY STREAMS, MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA	LO-2	J
D-COE-K36014-CA:	FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, SANTA ANA RIVER, MAIN STEM AND SANTIAGO CREEK, ORANGE, RIVERSIDE, AND SAN BERNARDINO COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA	3	K
D-COE-L05003-AK:	HYDROELECTRIC POWER DEVELOPMENT, UPPER SUSITNA RIVER BASIN, SOUTHCENTRAL RAILBELT AREA, ALASKA	ER-2	K
DS-COE-L05004-00:	SECOND POWERHOUSE, BONNEVILLE LOCK AND DAM, COLUMBIA RIVER, OREGON AND WASHINGTON	ER-2	K
<u>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE</u>			
D-USA-D81004-VA:	FORT BELVOIR FAMILY HOUSING PROJECT, FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-USA-EL1003-MS:	MISSISSIPPI ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, HANCOCK COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI	ER-2	E
D-USA-J20003-UT:	DISPOSAL OF TOXIC RESIDUE, WEST GRANITE DISPOSAL AREA, DUGWAY PROVING GROUND, UTAH	LO-2	I
D-USN-K11007-CA:	PROPOSED RESTRICTIVE USE EASEMENT ACQUISITION/AICUZ, NAVAL AIR STATION MIRAMAR, SAN DIEGO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	3	J
<u>FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION</u>			
D-FPC-A03054-00:	EAST TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP75-28	3	A
D-FPC-A03055-00:	ALCONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP71-131	3	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FPC-A03057-00:	TRUNKLINE GAS COMPANY, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP71-100	3	A
D-FPC-A03059-00:	TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION CORPORATION, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP72-64	3	A
D-FPC-A03061-00:	TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPELINE CORPORATION, DOCKET NO. RP72-99, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
D-FPC-C03003-00:	CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP73-115	3	A
D-FPC-D03001-00:	COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORPORATION, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
D-FPC-E09002-00:	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP74-6, ANALYSIS OF TWO PERMANENT CURTAILMENT PLANS	3	A
D-FPC-E09003-00:	UNTIED GAS PIPELINE COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP71-29-120, CURTAILMENT PLANS	3	A
D-FPC-E09004-00:	TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORPORATION DOCKET NO. RP71-130, GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
D-FPC-F03003-00:	PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPELINE COMPANY, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
D-FPC-G03002-00:	ARKANSAS LOUISIANA GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP71-122, CURTAILMENT	3	A
D-FPC-H03000-00:	CITIES SERVICE NATURAL GAS COMPANY, CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP75-62	3	A
D-FPC-H03001-00:	MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORPORATION, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN, DOCKET NO. RP73-6	3	A
D-FPC-K03002-00:	EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP72-6, NATURAL GAS CURTAILMENT PLAN	3	A
<u>GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION</u>			
D-GSA-J81003-UT:	CONSTRUCTION OF BUREAU OF MINES METALLURGY RESEARCH, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH	LO-2	I
<u>DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</u>			
D-HUD-B89005-MA:	SECOND WATERFRONT URBAN RENEWAL PROJECT, ESSEX COUNTY, GLOUCESTER, MASSACHUSETTS	ER-2	B

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-HUD-E24001-GA:	SANITARY SEWER TRUNK LINE, DOUGLAS, COFFEE COUNTY, GEORGIA	ER-2	E
D-HUD-E28003-NC:	GREAT ALAMANCE CREEK WATER SUPPLY PROJECT (CDBG), BURLINGTON, NORTH CAROLINA	ER-2	E
D-HUD-E28004-AL:	PULASKI PIKE WATER SYSTEM, HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-HUD-E28005-TN:	PHASE II, WATERLINE EXTENSION, (CDBG), MARSHALL COUNTY, TENNESSEE	LO-1	E
DS-HUD-G85005-TX:	WILLIAMSON CREEK SEWER TRUNK, TEMPLE, TEXAS	LO-1	G
<u>INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION</u>			
D-IOC-J53000-00:	CHICAGO AND NORTHWESTERN RAILROAD ABANDONMENT, WREN, IOWA TO IROQUOIS, SOUTH DAKOTA	LO-2	I
<u>DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR</u>			
D-BLM-J07001-UT:	KAIPAROWIT'S POWER PROJECT, KAIPAROWIT'S PLATEAU, UTAH	ER-2	I
D-BOR-D61002-WV:	NEW RIVER GORGE, NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVER, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-1	D -133-
D-BOR-H39000-MO:	GASCONADE WILD AND SCENIC RIVER STUDY, MISSOURI	LO-2	H
D-BOR-L61050-ID:	PROPOSED BRUNEAU WILD AND SCENIC RIVER, IDAHO	LO-1	K
RD-DOI-A01031-00:	43 CFR PARTS 23 AND 3041, PROPOSED SURFACE MANAGEMENT OF FEDERALLY OWNED COAL RESOURCES, AND 30 CFR PART 211, COAL MINING OPERATIONS	EU-2	A
<u>DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION</u>			
D-FAA-L81004-OR:	ESTABLISHMENT OF AN AIR ROUTE SURVEILLANCE RADAR FACILITY, EUGENE, OREGON	ER-2	K
DS-FHW-A54023-MD:	RELOCATED US 140, NORTHWEST EXPRESSWAY, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D
D-FHW-D40023-PA:	LR 07076, SEVENTEENTH STREET, 17TH STREET, ALTOONA, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
DS-FHW-D40027-MD:	MD-193, AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS, PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL SOURCE FOR NATURE OF COPIES OF COMMENTS COMMENTS	
D-FHW-E40060-00:	COLUMBUS-PHENIX CITY NORTH BYPASS FROM GA-87/US 27 TO US 280, MUSCOGEE COUNTY, GEORGIA AND LEE AND RUSSELL COUNTIES, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40061-KY:	POPLAR LEVEL ROAD, KY-864, LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY	LO-1	E
D-FHW-E40063-GA:	SOUTH CANTON BYPASS, CHEROKEE COUNTY, GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-F40038-IL:	I-255, ST. LOUIS, COLUMBIA TO COLLINSVILLE, MONROE, ST. CLAIR, MADISON COUNTIES, ILLINOIS	ER-2	F
D-FHW-F40039-WI:	US 61 AND US 151, WI-35, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, DICKENVILLE ROAD, GRANT COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-2	F
D-FHW-G40036-TX:	BELTWAY 8, I-45 SOUTH GULF FREEWAY TO US 59 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	EU-2	G
D-FHW-G40038-TX:	BELTWAY 8, SECTION 5, US 59 NORTH TO I-10 EAST, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	ER-2	G
D-FHW-G40039-NM:	US 1133(6), UNIVERSITY AVENUE, LAS CRUCES, DONA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	LO-2	G
D-FHW-G40040-TX:	LOOP 151, US 82 TO US 59, BOWIE COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-FHW-G40041-TX:	LOOP 201, MCKINNEY ROAD TO PERRY ROAD, BAYTON, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	ER-2	G
D-FHW-H40033-00:	MO-36, ST. JOSEPH AND MISSOURI RIVER, BUCHANAN COUNTY, MISSOURI AND DONIPHAN COUNTY, KANSAS	3	H
D-FHW-H40034-NB:	NB-133, 90TH STREET, OMAHA NORTH FREEWAY, DOUBLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-H40035-KS:	KS-177 AND KS-18 AT MANHATTAN, RIPLEY COUNTY, KANSAS	LO-2	H
D-FHW-H40036-NB:	US 136, BROWNVILLE BRIDGE WEST, NEMAHA COUNTY, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-K40025-HI:	HILO BAYFRONT HIGHWAY, WAILOA RIVER TO SILVA AND KALANIANA'OLE STREET, HILO, HAWAII	ER-2	J
D-FHW-K40030-NV:	WEST LEG, US 95, LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	ER-2	J
D-FHW-L40026-ID:	ID-50, IDAHO FOREST HIGHWAY ROUTE 50, ST. JOE RIVER ROAD, BENEWAH AND SHOSHONE COUNTIES, IDAHO	LO-1	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
-----------------------	-------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------

D-FHW-L40027-ID:	I-15W RAFT RIVER-ROCKLAND JUNCTION, CASSIA AND POWER COUNTIES, IDAHO	LO-1	K
------------------	--	------	---

D-FRA-A53038-00:	PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE REGIONAL RAIL REORGANIZATION ACT OF 1973	LO-1	A
------------------	--	------	---

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

D-ERD-A00113-00:	LIGHT WATER BREEDER REACTOR (LWBR) PROGRAM	LO-2	
------------------	--	------	--

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU--Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN

NOVEMBER 1, 1975 AND NOVEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
<u>DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</u>			
F-SCS-F36018-MI:	ROUGE RIVER WATERSHED, NEWAYGO, KENT, MONTICALLY, MUSKOGEE AND OTTAWA COUNTIES, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-SCS-K36007-CA:	LOWER PINE CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
<u>CORPS OF ENGINEERS</u>			
F-COE-A30048-GU:	AGANA SMALL BOAT HARBOR, AGANA, GUAM	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-COE-A32430-FL:	SAINT JOSEPH BAY, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, GULF COUNTY, FLORIDA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SHOULD BE PROVIDED ON THE ELIMINATION AND RELOCATION OF THE SPECIFIC BEACH DISPOSAL SITES.	E
F-COE-A34083-GA:	BUFORD DAM AND LAKE SIDNEY LANIER, CHATTAHOOCHIE RIVER, GEORGIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
F-COE-A34103-MS:	WATER PROJECTS, OKATIBEE DAM AND LAKE, OKATIBEE CREEK, MISSISSIPPI	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW FUTURE INFORMATION RELATING TO AIR QUALITY.	E
F-COE-A35094-WI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, PORT WASHINGTON, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA BELIEVES EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHOULD BE IMPLEMENTED ALONG SAUK CREEK TO DECREASE SEDIMENT LOADS ENTERING THE HARBOR.	F

IDENTIFYING
NUMBER

TITLE

GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS

F-COE-A35120-CA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING SANTA CRUZ HARBOR, SANTA CRUZ COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-COE-A35133-CA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF MOSS LANDING HARBOR, MONTEREY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-COE-A35137-00:	BLUE RIVER BASIN PROJECTS, MISSOURI AND KANSAS	EPA DETERMINED THE FINAL EIS TO BE UNRESPONSIVE TO TWO MAJOR CONCERNS RAISED BY EPA COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. THEREFORE EPA REQUESTED THE CORPS TO RESPOND PUBLICLY ON OUR REQUEST FOR RESERVOIR POOL PROBABILITIES AND THE PROMOTION OF FUTURE FLOOD PLAIN DEVELOPMENT.	H
F-COE-E35003-GA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, BRUNSWICK HARBOR, GEORGIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
F-COE-F32009-MI:	HOLLAND HARBOR, DREDGE DISPOSAL FACILITY, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED THE OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THE EFFLUENT MONITORING PROGRAM WHEN COMPLETED.	F
F-COE-F35002-WI:	KEAUWNEE HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND CONTAINED DREDGE DISPOSAL, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F35003-IL:	WAUKEGAN HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND DISPOSAL AREA, LAKE COUNTY, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE POTENTIAL EROSION IMPACTS AND THE PROJECT SHOULD INCORPORATE A MITIGATION AND CONTINGENCY PLAN TO PREVENT AND MONITOR ADVERSE DRIFTS EFFECTS DOWNSHORE.	F
F-COE-K36006-HI:	IAO STREAM FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, MAUI, HAWAII	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
<u>GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION</u>			
F-GSA-D81003-VA:	PROPOSED FEDERAL BUILDING AND PARKING FACILITY, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D

IDENTIFYING
NUMBER

TITLE

GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

F-HUD-C36017-NY: NAURAUSHAUN BROOK IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW YORK

EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THE STATEMENT C TO BE UNRESPONSIVE TO EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. THEREFORE, EPA IS UNABLE TO ACCESS THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AS THE RESULT OF THE INADEQUATELY PREPARED FINAL EIS.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

F-IGS-J01001-WY: BELLE Ayr SOUTH MINE AMAX COAL COMPANY, CAMPBELL COUNTY, WYOMING

EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT I AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA HAS REQUESTED A MEETING WITH THE US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY PRIOR TO FINAL APPROVAL OF THE MINING PLAN BY THE AREA SUPERVISOR.

F-NPS-K61007-HI: MASTER PLAN, CITY OF REFUGE NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK, HAWAII

EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT J AS PROPOSED.

F-SFW-K61006-NV: PROPOSED DESERT WILDERNESS AREA, DESERT NATIONAL WILDLIFE RANGE, CLARK AND LINCOLN COUNTIES, NEVADA

EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT J AS PROPOSED.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

FS-FAA-A51160-AL: BIRMINGHAM MUNICIPAL AIRPORT, BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA

EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT E AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS MORE CONSIDERATION SHOULD HAVE BEEN GIVEN TO NOISE ABATEMENT.

F-FHW-A41845-MN: I-90, FARIBAUT COUNTY, MINNESOTA

EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT F AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA IS STILL CONCERNED ABOUT THE PROJECT'S WETLAND IMPACTS. THE PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES SHOULD MINIMIZE LONG-TERM WETLAND IMPACTS PROVIDED MANAGEMENT PRACTICES DO IMPROVE WETLAND HABITAT AND PRODUCTIVITY, AND A WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROPOSED IMPOUNDMENT ARE EFFECTIVELY IMPLEMENTED.

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN
NOVEMBER 1, 1975 AND NOVEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
<u>DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE</u>		
F-AFS-L61006-OR:	TIMBERLINE LODGE MANAGEMENT DIRECTION, MT. HOOD NATIONAL FOREST, OREGON	K
F-AFS-L61031-ID:	BLACK PINE PLANNING UNIT, LAND USE PLAN, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61037-ID:	PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN, SQUAW CREEK PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61040-ID:	PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN, MIDDLE FORK BOISE RIVER PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-SCS-B36004-CT:	YANTIC RIVER WATERSHED, NEW LONDON AND TOLLAND COUNTIES, CONNECTICUT	B
F-SCS-E36017-MS:	MANTACHIE, BOGUE FALA, AND BOGUE EUCUBA CREEKS WATERSHED, ITAWAMBA, LEE, AND MONROE COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI	E
F-SCS-E36023-SC:	UPPER NEW RIVER WATERSHED, JASPER AND BEAUFORT COUNTIES, SOUTH CAROLINA	E
F-SCS-G36017-TX:	ELM CREEK WATERSHED PROJECT, BELL, MCLENNAN, FALLS, AND MILAM COUNTIES, TEXAS	G
F-SCS-H36005-NB:	BLACKWOOD CREEK WATERSHED, HAYES, HITCHCOCK AND RED WILLOW COUNTIES, NEBRASKA	H
F-SCS-H36010-00:	LITTLE BLACK WATERSHEDS, BUTLER, CARTER AND RIPLEY COUNTIES, MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS	H
<u>CORPS OF ENGINEERS</u>		
F-COE-G32005-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-COE-G32012-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, FREEPORT HARBOR, BRAZORIA COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-COE-G34005-TX:	CEDAR BAYOU CHANNEL, CHAMBER AND HARRIS COUNTIES, TEXAS	G
F-COE-L36011-00:	COLUMBIA AND LOWER WILLAMETTE RIVER, VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON AND PORTLAND, OREGON	K
F-COE-L39001-OR:	HAMMOND SMALL BOAT BASIN, CLATSOP COUNTY, OREGON	K
<u>GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION</u>		
F-GSA-G81001-TX:	LEASE FACILITY FOR DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
<u>DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT</u>		
F-HUD-G85003-TX:	"THE WOODS" SUBDIVISION, DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
<u>DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR</u>		
F-DOI-A61047-NM:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, WHITE SAND NATIONAL MONUMENT, NEW MEXICO	G
F-SFW-A61122-MO:	PROPOSED MINGO WILDERNESS AREA, MINGO NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WAYNE AND STODDARD COUNTIES, MISSOURI	H
F-SFW-A61250-VA:	PROPOSED BACK BAY WILDERNESS AREA, VIRGINIA	D
F-SFW-A64032-KY:	WOLF CREEK NATIONAL FISH HATCHERY, RUSSELL COUNTY, KENTUCKY	E
<u>NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION</u>		
F-AEC-A06141-FL:	FLOATING NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS, OFFSHORE POWER SYSTEMS, DOCKET NO. STN 50-437, BLOUNT ISLAND, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA	E

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

F-DOT-A41250-NB: US 50 BYPASS, NORTH PLATTE, LINCOLN COUNTY, NEBRASKA

H

FS-DOT-A41323-NB: US 77 EXPRESSWAY, DAKOTA COUNTY, NEBRASKA

H

F-DOT-A41422-IA: IA-1, JOHNSON COUNTY, IOWA

H

F-FHW-A42263-IA: US 67, RIVERDALE TO I-80, SCOTT COUNTY, IOWA

H

F-FHW-A42275-MO: MO-50, COLE COUNTY, MISSOURI

H

F-FHW-G40015-OK: US 77, SHIELDS BOULEVARD, OKLAHOMA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

G

F-FHW-G40031-TX: LOOP 335 FROM I-40 TO US 87 AND US 287, POTTER COUNTY, TEXAS

G

F-FHW-H40013-KS: US 56, MARION COUNTY, KANSAS

H

F-FHW-J40003-CO: I-70 DEBEQUE-GRAND VALLEY, MESA AND GARFIELD COUNTIES, COLORADO

I

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

F-VAD-H81000-IA: PARKING FACILITY, VETERANS ADMINISTRATION HOSPITAL, IOWA CITY, IOWA

H

F-VAD-L81002-ID: NEW CLINICAL SUPPORT FACILITY AND SEISMIC CORRECTIONS FOR VARIOUS BUILDINGS, VETERANS
ADMINISTRATION HOSPITAL, BOISE, IDAHO

K

GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS

IDENTIFYING
NUMBER

TITLE

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-FIW-A41904-TN:	TN-6, NORTH OF COLUMBIA, MAURY COUNTY, TENNESSEE	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
F-FIW-A42186-WI:	WI-21, WEST COUNTY LINE TO WISCONSIN RIVER, JUNEAU COUNTY, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FIW-A42235-MI:	US 31, MASON OCEANA COUNTY LINE TO US 10/31, MASON COUNTY, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE EFFECTS OF THE PROJECT UPON WATER QUALITY, WETLANDS AND WOODLANDS.	F
F-FIW-A42406-VA:	I-95 AND I-295, RICHMOND CIRCUMFER- ENTIAL, I-64 TO VA-5, HENRICO, CHESTERFIELD, AND HANOVER COUNTIES, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
FS-UMT-A54014-GA:	METROPOLITAN ATLANTA RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM, STATION CHANGES, VINE CITY, TECHWOOD, TUCKER-NORTH DEKALB CORRIDOR, CANDLER PARK AND EAST LAKE STATIONS, GEORGIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
RF-CCD-A90006-00:	DEEPWATER PORT REGULATIONS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE REGULATIONS AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA COMMENTED ON THE AFFECTS OF THE REGULATIONS ON LAND AND WATER USE PLANS AND COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS. ADDITIONALLY, EPA QUESTIONED TWO COAST GUARD RESPONSES TO COMMENTERS.	A

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN

NOVEMBER 1, 1975 AND NOVEMBER 30, 1975

SOURCE FOR
COPIES OF
COMMENTS

IDENTIFYING
NUMBER

TITLE

GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

A-UMT-A54035-00:

NOTICES, MAJOR URBAN MASS
TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENTS,
DOCKET 75-04, NOTICE OF PROPOSED
POLICY

A

EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED
PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED SEVERAL REVISIONS
TO ASSURE THAT ALL RELEVANT FACTORS ARE CONSIDERED
IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS OF TRANSPORTATION
ALTERNATIVES WHICH MUST TAKE PLACE AS A CONDITION
OF ELIGIBILITY FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FOR ANY MAJOR
MASS TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

R-DOI-A01031-00:

40 CFR PART 3041, SURFACE
MANAGEMENT OF FEDERALLY OWNED
COAL RESOURCES, AND 30 CFR PART
211, COAL MINING OPERATING
REGULATIONS

A

EPA FOUND THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS TO BE ENVIRON-
MENTALLY UNSATISFACTORY FROM THE STANDPOINT OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE
RULES INVOLVE A HIGH PROBABILITY FOR VIOLATION OF
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY STANDARDS (PARTICULARLY STATE
STANDARDS) AND THAT THERE IS A POTENTIAL FOR
SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL DEGRADATION WHICH COULD
BE AVOIDED BY FEASIBLE ALTERNATIVES. EPA'S MAJOR
CONCERNS INCLUDED EXCESSIVE LATITUDE RESULTING
FROM THE USE OF THEIR TERM "MAXIMUM EXTENT PRACTICABLE;"
ENFORCEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS UNDER THE
FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT AND CLEAN AIR ACT;
ENFORCEMENT OF APPLICABLE STATE AIR AND WATER
QUALITY STANDARDS ON FEDERAL LANDS; THE LACK OF

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
-----------------------	-------	----------------------------	-------------------------------------

PROVISIONS FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN LEASE TRACT SELECTION AND MINING PLAN APPROVALS; AND THE ABSENCE OF EXPLICIT ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATING LANDS AS UNSUITABLE FOR MINING. EPA SUBMITTED A MAJOR REVISION OF THE REGULATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR IN PREPARATION OF THE FINAL RULEMAKING.

A-DOI-A39118-00:	WATER RESOURCES USE AND MANAGEMENT POLICY STATEMENT	EPA RESTATED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS CONCERNING THE LACK OF SPECIFICITY OF THE PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE POLICY STATEMENT.	A
------------------	--	---	---

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

- | | |
|---|---|
| A. Public Information Reference Unit
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460 | G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI
Environmental Protection Agency
1600 Patterson Street
Dallas, Texas 75201 |
| B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203 | H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108 |
| C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007 | I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203 |
| D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106 | J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111 |
| E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV
Environmental Protection Agency
1421 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309 | K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington, 98101 |
| F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604 | |

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is \$21.50 annually, with an additional \$5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are \$1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box 1533, Washington, D.C. 20013)

FOR USE OF SUPT. OF DOCS.

Postage

State **Zip Code**

FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE ATTACH ANOTHER SHEET. TOTAL AMOUNT ENCLOSED.. \$.....

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1975 211-480/6 1-3

BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 9999 06317 897 2

